

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE CLARENCE CITY COUNCIL HELD AT THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, BLIGH STREET, ROSNY PARK, ON MONDAY 19 APRIL 2021

HOURL CALLED: 7.00pm

PRESENT: The meeting commenced at 7.01pm with the Mayor (Ald D C Chipman) in the Chair and with Aldermen:

B A Blomeley
H Chong
L Edmunds
D Ewington
R H James
W Kennedy
T Mulder
J Peers
S von Bertouch
B Warren; present.

1. APOLOGIES J Walker

ORDER OF BUSINESS Items 1 – 13

IN ATTENDANCE

General Manager
(Mr I Nelson)

Group Manager Engineering Services
(Mr R Graham)

Chief Financial Officer
(Ms M Coleman)

Manager City Planning
(Mr R Lovell)

Acting Manager Communication and Strategic Development
(Ms T Doubleday)

Executive Officer to the General Manager
(Ms J Ellis)

Community Development Officer
(Ms Suzanne Schulz)

The Meeting closed at 10.52pm.

Prior to the commencement of the meeting, the Mayor made the following declaration:

“I acknowledge the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the traditional custodians of the land on which we meet today, and pay respect to elders, past and present”.

The Mayor also advised the Meeting and members of the public that Council Meetings, not including Closed Meeting, are audio-visually recorded and published to Council’s website.

COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY 19 APRIL 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
1.	ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES	5
2.	***CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	5
3.	MAYOR’S COMMUNICATION.....	5
4.	***COUNCIL WORKSHOPS.....	6
5.	DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS OF ALDERMAN OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE	7
6.	***TABLING OF PETITIONS	8
7.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	9
7.1	PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	9
7.2	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	9
7.3	ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE	9
7.4	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE.....	9
8.	DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC	12
9.	MOTIONS ON NOTICE	13
9.1	NOTICE OF MOTION - ALD BLOMELEY SUPPORT FOR HEADSPACE ON THE EASTERN SHORE	13
9.2	NOTICE OF MOTION - ALD MULDER KANGAROO BAY SALE AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT	14
9.3	NOTICE OF MOTION - ALD EDMUNDS ROSNY GOLF COURSE.....	15
10.	***REPORTS FROM OUTSIDE BODIES	16
10.1	***REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES.....	16
	• COPPING REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE JOINT AUTHORITY	
	• TASMANIAN WATER CORPORATION	
	• GREATER HOBART COMMITTEE	
10.2	***REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE BODIES	16

11.	REPORTS OF OFFICERS	17
11.1	***WEEKLY BRIEFING REPORTS	17
11.2	DETERMINATION ON PETITIONS TABLED AT PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS	18
11.2.1	PETITION – DOG EXERCISE AREA – ANZAC PARK, LINDISFARNE	18

11.3 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

11.3.1	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2021/015783 – 136 SPITFARM ROAD, OPOSSUM BAY - VISITOR ACCOMMODATION UNITS	21
11.3.2	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2021/016258 – 30 ALINTA STREET, HOWRAH - CARPORT	23
11.3.3	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2021/017094 – 64 BANGOR ROAD, OPOSSUM BAY - OUTBUILDING.....	25
11.3.4	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/013439 – 1 MYOORA STREET, HOWRAH - 1 LOT SUBDIVISION	27
11.3.5	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/015135 – 21 RALEIGH COURT, HOWRAH (WITH ACCESS OVER 23 RALEIGH COURT, HOWRAH) - 6 MULTIPLE DWELLINGS.....	29
11.3.6	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/013501 – 10 PERCY STREET, BELLERIVE - PARTIAL CHANGE OF USE TO BOTTLE SHOP AND ADDITIONS	32

11.4 CUSTOMER SERVICE - NIL ITEMS

11.5 ASSET MANAGEMENT

11.5.1	BEGONIA STREET - TRAFFIC CALMING	38
11.5.2	SEVEN MILE BEACH SPORT AND ACTIVE RECREATION PRECINCT MASTER PLAN	39
11.5.3	BAYVIEW SECONDARY COLLEGE SPORT PRECINCT DRAFT MASTER PLAN – COMMUNITY CONSULTATION	41
11.5.4	ROSNY GOLF COURSE LAND – INTERIM PLAN.....	42

11.6 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

11.6.1	FUNDING SUPPORT REQUEST – OLYMPIA FOOTBALL CLUB.....	44
--------	--	----

11.7 GOVERNANCE

11.7.1	RECONCILIATION ACTION PLAN	47
11.7.2	ROSNY PARK URBAN DESIGN FRAMEWORK	49
11.7.3	ADOPTION OF THE CLARENCE CITY COUNCIL STRATEGIC PLAN 2021-2031	50
11.7.4	DOG MANAGEMENT POLICY PRELIMINARY CONSULTATION PERIOD – FURTHER CONSULTATION PERIOD.....	51
12.	ALDERMEN’S QUESTION TIME	52
12.1	QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	52
12.2	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	52
12.3	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING	52
12.4	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE.....	58
13.	CLOSED MEETING.....	59
13.1	APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	
13.2	SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY TO COUNCIL CONTESTABLE SITES - 2021	

INTRODUCTION OF URGENT ITEM

The Mayor advised that the General Manager has provided an urgent item to be presented under the Governance section regarding the Dog Management Policy Preliminary Consultation Period – Further Consultation Period.

Decision:	<p>PROCEDURAL MOTION MOVED Ald Blomeley SECONDED Ald Warren</p> <p>“That pursuant to the provisions of Regulation (8) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Council gives Leave of the Meeting to consider an urgent item regarding the Dog Management Policy Preliminary Consultation Period – Further Consultation Period”.</p> <p>CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY</p>
------------------	--

1. ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES

Refer to cover page.

2. ***CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 22 March 2021, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed.

Decision:	<p>MOVED Ald Blomeley SECONDED Ald Peers</p> <p>“That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 22 March 2021, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed”.</p> <p>CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY</p>
------------------	---

3. MAYOR'S COMMUNICATION

The Mayor reported on the following meetings and attendances since the last Council Meeting:

Mayor

- 23 March: ABC Radio – Ryk Goddard;
Southern Prospectus Launch/Release Date;
- 25 March: Minister Ferguson – Media Event regarding Derwent Ferry;
ABC Drive – regarding Derwent Ferry;
- 26 March: ABC News Interview – regarding Dogs;

/ contd on Page 6...

MAYOR'S COMMUNICATION /contd...

- 27 March: Biennial Farming Expo – Hobart Vintage Machinery Society;
- 30 March: Rosny Child Care Centre Landscape Project Opening;
- 1 April: ABC Morning Program – Lindisfarne Village;
- 8 April: Australia and China Business Council Event;
- 9 April: Opening of Lucy Way Playground, Rokeby;
- 12 April: ABC Drive Interview;
- 13 April: Triple M – Radio Interview Brian Carlton;
- 16 April: Citizenship Ceremony; and
Launch of the Confederation of Greater Hobart Business Ltd.

Deputy Mayor

- 23 March: Opening of Grace Centre (on behalf of Mayor).

Ald Kennedy

- 8 April: Exhibition – Wild Gardens and Local Bush (on behalf of Mayor).

4. *COUNCIL WORKSHOPS**

In addition to the Aldermen's Meeting Briefing (workshop) conducted on Friday immediately preceding the Council Meeting the following workshops were conducted by Council since its last ordinary Council Meeting:

PURPOSE	DATE
Rokeby Corridor Study	
Bayview Secondary College Sporting Precinct Master Plan	
Strategic Plan Strategy Scoping Documents	
Fees and Charges Review	29 March
Sporting Club Grant Request	
Local Roads and Community Infrastructure Program	
Waste Contract	
Strategic Plan Strategy Scoping Documents	
Property Matter	12 April

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council notes the workshops conducted.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Blomeley **SECONDED** Ald Peers

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS OF ALDERMAN OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE

In accordance with Regulation 8 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 and Council's adopted Code of Conduct, the Mayor requests Aldermen to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have a pecuniary interest (any pecuniary benefits or pecuniary detriment) or conflict of interest in any item on the Agenda.

INTEREST DECLARED:**Alderman Blomeley****Item No. 11.3.6**

6. *TABLING OF PETITIONS**

(Note: Petitions received by Aldermen are to be forwarded to the General Manager within seven days after receiving the petition).

