

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE CLARENCE CITY COUNCIL HELD AT THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, BLIGH STREET, ROSNY PARK, ON MONDAY 24 FEBRUARY 2020

HOURL CALLED: 7.00pm

PRESENT: The meeting commenced at 7.01pm with the Mayor (Ald D C Chipman) in the Chair and with Aldermen:

B A Blomeley
H Chong
D Ewington
R H James
W Kennedy
T Mulder
J Peers
S von Bertouch
J Walker
B Warren; present.

1. APOLOGIES L Edmunds (Leave of Absence)

ORDER OF BUSINESS Items 1 – 13

IN ATTENDANCE

General Manager
(Mr I Nelson)

Chief Financial Officer
(Ms M Coleman)

Group Manager Engineering Services
(Mr R Graham)

Manager Health and Community Development
(Mr J Toohey)

Manager City Planning
(Mr R Lovell)

Manager Communication and Strategic Development
(Mr A Saddler)

Executive Officer to the General Manager
(Ms J Ellis)

The Meeting closed at 9.40pm.

Prior to the commencement of the meeting, the Mayor made the following declaration:

“I acknowledge the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the traditional custodians of the land on which we meet today, and pay respect to elders, past and present”.

The Mayor also advised the Meeting and members of the public that Council Meetings, not including Closed Meeting, are audio-visually recorded and published to Council’s website.

COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY 24 FEBRUARY 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
1.	APOLOGIES AND ATTENDANCE	5
2.	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	5
3.	MAYOR’S COMMUNICATION.....	5
4.	COUNCIL WORKSHOPS.....	6
5.	DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS OF ALDERMAN OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE	6
6.	TABLING OF PETITIONS	7
7.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	8
7.1	PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	8
7.2	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	8
7.3	ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE	8
7.4	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE.....	9
8.	DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.....	11
9.	MOTIONS ON NOTICE	12
9.1	NOTICE OF MOTION - ALD MULDER VIDEO SURVEILLANCE.....	12
9.2	NOTICE OF MOTION - ALD BLOMELEY CLARENCE’S FUTURE PLANNING SCHEME – COMMUNITY INFORMATION SESSIONS.....	13
10.	REPORTS FROM OUTSIDE BODIES.....	14
10.1	REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES.....	14
	• COPPING REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE JOINT AUTHORITY	
	• TASMANIAN WATER CORPORATION	
	• GREATER HOBART COMMITTEE	
10.2	REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE BODIES ..	15
11.	REPORTS OF OFFICERS	39
11.1	WEEKLY BRIEFING REPORTS	39

11.2	DETERMINATION ON PETITIONS TABLED AT PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS	40
11.2.1	PETITION – SUBDIVISION APPLICATION 2019/003190 – 12 ST JOHNS CIRCLE, 9 PROSSERS ROAD AND 41 WELLINGTON STREET	40

11.3 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

11.3.1	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/006436 – 25 VASILI COURT, OAKDOWNS - OUTBUILDING	42
11.3.2	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/006688 – 2 ALMA STREET, BELLERIVE - DEMOLITION OF EXISTING DWELLING AND EXISTING TOILET BLOCK.....	44
11.3.3	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2019/003190 – 12 ST JOHNS CIRCLE, 9 PROSSERS ROAD AND 41 WELLINGTON STREET, RICHMOND - 43 RESIDENTIAL LOTS, ROAD LOTS AND BALANCE.....	46
11.3.4	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2019/005682 – 15 HOWRAH ROAD, HOWRAH - VISITOR ACCOMMODATION (10 ACCOMMODATION UNITS).....	54

11.4 CUSTOMER SERVICE - NIL ITEMS

11.5 ASSET MANAGEMENT - NIL ITEMS

11.6 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT - NIL ITEMS

11.7 GOVERNANCE

11.7.1	QUARTERLY REPORT TO 31 DECEMBER 2019	61
11.7.2	ADOPTION OF COUNCIL POLICY – MEMORIALS POLICY	62
11.7.3	COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT POLICY	63
11.7.4	CITY HEART PROJECT.....	64
12.	ALDERMEN’S QUESTION TIME.....	66
12.1	QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	66
12.2	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	66
12.3	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING.....	67
12.4	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE.....	72
13.	CLOSED MEETING.....	73
13.1	APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	
13.2	TENDER T1302-19 CONCRETE – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY 2020-2021	

- 13.3 TENDER T1343-19 – ROSNY HILL ROAD PATHWAY UPGRADE
- 13.4 ANNUAL FOOTPATH RECONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2020-2021
- 13.5 TENDER T1321-19 OCEANA DRIVE AND CARELLA STREET-ROAD RECONSTRUCTION WORKS

1. APOLOGIES AND ATTENDANCE

Refer to cover page.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

(File No. 10/03/01)

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 3 February 2020, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Blomeley **SECONDED** Ald Peers

“That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 3 February 2020, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. MAYOR'S COMMUNICATION

The Mayor reported on the following meetings and attendances since the last Council Meeting:

- 4 February – Affordable Housing Announcement;
- 6 February – Exhibition Opening;
- 12 February – TasWater Meeting;
- 16 February – Opening – Richmond Highland Gathering;
- 18 February – Speaking Engagement – Lauderdale Probus Club;
- 18 February – Great Hobart Mayors' Forum;
- 20 February – First Settlers Annual Floral Tribute;
- 20 February – Update regarding Tasman Highway Traffic Solutions with DSG;
- 21 February – Speaking Engagement – Rosny School for Seniors;
- 21 February – Opening Riversdale Estate; and
- 21 February – Tasmanian Leaders Program Graduation.