Petitions are not to be tabled if they do not comply with Section 57(2) of the Local Government Act, or are defamatory, or the proposed actions are unlawful.

The General Manager tabled the following petitions which comply with the Act requirements:

- Received from 81 signatories requesting that dogs be allowed on a section of Bellerive or Howrah beach during the day throughout the year. With more than 10,000 registered dogs in the Clarence municipality the petitioners call on the council to ensure dogs and their owners get to enjoy the benefits of off-lead exercise and socialisation.
- Received from 33 signatories requesting Council to:
 - Hold a public meeting on the changes proposed in its draft Dog Management Policy, pursuant to Council guidelines and Section 59 of the Local Government Act 1993.
 - Recognise the importance of maintaining access within walking distance of densely populated areas to off-leash dog exercise areas and ensure these areas are not reduced.
 - Amend the current policy to ensure dogs have access to a section of Bellerive or Howrah beach throughout the day during the summer months.

The General Manager advised that two electronic petitions had also been received with the same subject matter as the two paper petitions, but they were non-compliant with the requirements of the Act and have been referred back to the petition originator.

7. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Public question time at ordinary Council meetings will not exceed 15 minutes. An individual may ask questions at the meeting. Questions may be submitted to Council in writing on the Friday 10 days before the meeting or may be raised from the Public Gallery during this segment of the meeting.

The Chairman may request an Alderman or Council officer to answer a question. No debate is permitted on any questions or answers. Questions and answers are to be kept as brief as possible.

7.1 PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

(Seven days before an ordinary Meeting, a member of the public may give written notice to the General Manager of a question to be asked at the meeting). A maximum of two questions may be submitted in writing before the meeting.

Nil.

7.2 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Nil.

7.3 ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

7.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Mrs Joanne Marsh of Bellerive asked the following questions.

WATER DISCOLOURATION

1. I have occasionally noticed a pink discolouration of the water near the Bellerive boardwalk and the slipway area – possibly an algal bloom (photos were provided). On Tuesday, 13 April it was the worst I have seen it.

What is causing this problem, and does it pose a threat to the health and well-being of the community?

ANSWER

The General Manager took the question on notice.

/ contd on Page 10...

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE /contd...**PUBLIC ART – KANGAROO BAY**

2. Council has asked for expression of interest from artists and designers to provide ideas for public art at Kangaroo Bay. Council wants to create a unique destination that links land, sea and mountain and respects the stories and histories of place. Hopefully Council are encouraging artists and designers who are descendants of the original custodians of the area.

Will the community be given a say in which ideas submitted best fulfil these criteria and are the best use of the budgeted \$110,000?

ANSWER

The General Manager took the question on notice.

Mr Victor Marsh of Bellerive asked the following questions.

KANGAROO BAY BOARDWALK

The Kangaroo Bay Boardwalk is a major destination in Clarence for the local community and visitors all year round.

1. Work has stopped on the replacement of the Kangaroo Bay Boardwalk (photo was provided). When is it going to resume and be completed?
2. Some of the wooden seats adjacent to the boardwalk are rotten (photo was provided). When are the Council intending to repair them?

ANSWER

1. We are awaiting shipment of the replacement boards from the mainland. The replacement work is intended to commence in May and be completed by July 2021.
2. We will aim to repair the seats at the same time as the boardwalk replacement works. An assessment of the seats is required first.

Mr David Griggs of Risdon Vale asked the following questions.

DAMAGED PATHWAY

1. This is a question following on from an email sent on 24 January 2021, which read:

“While walking along the missing section of bitumen on the pathway between Risdon Vale and Olive Grove housing subdivision, I came across a section that is loose gravel. It appears to have been ripped up where some drainage work had been done, there is timber edging sticking up in the air as well. I slipped on the loose gravel; can this be repaired with new bitumen? It appears the contractor working on the subdivision may have damaged the path.”

/ contd on Page 11...

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE /contd...

Despite telephone calls and follow up emails and an email from Council's General Manager before Easter this matter has not been dealt with. Why cannot this issue be fixed and why are all attempts being ignored with no courtesy being afforded to me to respond?

ANSWER

The developer for Olive Grove removed this section of footpath to install a stormwater drain across Sugarloaf Road. The stormwater system has been installed and we are awaiting a response from the Developer as to when the footpath will be restored.

I will advise Aldermen when I receive a response.

ROSNY HILL ROAD ROUNDABOUT

2. Why has the lawn areas around the works done on the roundabout at Rosny Hill Road next to the United Service Station been left in the state it is, it looks terrible and is not a good reflection on Council's priorities?

ANSWER

One green lawn area needs mowing and the other area is awaiting a tree assessment from the arborist before the final planting plan is resolved and renovation works are undertaken.

8. DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
--

(In accordance with Regulation 38 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 and in accordance with Council Policy, deputation requests are invited to address the Meeting and make statements or deliver reports to Council)

FUTURE OF ROSNY GOLF COURSE

Georgette Chilcott President, Golf Tasmania and James Sutherland CEO, Golf Australia provided a deputation regarding the above matter which was read out by the General Manager.

9. MOTIONS ON NOTICE**9.1 NOTICE OF MOTION - ALD BLOMELEY
SUPPORT FOR HEADSPACE ON THE EASTERN SHORE**

In accordance with Notice given it was:

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Blomeley **SECONDED** Ald Peers

“In recognition of the important work of headspace in delivering tailored and holistic mental health support for 12 to 25 year olds, this Council:

- a) Supports the establishment of a full-time headspace Centre within the City of Clarence; and
- b) Writes to the following Federal elected representatives, seeking their support:
 - i. The Federal Minister for Health, the Hon. Greg Hunt MP;
 - ii. The Federal Member for Franklin, the Hon. Julie Collins MP; and
 - iii. All 12 Tasmanian Senators.”

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

9.2 NOTICE OF MOTION - ALD MULDER KANGAROO BAY SALE AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

In accordance with Notice given it was:

Decision:	MOVED Ald Mulder SECONDED Ald Edmunds	
	<p>“That Clarence Council supports the public release of the Kangaroo Bay Sale and Development Agreement (SDA) and requests the concurrence of <i>Chambroad Overseas Investment Australia Pty Ltd.</i>”</p> <p>The Mayor asked the Deputy Mayor to assume the Chair while he entered the debate as an Alderman (7.34pm).</p> <p>The Mayor resumed the Chair at 7.35pm.</p> <p>The MOTION was put and CARRIED</p>	
	FOR	AGAINST
	Ald Edmunds	Ald Chipman
	Ald James	Ald Blomeley
	Ald Kennedy	Ald Chong
	Ald Mulder	Ald Ewington
	Ald Peers	Ald von Bertouch
	Ald Warren	

9.3 NOTICE OF MOTION - ALD EDMUNDS ROSNY GOLF COURSE

In accordance with Notice given Ald Edmunds intended to move the following Motion:

“That the Council enters negotiations with Golf Tasmania, Golf Australia and interested parties to seek expressions of interest to continue the operation of a nine-hole golf course on the Rosny Golf Club site until such time as the council has an approved plan for the area under the City Heart proposal.”

With the Leave of the Meeting Ald Edmunds **amended** his Motion and it was:

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Edmunds **SECONDED** Ald James

“That the Council authorises the General Manager to negotiate with interested stakeholders for the continuance of the operation of the nine-hole golf course on the Rosny Golf Course site as an interim measure, until such time as the council has consulted widely with the community and has developed and approved a plan for the area under the City Heart proposal.”

The Mayor asked the Deputy Mayor to **assume** the Chair while he entered the debate as an Alderman (8.05pm).

The Mayor **resumed** the Chair at 8.06pm.

The **MOTION** was **put** and **CARRIED**

FOR

Ald Edmunds
Ald James
Ald Kennedy
Ald Mulder
Ald Peers
Ald Warren

AGAINST

Ald Chipman
Ald Blomeley
Ald Chong
Ald Ewington
Ald von Bertouch

10. *REPORTS FROM OUTSIDE BODIES**

This agenda item is listed to facilitate the receipt of both informal and formal reporting from various outside bodies upon which Council has a representative involvement.

10.1 *REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES**

Provision is made for reports from Single and Joint Authorities if required.

Council is a participant in the following Single and Joint Authorities. These Authorities are required to provide quarterly reports to participating Councils, and these will be listed under this segment as and when received.

- **COPPING REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE JOINT AUTHORITY**

Representatives: Ald James Walker
(Ald Luke Edmunds, Deputy Representative)

Quarterly Reports

December Quarterly Report pending.

Representative Reporting

- **TASWATER CORPORATION**

- **GREATER HOBART COMMITTEE**

10.2 *REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE BODIES**

RICHMOND ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- Ald Chong tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 17 February 2021.

BELLERIVE COMMUNITY ARTS CENTRE INC

- Ald Kennedy tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 14 April 2021 and also the Bank Statement for the period ending 31 March 2021.

TRACKS AND TRAILS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- Ald Ewington tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 18 February 2021.

11. REPORTS OF OFFICERS**11.1 ***WEEKLY BRIEFING REPORTS**

The Weekly Briefing Reports of 22 and 29 March and 5 and 12 April 2021 have been circulated to Aldermen.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information contained in the Weekly Briefing Reports of 22 and 29 March and 5 and 12 April 2021 be noted.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Blomeley **SECONDED** Ald Peers

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.2 DETERMINATION ON PETITIONS TABLED AT PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS**11.2.1 PETITION – DOG EXERCISE AREA – ANZAC PARK, LINDISFARNE****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

To consider two petitions tabled at Council's Meeting of 22 March 2021 objecting to changing the current Dog Management Policy 2015 relating to dogs off lead in ANZAC Park, Lindisfarne.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Draft revision of Council's Dog Management Policy including the Schedule of Declared Areas – January 2021.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Section 60 of the Local Government Act 1993 requires Council to formally consider petitions within 42 days of receipt.

The Dog Control Act 2000 requires Council to review its Dog Management Policy every five years.

CONSULTATION

A workshop was held with Aldermen in October 2020 on the draft revision of the Dog Management Policy.

Council endorsed a revised draft Dog Management Policy including the Schedule of Declared Areas (January 2021) at its meeting of 9 February 2021 for public consultation. The draft was released to the public for a 4-week period of public consultation via council's "Your Say Clarence" website.

The consultation period ended on 12 April 2021 and approximately 2900 submissions were received, including the petitions which are the subject of this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications associated with the petition.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council notes the intent of the petitions.
- B. That the petitioners be advised that the petitions will be taken into consideration as part of Council's consideration of submissions regarding the revised draft Dog Management Policy.

/ Refer to Page 19 for Decision on this Item...

PETITION – DOG EXERCISE AREA – ANZAC PARK, LINDISFARNE /contd...

Decision: **MOVED** Ald James **SECONDED** Ald Chong

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.3 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

In accordance with Regulation 25 (1) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Mayor advises that the Council intends to act as a Planning Authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, to deal with the following items:

11.3.1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2021/015783 – 136 SPITFARM ROAD, OPOSSUM BAY - VISITOR ACCOMMODATION UNITS**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for Visitor Accommodation Units at 136 Spitfarm Road, Opossum Bay.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Low Density Residential and subject to the Parking and Access and Stormwater Management Codes under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Note: References to provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act) are references to the former provisions of the Act as defined in Schedule 6 – Savings and Transitional provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The former provisions apply to an interim planning scheme that was in force prior to the commencement day of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The commencement day was 17 December 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which expires on 21 April 2021.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and two representations were received raising the following issues:

- advertising signage;
- multiple dwellings;
- length of stays;
- disorderly behaviour from guests;
- Title covenants; and
- property fence location.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Development Application for Visitor Accommodation Units at 136 Spitfarm Road, Opossum Bay (Cl Ref PDPLANPMTD-2021/015783) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. ENG A1 – NEW CROSSOVER.

3. ENG A5 – SEALED CAR PARKING.
4. ENG M1 – DESIGNS DA.
5. ENG S1 – INFRASTRUCTURE REPAIR.

ADVICE

- a. It is advised that the Certificate of Title contains several covenants. Compliance with covenants is the landowner's legal responsibility and may prevent or alter the ability to act upon this permit.
 - b. This permit has been approved and granted based on the recommendations contained with the Bushfire Risk Assessment. It is the owner's responsibility to ensure that the recommendations are satisfactorily addressed on an ongoing basis with regard to their property.
 - c. This permit is for Visitor Accommodation use only. Advice must be sought from Council prior to any change to residential use for this property. Multiple dwellings are prohibited in this zone under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme.
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council's decision in respect of this matter.

Decision:**MOVED** Ald Ewington **SECONDED** Ald James

"That the Recommendation be adopted".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.3.2 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2021/016258 – 30 ALINTA STREET, HOWRAH - CARPORT**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a Carport at 30 Alinta Street, Howrah.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned General Residential and subject to the Parking and Access and Stormwater Management Codes under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Note: References to provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act) are references to the former provisions of the Act as defined in Schedule 6 – Savings and Transitional provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The former provisions apply to an interim planning scheme that was in force prior to the commencement day of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The commencement day was 17 December 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which has been extended with the applicants consent until 21 April 2021.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and no representations were received.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That the Development Application for Carport at 30 Alinta Street, Howrah (Cl Ref PDPLANPMTD-2021/016258) be refused for the following reason.
 - 1. The proposal does not comply with Clause 10.4.2 P2 as the proposed carport is not compatible with the front setbacks of existing carports and garages within Alinta Street. There are no topographical constraints.
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council's decision in respect of this matter.

/ Refer to Page 24 for Decision on this Item...

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2021/016258 – 30 ALINTA STREET, HOWRAH – CARPORT /contd...

Decision:	MOVED Ald Mulder SECONDED Ald Ewington	
	“A That the Development Application for Carport at 30 Alinta Street, Howrah (CI Ref PDPLANPMTD-2021/016258) be approved subject to the following condition.	
	1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.	
	B That the following be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.	
	1. The proposal conforms with each applicable standard in the zone with the following exception.	
	2. Performance Criteria 10.4.2 P2 requires a 4.5m setback similar to other front setbacks in the street. Strict compliance with P2 is unreasonable because of the limited impact on the streetscape resulting from:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The open nature of the structure avoiding the visual impact and bulk of solid walls.• Similar structures within the 5.5m setback abound in neighbouring streets.• The structure will be at the end of a cul-de-sac”.	
	CARRIED	
	FOR	AGAINST
	Ald Chipman	Ald James
	Ald Blomeley	Ald von Bertouch
	Ald Chong	
	Ald Edmunds	
	Ald Ewington	
	Ald Kennedy	
	Ald Mulder	
	Ald Peers	
	Ald Warren	

**11.3.3 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2021/017094 – 64
BANGOR ROAD, OPOSSUM BAY - OUTBUILDING****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for an outbuilding at 64 Bangor Road, Opossum Bay.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Low Density Residential and subject to the Parking and Access, Stormwater Management and Inundation Prone Areas Codes under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Note: References to provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act) are references to the former provisions of the Act as defined in Schedule 6 – Savings and Transitional provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The former provisions apply to an interim planning scheme that was in force prior to the commencement day of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The commencement day was 17 December 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which expires on 23 April 2021.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and three representations were received raising the following issues:

- use of building; and
- size/scale of building.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Development Application for an outbuilding at 64 Bangor Road, Opossum Bay (Cl Ref PDPLANPMTD-2021/017094) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. GEN M7 – DOMESTIC USE.

ADVICE

As part of the building application process, documentation will be required to illustrate the location of any proposed vehicular access to the garage in relation to the existing on-site wastewater system. It is advised that the driveway must not compromise any element of the existing system, or associated reserve area/s.

- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council's decision in respect of this matter.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Peers **SECONDED** Ald Kennedy

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.3.4 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/013439 – 1 MYOORA STREET, HOWRAH - 1 LOT SUBDIVISION**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a 1 lot subdivision at 1 Myoora Street, Howrah.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned General Residential and subject to the Parking and Access and Stormwater Management Codes under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Note: References to provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act) are references to the former provisions of the Act as defined in Schedule 6 – Savings and Transitional provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The former provisions apply to an interim planning scheme that was in force prior to the commencement day of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The commencement day was 17 December 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which expires on 21 April 2021.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and two representations were received raising the following issues:

- size and suitability of lot; and
- loss of privacy;

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Development Application for a 1 Lot Subdivision at 1 Myoora Street, Howrah (C1 Ref PDPLANPMTD-2020/013439) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. ENG M2 – DESIGN SD.
3. A 3.6m sealed driveway must be constructed over the length of the right-of-way. This access must be inspected by Council prior to sealing or the pouring of new concrete.

4. ENG M8 – EASEMENTS.
5. ENG S1 – INFRASTRUCTURE REPAIR.
6. ENG S2 – SERVICES.
7. ENG S4 – STORMWATER CONNECTION.
8. GEN POS 4 – POS CONTRIBUTION [5%] [2].
9. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 22 December 2020 (TWDA 2020/01780-CCC).

ADVICE: The Department of State Growth will not be implementing any further noise mitigation measures adjoining the South Arm Highway and the developer needs to take suitable steps to ensure that the noise levels within the development will be suitable for the proposed use.

- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council's decision in respect of this matter.

Decision:	MOVED Ald Peers SECONDED Ald Ewington
	“That the Recommendation be adopted”.
	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**11.3.5 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/015135 – 21
RALEIGH COURT, HOWRAH (WITH ACCESS OVER 23 RALEIGH COURT,
HOWRAH) - 6 MULTIPLE DWELLINGS****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for 6 Multiple Dwellings at 21 Raleigh Court, Howrah (with access over 23 Raleigh Court, Howrah).

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned General Residential and subject to the Parking and Access Code, Stormwater Management Code and Bushfire Prone Areas Code under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Note: References to provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act) are references to the former provisions of the Act as defined in Schedule 6 – Savings and Transitional provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The former provisions apply to an interim planning scheme that was in force prior to the commencement day of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The commencement day was 17 December 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42-day period which has been extended with the applicant's consent until 21 April 2021.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and five representations were received raising the following issues:

- loss of privacy;
- precedent;
- environmental impact;
- visual impact;
- impact upon amenity;
- traffic impact;
- lack of agreement about use and maintenance of shared access;
- lack of community consultation;
- building envelope;
- bushfire management;
- character of the area and density;
- covenants;

- inconsistency with Council Strategies and Policies; and
- inappropriate zoning for the subject site.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Development Application for 6 Multiple Dwellings at 21 Raleigh Court, Howrah (with access over 23 Raleigh Court, Howrah) (C1 Ref PDPLANPMTD-2020/015135) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. GEN AP3 – AMENDED PLAN.
3. ENG A5 – SEALED CAR PARKING.
4. ENG M1 – DESIGNS DA.
5. ENG S1 – INFRASTRUCTURE REPAIR.
6. ENG A2 – CROSSOVER CHANGE.
7. ENG S3A – WATER SENSITIVE URBAN DESIGN PRINCIPLES.
8. A landscape plan for the car parking areas where more than five spaces are shown, and associated vehicle circulation areas must be submitted to and approved by Council's Manager City Planning prior to the commencement of works. The plan must be to scale and show:
 - a north point;
 - existing trees and those to be removed;
 - proposed driveways, paths, buildings, car parking retaining walls and fencing;
 - any proposed rearrangement of ground levels;
 - details of proposed plantings including botanical names, and the height and spread of canopy at maturity; and
 - estimated cost of the landscaping works.

All landscaping works must be completed and verified as being completed by Council prior to the commencement of the use.

All landscape works must be maintained:

- in perpetuity by the existing and future owners/occupiers of the property;
- in a healthy state; and
- in accordance with the approved landscape plan.

If any of the vegetation comprising the landscaping dies or is removed, it is to be replaced with vegetation of the same species and, to the greatest extent practicable, the same maturity as the vegetation which died or which was removed.

9. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 23/12/2020 (TWDA 2020/02194-CCC).

ADVICE

1. The proposed works are located within a mapped Bushfire Prone Area and as such a Bushfire Assessment and Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) must be provided by a suitably qualified person and form part of the certified documents for the building permit application.
 2. It is advised that the Certificate of Title contains several covenants. Compliance with covenants is the landowner's legal responsibility and may prevent or alter the ability to act upon this permit.
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council's decision in respect of this matter.

Decision:	MOVED Ald Blomeley SECONDED Ald Ewington	
	"That the Recommendation be adopted".	
	CARRIED	
	FOR	AGAINST
	Ald Chipman	Ald James
	Ald Blomeley	Ald Mulder
	Ald Chong	Ald Warren
	Ald Edmunds	
	Ald Ewington	
	Ald Kennedy	
	Ald Peers	
	Ald von Bertouch	

11.3.6 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/013501 – 10 PERCY STREET, BELLERIVE - PARTIAL CHANGE OF USE TO BOTTLE SHOP AND ADDITIONS**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a partial change of use to a bottle shop and additions at 10 Percy Street, Bellerive.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned General Business and subject to the Road and Railway Assets Code, Parking and Access Code, Stormwater Management Code, Signs Code, Public Art Code and Hotel Industries Code under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Note: References to provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act) are references to the former provisions of the Act as defined in Schedule 6 – Savings and Transitional provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The former provisions apply to an interim planning scheme that was in force prior to the commencement day of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The commencement day was 17 December 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which expires with the consent of the applicant on 21 April 2021.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 56 representations were received within the statutory timeframe and nine submissions received outside of the statutory timeframe. The representors have raised the following issues:

- number of bottle shops;
- traffic impacts;
- lack of on-site parking;
- inappropriateness of delivery arrangements;
- impacts upon pedestrian/cyclist movements;
- impact upon amenity;
- alternative uses for site;
- alternative locations for use;
- visual impact;
- noise, and trading hours;
- inconsistency with strategic directions for the region;
- compulsory acquisition of site;
- inconsistency with recent Bellerive retail village review;

- antisocial behaviour;
- lack of consultation;
- inconsistency with requirements of Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015;
- inaccuracy in documentation; and
- support.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Development Application for a partial change of use to a bottle shop and additions at 10 Percy Street, Bellerive (CI Ref PDPLANPMTD-2020/013501) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. GEN C1 – ON-SITE CAR PARKING [A total of 38] and delete last two sentences.
3. GEN C2 – CASH-IN-LIEU [\$50,000] and [5].
4. GEN AM5 – TRADING HOURS [8am to 10pm, 7 days per week].
5. GEN AM7 – OUTDOOR LIGHTING.
6. External lighting must be turned off between 11.00pm and 6.00am, except for security lighting.
7. Commercial vehicle movements (including loading and unloading and garbage removal) to or from the site must be within the hours of:
 - (a) 6.00am to 10.00pm Monday to Saturday inclusive; and
 - (b) 7.00am to 9.00pm Sundays and public holidays.
8. Noise emissions measured at the boundary of the northern corner of 13 Scott Street must not exceed the following:
 - (a) 55dB(A) (LAeq) between the hours of 7.00am to 7.00pm;
 - (b) 5dB(A) above the background (LA90) level or 40dB(A) (LAeq), whichever is the lower, between the hours of 7.00pm to 7.00am;
 - (c) 65dB(A) (LAmix) at any time.

Measurement of noise levels must be in accordance with the methods in the Tasmanian Noise Measurement Procedures Manual, issued by the Director of Environmental Management, including adjustment of noise levels for tonality and impulsiveness. Noise levels are to be averaged over a 15 minute time interval.

A report from a suitably qualified person verifying the noise levels do not exceed this requirement must be submitted to Council within 30 days of the commencement of the use and 12 months thereafter. Should levels exceed this requirement, suitable mitigation must be undertaken to the satisfaction of Council's Senior Environmental Health Officer.

9. Any form of public address system or amplified music must not be audible outside the property.
10. GEN S3 – SIGN EXTERNAL ILLUMINATION.
11. GEN S7 – SIGN MAINTENANCE.
12. GEN S8 – SIGN ILLUMINATION HOURS.
13. ENG A5 – SEALED CAR PARKING.
14. ENG M1 – DESIGNS DA.
15. ENG S1 – INFRASTRUCTURE REPAIR.
16. A public art contribution valued at a ratio of at least 1% of the cost of the development, up to a maximum of \$20,000 is required prior to the issue of a Building Permit in accordance with E24.6 A1. In the event that the building works are staged, this payment may be deferred to a later stage to the satisfaction of Council's Manager City Planning.
17. LAND 1A – LANDSCAPE PLAN.
18. LAND 3 – LANDSCAPE BOND (COMMERCIAL).

ADVICE

- Condition 16 of the permit refers to the Public Art Code in the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 which provides that developments that cost over \$1M must provide a contribution to public art.

While this proposal did not address the applicable Performance Criteria and therefore relied on meeting the relevant Acceptable Solution, Council would be happy to consider amending the permit if the developer would prefer to provide public artworks under the Performance Criteria instead.

- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council's decision in respect of this matter.

/ Refer to Page 35 for Decision on this Item...

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/013501 – 10 PERCY STREET, BELLERIVE - PARTIAL CHANGE OF USE TO BOTTLE SHOP AND ADDITIONS /contd...

Ald Blomeley declared an Interest in this Item and left the Meeting prior to discussion (8.54pm).

Decision:	MOVED Ald James SECONDED Ald Mulder												
	<p>“A. That the Development Application for a partial change of use to a bottle shop and additions at 10 Percy Street, Bellerive (C1 Ref PDPLANPMTD-2020/013501) be refused for the following reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal does not satisfy the Performance Criteria 21.3.1 P1 as the hours of operation will have an unreasonable impact upon the residential amenity of land in a residential zone through commercial vehicle movements, noise or other emissions that are unreasonable in their timing, duration or extent. 2. The proposal does not satisfy the Performance Criteria E6.6.1 P1 in that the number of on-site car parking spaces is insufficient to meet the reasonable needs of users. 3. The proposal does not satisfy the Performance Criteria E26.5.1 P1 (a) of the Hotel Industries Code in that the operation of Hotel industry will have an unreasonable impact on the amenity and safety of the surrounding uses, having regard to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. P1(a)(i) the hours of operation and intensity of the proposed use; b. P1(a)(ii) impacts on parking in the vicinity. 4. The associated plans contain a facility described as a ‘Drive Through Service Area’ in contravention of Performance Criteria E26.5.1 P1 (d) of the Hotel Industries Code which prohibits a ‘drive through facility on a free-standing bottle shop site.’” <p>The MOTION was put and LOST</p> <table> <tr> <td>FOR</td><td>AGAINST</td></tr> <tr> <td>Ald James</td><td>Ald Chipman</td></tr> <tr> <td>Ald Kennedy</td><td>Ald Chong</td></tr> <tr> <td>Ald Mulder</td><td>Ald Edmunds</td></tr> <tr> <td>Ald Peers</td><td>Ald Ewington</td></tr> <tr> <td>Ald Warren</td><td>Ald von Bertouch</td></tr> </table>	FOR	AGAINST	Ald James	Ald Chipman	Ald Kennedy	Ald Chong	Ald Mulder	Ald Edmunds	Ald Peers	Ald Ewington	Ald Warren	Ald von Bertouch
FOR	AGAINST												
Ald James	Ald Chipman												
Ald Kennedy	Ald Chong												
Ald Mulder	Ald Edmunds												
Ald Peers	Ald Ewington												
Ald Warren	Ald von Bertouch												

/ Decision contd on Page 36...

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/013501 – 10 PERCY STREET, BELLERIVE - PARTIAL CHANGE OF USE TO BOTTLE SHOP AND ADDITIONS /Decision contd...

Decision:	FORESHADOWED MOTION MOVED Ald Ewington SECONDED Ald von Bertouch “That the Recommendation be adopted.” CARRIED	
	FOR Ald Chipman Ald Chong Ald Edmunds Ald Ewington Ald Mulder Ald von Bertouch	AGAINST Ald James Ald Kennedy Ald Peers Ald Warren

Ald Blomeley returned to the Meeting at this stage (9.20pm).

Council now concludes its deliberations as a Planning Authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act, 1993.

11.4 CUSTOMER SERVICE

Nil Items.

11.5 ASSET MANAGEMENT**11.5.1 BEGONIA STREET - TRAFFIC CALMING****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

To consider and determine a preferred option for traffic calming at Begonia Street, Lindisfarne and authorise the General Manager to undertake community consultation to determine the level of support for the preferred option.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan 2016-2026 is relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The Local Government Act 1993 and the Local Government (Highways) Act 1982 are relevant.

CONSULTATION

Extensive consultation has been carried out relevant to previous council decisions. No consultation, however, has occurred in relation to this agenda item.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The council adopted \$60,000 in the 2020/2021 Capital Program for Begonia Street consultation and detailed design on installing traffic calming measures.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

- A. Endorses Option 5 – “Sealed road with slow points and road humps” as its preferred option for upgrading Begonia Street, Lindisfarne.
- B. Authorises the General Manager to undertake community consultation, including a Community Information Session, to obtain the views of the community in respect to the preferred option, and report the results of the consultation to council once completed.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Edmunds **SECONDED** Ald Chong

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.5.2 SEVEN MILE BEACH SPORT AND ACTIVE RECREATION PRECINCT MASTER PLAN
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
PURPOSE

To consider placing the Seven Mile Beach Sport and Active Recreation Precinct Master Plan project on hold.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan 2016 – 2026 is relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

Prior to council approval of the revised Master Plan, local residents of Seven Mile Beach were written to and provided with the draft revised Seven Mile Beach Sport and Active Recreation Precinct Master Plan for their comment.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

As the Master Plan is to be placed on hold, it is recommended the remaining funds in this project be reallocated to Bayview Secondary College Sport Precinct Master Plan and ANZAC Park Football Pavilion Master Plan.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

- A. Places the Seven Mile Beach Sport and Active Recreation Precinct Master Plan on hold.
- B. Removes the \$7,800,000 loan borrowings for the Seven Mile Beach Sport and Active Recreation Master Plan project from the 2020-2021 Budget Estimates and advise State Treasury that the borrowing allocation is no longer required.
- C. Reallocate 2020-2021 Budget Estimate Funds as follows:
 - Re-allocate from the Capital Roads Program:
 - Active Recreation Master Plan – Bayview College
(for detailed design to Development Application stage) \$54,716
 - Re-allocate from the Capital Active Recreation Program:
 - Active Recreation Master Plan – Bayview College
(for detailed design to Development Application stage) \$379,700

Re-allocate from the Capital Active Recreation to the Facilities Program:

- Anzac Park Sports Pavilion
(for detailed design to Development Application stage) \$170,000

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Ewington **SECONDED** Ald von Bertouch

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED

FOR

Ald Chipman
Ald Blomeley
Ald Chong
Ald Edmunds
Ald Ewington
Ald James
Ald Kennedy
Ald Peers
Ald von Bertouch
Ald Warren

AGAINST

Ald Mulder

11.5.3 BAYVIEW SECONDARY COLLEGE SPORT PRECINCT DRAFT MASTER PLAN – COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

To seek approval to undertake community consultation on the draft master plan for the proposed community sport and recreation precinct at Bayview Secondary College.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan 2016 - 2026, Community Participation Policy and Recreation Needs Analysis (2019), and Council's Community Engagement Policy 2020 are relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

Council has undertaken consultation with key sporting stakeholders, Department of Education and Bayview Secondary College in relation to the draft master plan. Broader consultation with the community is now recommended.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Council allocated funding for the development of Bayview Secondary College Sport Precinct Master Plan. There are remaining funds within this project to undertake community consultation.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

- A. Approve the draft Bayview Secondary College Sport Precinct Master Plan for city-wide community consultation with the results to be reported to council.
- B. Authorise the General Manager to commence preliminary planning for the purpose of coordinating Development Application documentation to be prepared in anticipation of approval of the Master Plan following the completion and reporting of the community feedback.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Kennedy **SECONDED** Ald Ewington

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.5.4 ROSNY GOLF COURSE LAND – INTERIM PLAN**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

To consider an interim Public Open Space plan for the use of the land at 2/22 and 3/22 Rosny Hill Road, Rosny Park; known as the Rosny Park Public Golf Course.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan applies.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The Local Government Act 1993 is applicable.

CONSULTATION

Significant consultation in respect to the City Heart Project has occurred. That consultation confirmed that the community highly valued the Rosny Golf Course land as public open space. No specific consultation has occurred in respect to the Interim Plan.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Funds of \$50,000 are included in the 2020/2021 Annual Plan. Further funding to maintain the area as a park and provide some basic amenities will be considered as part of the FY2021/22 Estimates. It is not expected that significant additional funding will be required.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council authorises the General Manager to implement an interim plan for the land comprising the current Rosny Golf Course to include:
- Installation of signage to indicate the area is open to the public at the two main entry areas being the southern point adjacent the Rosny Farm Arts Centre and the northern area off Gordons Hill Road;
 - The existing club rooms and shed to be available for council's City Cultural staff usage; and
 - The open space to be maintained to a similar level of service as Charles Hand Park.
- B. Further expenditure to the area in accordance with the Interim Plan will be subject to approval from Council Budget Estimates.

Refer to Page 43 for Decision on this Item...

ROSNY GOLF COURSE LAND – INTERIM PLAN /contd...

Decision: **MOVED** Ald von Bertouch **SECONDED** Ald Ewington

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

The **MOTION** was **put** and **LOST**

FOR

Ald Blomeley

Ald Ewington

Ald von Bertouch

AGAINST

Ald Chipman

Ald Chong

Ald Edmunds

Ald James

Ald Kennedy

Ald Mulder

Ald Peers

Ald Warren

11.6 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT**11.6.1 FUNDING SUPPORT REQUEST – OLYMPIA FOOTBALL CLUB****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

To consider a request from Olympia Football Club Warriors Incorporated (“Olympia”) for council to provide a funding contribution toward a new changeroom facility located at 15 Dampier Street, Warrane (known as Warrior Park).

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Leases over Council Land Policy, Recreation Needs Analysis 2019, Financial Management Strategy and 2021/2022 Estimates are relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Not applicable.

CONSULTATION

Council received a presentation from Olympia representatives at a workshop held on 9 March 2021. Council discussed the request at its workshop held on 12 April.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Olympia has sought a financial contribution from council of \$470,000. The amount sought will be funded through a 50/50 split between capital and drawn down of council Asset Renewal cash reserve.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

- A. As part of its FY2021/22 Estimates, provides the Olympia Football Club Warriors Incorporated with a funding contribution to complete the construction of their proposed upgraded changeroom facility made up as follows:
- a cash contribution to the project totalling \$235,000;
 - a council funded loan totalling \$235,000, to be repaid over an agreed period not exceeding 15 years, on terms consistent with those previously approved by council and repayment of any unexpended funds at the conclusion of the project; and
 - the above amounts reduced equally by any additional grant funds received by Olympia before completion of the project.

- B. Authorises the General Manager to negotiate a loan agreement between Council and Olympia in accordance with the terms set out above.
- C. Authorises the General Manager to provide Olympia with a letter of comfort confirming Council’s decision, including payment of funds as soon as possible after 1 July 2021.

Ald Blomeley left the Meeting at this stage (9.58pm).

Decision:	MOVED Ald Chong SECONDED Ald Mulder
	“That Council:
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Amends the FY2020/21 Estimates, and provides the Olympia Football Club Warriors Incorporated with a funding contribution totalling \$470,000 to complete the construction of their proposed upgraded changeroom facility, made up as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a cash contribution to the project totalling \$235,000 funded from the Public Open Space Reserve; • a council funded loan totalling \$235,000, to be repaid over an agreed period not exceeding 15 years, on terms consistent with those previously approved by council and repayment of any unexpended funds at the conclusion of the project; and • the above amounts reduced equally by any additional grant funds received by Olympia before completion of the project. B. Authorises the General Manager to negotiate a loan agreement between Council and Olympia in accordance with the terms set out above. C. Authorises the General Manager to provide Olympia with payment of the agreed total amount as soon as possible after the loan agreement is signed by both parties.

/ Decision contd on Page 46...

FUNDING SUPPORT REQUEST – OLYMPIA FOOTBALL CLUB /Decision contd...

D Council considers in the FY2021/22 Estimates the replenishment of the Public Open Space Reserve at a minimum, of the equivalent amount in Part A.”

Ald Blomeley returned to the Meeting at this stage (10.01pm).

The **MOTION** was **put** and **CARRIED**

FOR

Ald Chipman
Ald Blomeley
Ald Chong
Ald Edmunds
Ald Ewington
Ald Kennedy
Ald Mulder
Ald Peers
Ald von Bertouch
Ald Warren

AGAINST

Ald James (abstained)

11.7 GOVERNANCE**11.7.1 RECONCILIATION ACTION PLAN****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider and approve a project plan for development of a Reconciliation Action Plan (RAP) for Clarence.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The following policies and plans are relevant:

- Draft Strategic Plan 2021-2031;
- Cultural History Plan 2018-2023;
- Interpreting Aboriginal Heritage in Clarence by Dr Tony Brown 2020;
- Cultural Heritage Interpretation Plan 2012;
- Clarence Tracks and Trails Strategy 2012; and
- Clarence Bushland and Coastal Strategy.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

The proposed project plan provides a consultation plan for the RAP development process.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The costs associated with the development of a RAP were approved as part of the 2020/2021 Estimates.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council approve the “RAP Project Plan” and authorises the General Manager to commence development of a Tier 2 Reconciliation Action Plan in accordance with the project plan.
- B. That Council appoints two Aldermen as representatives, one of whom will act as chairperson for the RAP Working Group (RAPWG).

/ Refer to Page 48 for Decision on this Item...

RECONCILIATION ACTION PLAN /contd...

Decision:	MOVED Ald Warren SECONDED Ald Kennedy	
	“That Council approve the ‘RAP Project Plan’ and authorises the General Manager to commence development of a Tier 2 Reconciliation Action Plan in accordance with the project plan”.	
	CARRIED	
	FOR	AGAINST
	Ald Chipman	Ald Ewington (abstained)
	Ald Blomeley	
	Ald Chong	
	Ald Edmunds	
	Ald James	
	Ald Kennedy	
	Ald Mulder	
	Ald Peers	
	Ald von Bertouch	
	Ald Warren	
	APPOINTMENTS	
	CHAIRPERSON OF THE RAP WORKING GROUP	
	Ald von Bertouch nominated Ald Warren	
	Ald Mulder nominated Ald Warren	
	Ald James nominated Ald Kennedy	
	Ald Kennedy declined the nomination	
	There being only 1 nomination Ald Warren was duly appointed	
	MEMBER OF THE RAP WORKING GROUP	
	Ald Warren nominated Ald Kennedy	
	Ald Kennedy nominated Ald Edmunds	
	Ald Edmunds nominated Ald Kennedy	
	Ald Mulder nominated Ald Edmunds	
	Ald Edmunds declined the nomination	
	There being only 1 nomination Ald Kennedy was duly appointed	

11.7.2 ROSNY PARK URBAN DESIGN FRAMEWORK

(ECM No 4505138)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To consider the adoption of the Rosny Park Urban Design Framework (UDF).

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The following are relevant:

- Council's Strategic Plan 2016-2026; and
- City Heart project.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

There are no specific legislative requirements.

CONSULTATION

The planning process for the development of the UDF has included consultation with relevant parties.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications associated with the adoption of the UDF. However, any future projects would require budgetary consideration, in the same manner that the Bayfield Street streetscape redevelopment did. Payments made for cash-in-lieu for car parking could be utilised in relevant elements of such projects.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopt the Rosny Park Urban Design Framework (March 2021).

Decision:

MOVED Ald Blomeley **SECONDED** Ald Mulder

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.7.3 ADOPTION OF THE CLARENCE CITY COUNCIL STRATEGIC PLAN 2021-2031**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of the report is to consider the adoption of the Strategic Plan 2021-2031.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The Strategic Plan as adopted by council forms the basis of council's strategies, policy development and annual plans.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The *Local Government Act* 1993 s.66, requires council to prepare a Strategic Plan for at least a 10 year period and that in preparing the Plan, council is to consult with the community (s.68). Council is required to review its strategic plan at least every four years (s.70E).

CONSULTATION

Comments on the draft Plan were sought through promotion via social media, media release, advertising, story in the Eastern Shore Sun, email to staff and a community survey via YourSay on council's internet site.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The Strategic Plan sets the framework for the allocation of resources, operating plan and annual budget for council.

RECOMMENDATION

That council adopt the Strategic Plan 2021-2031.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Mulder **SECONDED** Ald von Bertouch

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.7.4 DOG MANAGEMENT POLICY PRELIMINARY CONSULTATION PERIOD – FURTHER CONSULTATION PERIOD**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

To obtain endorsement by Council to reopen the public consultation period for the Dog Management Policy for a further 2-week period from 20 April 2021.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The Policy is consistent with Council's Strategic Plan 2016-2026 and existing policy on user pays fees and charges.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

This item is presented to Council as an urgent matter of business in accordance with Regulation 8(6) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

The matter is urgent it is necessary to preserve as much continuity with the original consultation as possible, given the substantial interest in providing feedback that emerged in the last days of the consultation.

The General Manager certifies under Section 65 of the Local Government Act, 1993 that the qualified advice required in this matter has been obtained and taken into account in the preparation of this report.

The Dog Control Act 2000 requires council to review its Dog Management Policy every five years.

CONSULTATION

A workshop was held with Aldermen in October 2020 with a follow-up survey of Aldermen through Have Your Say completed in November 2020. Discussions and meetings have been held with relevant organisations to assist council to identify issues for inclusion in the draft. Discussions were also held with internal stakeholders in Asset Management such as the Tracks and Trails and Natural Areas and Recreational Planning groups.

At its meeting on 9 February 2021, council endorsed a 4-week public consultation period which concluded on 12 April 2021.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There will be an additional cost in reopening the preliminary consultation process which may be covered within scope of the current budget.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council authorises the public consultation in respect of the draft Dog Management Policy to be reopened for a further 2-week period from 20 April 2021.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Edmunds **SECONDED** Ald Warren

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

12. ALDERMEN'S QUESTION TIME

An Alderman may ask a question with or without notice at Council Meetings. No debate is permitted on any questions or answers.

12.1 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

(Seven days before an ordinary Meeting, an Alderman may give written notice to the General Manager of a question in respect of which the Alderman seeks an answer at the meeting).

Nil

12.2 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Nil

12.3 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING

Ald Warren

1. Is Council aware of the litter problem at the Chemist Warehouse in Lindisfarne caused by the laminated sale labels on the footpath which constantly become detached and travel as far away as Beltana Street. If council is aware of this what authority does council have to act on that matter?

The Question was taken on notice

(Further information) Council rangers will undertake periodic inspections in the area to ensure that any litter issue is assessed and responded to appropriately.

2. Given that Premier Gutwein announced in the State of the State speech last week his intention that Tasmania should move towards a ban on all single use plastics may I ask and you may wish to take this on notice what clarence council's plans are to move to a similar ban on single use plastics in the municipality particularly on council run events?

Answer

There was a notice of motion some time ago in terms of single use plastics but we are intending to take it to council in the budget for a review of our waste strategy plan and then we can review the use of single use plastics as part of the review of our waste strategy which was adopted by council in the late 1990's.

Ald James

1. Is there any news in relation to the Boulevard Development? Has there been any date set that council will be receiving a development application?

ANSWER

We received an application for the Boulevard that was received some months ago. There was an information request issued and that is yet to be fully satisfied.

Question contd

Given that the Hobart City Council had a similar matter in relation to the chair lift will that necessarily delay the process and therefore it may seek additional time in order to an extension of time required?

ANSWER

(Mayor) The initiative rests with the applicant.

2. In relation to the Rosny Hill development has council received any interest or any other approaches from any other developer in regard to proceeding with the Rosny Hill development?

ANSWER

At this point we have had some initial discussion with Hunter Developments but certainly no detailed discussion about anyone else being involved in the project.

Ald Peers

My question is to you Mayor on what evidence that you had and as you know I was not happy with your comments to me that I had gate-crashed a meeting. I was very upset with that. As we discussed a meeting you were not at or even I believe in the building at the time and yet you told me I had gate-crashed a meeting which was with the Olympia Soccer Club before the presentation to council. It was one morning. It was with General Manager and myself and two representatives from Olympia. I was invited by Olympia but there is more to that and you have told me I have gate-crashed. What evidence did you have?

ANSWER

(Mayor) Well the fact is I thought it was a meeting between the General Manager and the CEO of Olympia. I didn't think it appropriate to attend and I did not think it appropriate for an elected representative to attend. I am not sure who invited you, would you like to explain?

Ald Peers

Yes, I may have a question for the General Manager, at least the General Manager was a bit more sympathetic. The General Manager noticed that Ald Peers had attended the meeting uninvited but recognised his right to attend should he wish but here's the funny part, here's the funny part that baffles me and I still cannot believe it to this day, a week before that meeting and here's the evidence, a week before that meeting I received an email from the General Manager a week before and it's here and I've got a photo of it to make sure. It's from Ian Nelson and it's got the word, I've never seen this before, requires John Peers, I've never seen that before on an email and it goes on to say Olympia club presentation and it's got the time, it's got General Manager's meeting room, Monday the 22nd 8.30 to 8.45. Now under that it's got again Olympia soccer club presentation.

Now I'm a bit baffled because when I went into the meeting I remember the General Manager to your credit, you said, oh I didn't know you were coming, I said well you sent me an email and you couldn't remember so I do have some sympathy because I know you must send thousands of emails every week. I understand that and I understand that you can forget but imagine how upset I was when the Mayor who only had to phone me up said I have gate-crashed a meeting?

ANSWER

(Mayor) I stand by those comments Ald Peers.

Ald Blomeley

1. I refer to the decision last Friday 19 March of the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal regarding 12 Park Street Bellerive once again finding for the appellant, Mr Mark Drury. Of course, Mr Mayor this comes on the back of 22 September 2020, the initial determination of the Tribunal and 24 February Supreme Court decision of Justice Brett

Mr Mayor can you advise the total cost to date the City of Clarence on behalf of our ratepayers, has incurred in our pursuit of this matter?

ANSWER

At this point in time we do not have any cost determination of those matters that includes the Supreme Court hearing of the appeal that council lodged and was successful in that resulted in the matter being remitted back to the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal for final determination which I understand had occurred last Friday. Those matters are still in play and we do not have those costs but I am happy to report them as they are available.

(Further information) The costs incurred in respect of the planning appeal before RMPAT were \$50,148.72 and the legal costs for the Supreme Court appeal were \$4,940.90. Total costs were \$55,089.62

2. I draw your attention to the conclusion of the Tribunal decision last Friday specifically 15C where it states the Tribunal will entertain any application for order of costs in this appeal if made to the tribunal in writing with supporting submissions within the next 21 days.

Clearly this has come in double figures 2 tribunal appearances and the Supreme Court hearing, there are significant costs for us moreover there is significant costs for the appellant a ratepayer of our city so what consideration has been given to compensating Mr Drury for his considerable financial outlay?

ANSWER

In usual circumstances there is no consideration beyond costs. That would be most unusual, the parties on both sides have legal rights and are entitled to exercise those rights.

Ald von Bertouch

1. Could Council be updated as to the arrangements for council administered COVID-19 vaccinations?

ANSWER

We have made contact with the Department of Health and offered whatever assistance we can provide. At this stage what is required is not known to us. I believe this week they start on vaccinations for Phase 1b and it is unlikely that we will be involved in that.

2. Is council going to be considering a line item in the 2021/2022 budget for supporting other local government areas across Tasmania and Australia when disaster situations occur, for example the current NSW floods?

ANSWER

The inclusion of any such line item will be a matter for Aldermen as part of the budget process. Should Aldermen wish to include an amount for such emergency responses to assist other councils then that is certainly a matter that can be considered during the budget process. Just to be complete about the answer, depending on the amount to be included if that was council's wish, that can be carried forward from year to year so there is an accumulated amount on the basis that natural disasters do not necessarily occur every year.

Ald Walker

1. This Sunday the good [inaudible] of Tianna Road awoke to find some resealing happening and I think it was a bit of a surprise to the residents close to Rowitta Road because in skate boarding terms that was almost [inaudible] smooth. It is not just Tianna and Rowitta Roads, it seems to be the area of Lindisfarne that is being resealed at the moment. Can you talk through the process of determining what roads need to be resealed and how that, especially in instances like this when it didn't seem visually to be urgent?

ANSWER

It is quite an extensive process in terms of looking at what roads need to be resealed so approximately every three to four years our roads are scanned by ARB and then put into a spreadsheet and they look at what the deformations are and also the time of when the road was originally done. There could be micro-surfacing or also doing measurements in terms of roughness, if the seal is actually too smooth then the cars may not have traction. We are trying to extend the pavement life to avoid road reconstruction because that method of pavement rectification is expensive. So, approximately every 10-15 years we look at resealing roads. That is to do a couple of things, one to provide additional roughness on the roads but also to prevent water penetration into the pavement because that is what destroys the pavement and makes it very expensive to repair. We go through our computer modelling and do visual inspections as well and then we look in terms of what our budget can afford for the financial year. We do a variety of slurry sealing, spray sealing and asphalt relaying to extend the life of the pavement as long as we can.

2. In relation to wasps of which certainly the 7015 postcode seems to be deluged with, I understand the circumstances if you find them on your own property for the appropriate person to deal with it and it is ,my understanding that wasp nests on council land are a safety issue for council to address. What is the process for residents or Aldermen who come across wasp nests in a council environment?

ANSWER

The process is that it is brought to our attention usually through the customer contact group and we will investigate. If we can locate a nest and it is causing a problem we will engage a pest exterminator to deal with it.

Ald Edmunds

1. In regard to page 5 of the Agenda under workshops it talks about to the Rosny Golf Course interim plan. Can I have confirmed what is the last day that people can play golf on that site?

ANSWER

The 30th April is the last day of the lease. The YMCA has advised that they may finish golf a week earlier, they have to remove all they need from the site. They are still to confirm a date but it will be a week before 30 April. I will advise Aldermen when a date is confirmed.

(Further information) The last day of YMCA operation of the Rosny Golf Course will be 24 April.

2. Regarding a possible State election which we could see as soon as 1 May, do we have a ready to roll election priority list that we have obviously provided information to the incumbent government over the last 3/12 years. Do we have a dossier sitting there for when we get a tap on the shoulder from other political parties including the one that has launched in the last couple of weeks?

ANSWER

We prepare information particularly around strategic projects and key issues that we ordinarily would provide to Ministers and other political representatives in meetings. We keep that updated as council priorities and decisions change so as a separate dossier/booklet no, but we do keep a schedule of information that we use as talking points.

(Mayor) As a matter of course the General Manager and I meet with representative from all political parties over the year and we keep them up to date with all council's latest decisions on what its priorities are. That's been on-going but I'm not aware of anything we have put together specifically for this election coming up

Ald Ewington

1. Following Ald Blomeley's question regarding the cost of the latest case in Park Street, in light of our propensity to do this lately I would be interested in the cost of external lawyers across the last few months or going back the last two years would be great to know the cost of external lawyers or planners to fight officer approved planning applications but also to include the cost of internal staff time in that as well. I think it is important that we share that as Aldermen and I think it should be in the public arena as well

ANSWER

My recollection was that we prepared something like that in the early stages of the budget process that includes costs associated with briefing external counsel on a variety of issues and it was provided in the context of expenditure this year compared to one or two previous years. We do not cost the officer time in that so it is purely external cost that arises from invoices but we can update that information if required.

(Further information) The cost of external legal representation and experts associated with current appeals and appeals concluded in the current financial year are set out in the table below. The table also indicates the officer recommendation and the decision:

Address	Proposal	Decision as recommended?	Status	Total Cost (\$)
MUDs, 12 Park Street, Bellerive	MUDs	yes	completed	55,089.62
20 Regal Court, Seven Mile Beach	Subdivision	no	current	60,567.55
29 Corinth Street, Howrah	Dwelling	Yes (delegated)	completed	1,474.00
476 & 488 South Arm Road, Lauderdale	Bottle shop	Yes (delegated)	current	3,731.20
51 Cranston Parade, Cambridge	subdivision	n/a - concerned DA information	completed	3,720.20
754 Dorans Rd, Sandford	jetty	no	completed	3,806.00
84 East Derwent Highway, Lindisfarne	MUDs	no	completed	10,311.40
Tasman Highway	Airport interchange	yes	completed	117,566.90
12a Akuna St, Rosny	Hotel	yes	completed	87,606.3
1 Cremorne Avenue, Cremorne	3 Multiple dwellings	no	Completed	29,103.00

2. I convinced my colleagues to support a Healthy Communities Grant application for a Ninja Park warrior course for Rokeby. Could you update me on when that is coming up for consideration?

ANSWER

I have not had advice of a date I will follow up and advise Aldermen.

(Further information) We have been advised that grant applications are not being finalised at this time due to the calling of the state election and government therefore entering a caretaker period.

Ald Kennedy

Could we have an update on the current lease situation at Rokeby Neighbourhood House?

ANSWER

(Mayor) I have a meeting with the CEO there on Friday and I believe that Julie Collins was going to attend but she has pulled out at the last minute but we will go ahead with the meeting.

(Further information) Lease negotiations have now concluded with the lease signed.]

Ald Mulder

Leveraging off Ald Peers' issue given that Ald Peers was "required" to attend the Olympia meeting, in hindsight do you think your gate-crashing accusation was appropriate and should there not be an apology?

ANSWER

(Mayor) Once again I stand by my comment. When you say required to attend I don't understand why an elected representative would be required to attend. Certainly council did not require him to attend. I'm wondering who he is representing.

Question contd

I think Ald Peers made it quite clear that he received an email or electronic communication from the General Manager which had the word required to attend

ANSWER

(General Manager) In the intervening period I have checked my diary and I have an invitation for 8.30am on 22 February with Sarah Black the General Manager for Olympia and no other attendees showing. I would need to see the communication because what I have looks different.

(Mayor) We will follow up but my understanding is Ald Peers was not invited. I stand by my comments.

12.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

An Alderman may ask a Question without Notice of the Chairman or another Alderman or the General Manager. Note: the Chairman may refuse to accept a Question without Notice if it does not relate to the activities of the Council. A person who is asked a Question without Notice may decline to answer the question.

Questions without notice and their answers will be recorded in the following Agenda.

The Chairman may refuse to accept a question if it does not relate to Council's activities.

The Chairman may require a question without notice to be put in writing. The Chairman, an Alderman or the General Manager may decline to answer a question without notice.

13. CLOSED MEETING

Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meetings Procedures) Regulations 2015 provides that Council may consider certain sensitive matters in Closed Meeting.

The following matters were listed in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda in accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

13.1 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

13.2 SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY TO COUNCIL CONTESTABLE SITE - 2021

In accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 the reports in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda were dealt with on the grounds that the detail covered in the reports relates to:

- contracts and tenders for the supply of goods and services;
- applications by Aldermen for a Leave of Absence.

The content of reports and details of the Council decisions in respect to items listed in “Closed Meeting” are to be kept “confidential” and are not to be communicated, reproduced or published unless authorised by the Council.

Decision:	PROCEDURAL MOTION MOVED Ald Edmunds SECONDED Ald Warren “That the Meeting be closed to the public to consider Regulation 15 matters, and that members of the public be required to leave the meeting room”.	
	CARRIED	
	FOR Ald Chipman Ald Blomeley Ald Chong Ald Edmunds Ald Ewington Ald Kennedy Ald Mulder Ald Peers Ald von Bertouch Ald Warren	AGAINST Ald James (abstained)

CLOSED MEETING /CONTD...

The following Closed Meeting Motion has been authorised by Council for publication in the public Minutes.

13.2 SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY TO COUNCIL CONTESTABLE SITES - 2021

Decision:	MOVED Ald Chong SECONDED Ald Kennedy
	<p>“A. That council notes that the electricity supply for the four large energy using sites has been contracted under a fixed price agreement with Shell Energy for 2.5 years, from 1 January 2023 for Clarence Aquatic Centre, Clarence City Council Offices, Wentworth Park Sports Complex and Clarence City Council Depot.</p> <p>B. That council notes a contract has been signed for the supply of electricity to 78 small energy using sites with Aurora Energy for four years from 1 July 2021 to 30 June 2025.</p> <p>C. That, in accordance with Regulation 34(3) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council authorises for release of the Council’s decision (only) in respect to this item to the general public via the open minutes of this meeting and for communication to relevant parties.”</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY</p>

The Meeting closed at 10.52pm.