4. COUNCIL WORKSHOPS

In addition to the Aldermen's Meeting Briefing (workshop) conducted on Friday immediately preceding the Council Meeting the following workshops were conducted by Council since its last ordinary Council Meeting:

PURPOSE	DATE
Boulevard Site Update	
City Heart Project	
Budget Process	
No Spray Register	11 February
Presentation by DPZ	
Community Engagement Policy Consultation	
South Arm Skate Park Acoustic Assessment	
Lindisfarne Pedestrian Safety	17 February

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council notes the workshops conducted.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald von Bertouch **SECONDED** Ald Kennedy

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS OF ALDERMAN OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE

In accordance with Regulation 8 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 and Council's adopted Code of Conduct, the Mayor requests Aldermen to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have a pecuniary interest (any pecuniary benefits or pecuniary detriment) or conflict of interest in any item on the Agenda.

INTEREST DECLARED: **NIL.**

6. TABLING OF PETITIONS

Nil.

7. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Public question time at ordinary Council meetings will not exceed 15 minutes. An individual may ask questions at the meeting. Questions may be submitted to Council in writing on the Friday 10 days before the meeting or may be raised from the Public Gallery during this segment of the meeting.

The Chairman may request an Alderman or Council officer to answer a question. No debate is permitted on any questions or answers. Questions and answers are to be kept as brief as possible.

7.1 PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

(Seven days before an ordinary Meeting, a member of the public may give written notice to the General Manager of a question to be asked at the meeting). A maximum of two questions may be submitted in writing before the meeting.

Mr James Mancey has given notice of the following questions:

SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS

- 1) Does Council believe five days is sufficient time for Alderman to digest development applications of high community significance? Taking into account the planners' comments, community representations and due diligence where required.
- 2) Should Council continue to act as a Planning Authority on larger development applications or ones with a higher community significance than the normal, considering the likely outcome will end up at RMPAT, wouldn't the Tasmanian Planning Authority or a new independent body be better positioned to deal with these types of applications?

7.2 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

The Mayor may address Questions on Notice submitted by members of the public.

SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS

In response to Mr Mancey's questions at Item 7.1, the Mayor advised that Council has not formed a view. Both activities are statutory processes and the Government is currently conducting a review of Local Government legislation. The Mayor encouraged Mr Mancey to contact the Director of Local Government in regard to those matters raised.

7.3 ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

7.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Mrs J Marsh of Bellerive asked the following question:

BELLERIVE BEACH PARK

On 7 November 2019 an on-site meeting to discuss my concerns regarding the stewardship of the Bellerive Beach park area was attended by Ian Nelson and Ross Graham. My concerns were about the obstruction of the shared pathway, non-replacement of trees and maintenance of existing trees. Interestingly, vision of the area I expressed concern about featured on a recent news item about Glenorchy, Launceston and Clarence's poor rating in its accumulative loss of trees, rust damage, heavy vehicles damaging the surface of the park, general neglect of the undeveloped areas of the park and unsightly noisy areas around the oval perimeter. Now that more than three months have lapsed since our meeting, how and when does Council intend to respond to my concerns?

ANSWER

The Mayor took the question on notice.

Mr V Marsh of Bellerive asked the following question:

PARKING – KANGAROO BAY

I have provided photos of cars parked in the caged areas of the Kangaroo Bay Hotel site and the Boulevard site. When events such as the Crown Series, the Big Bash, AFL football and the junior soccer clinic, along with the busy Christmas shopping period happen, where are the cars going to go when these developments are under way?

ANSWER

The Mayor took the question on notice.

Mr V Marsh of Bellerive asked the following question:

ROSNY SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT

With the completion of the proposed developments of Rosny Hill Hotel and Hospitality School, the Boulevard Apartments, the upgrade of the Bellerive Yacht Club, the Aged Care Facility at Wirksworth and the on-going developments in Gordons Hill Road, along with all the other villas and apartments popping up all over Bellerive, how are the Clarence City Council ensuring that the Rosny Sewerage Treatment Plant can cope with the extra demand?

ANSWER

The General Manager advised the extra demand will be dealt with in consultation with TasWater, who are the service provider. When Council engage in development approvals for different developments, there is always consultation with TasWater in relation to those infrastructure items.

/contd on Page 10...

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE /contd...

Mr Greg Ross of Richmond asked the following question:

LOCAL AREA OBJECTIVE/FUTURE CHARACTER STATEMENT

Why does Clarence City Council not have a current applicable local area objective or desired future character statement for Richmond?

ANSWER

Council's Manager City Planning advised that under the Planning Scheme, zones may provide Local Area Objectives and Future Character Statements. The Scheme does not provide a mechanism to apply them over an urban area; specifically, they are zone based not locality based.

Richmond village contains a number of zones – General Business, General Residential, Open Space, Rural Resource, Community Purpose and Recreation. None of these zones contain Local Area Objectives and Future Character Statements for any land in Clarence which is subject to these zones. However, in this case, Richmond's valued historic precinct is recognised and protected by the Historic Heritage Code.

While the zones do not include Local Area Objectives and Future Character Statements for each zone in Richmond, overtime a significant effort has gone into planning for Richmond and its valuable assets. This has included the Richmond Cultural Resource Management Plan, which lead to the development of the heritage planning controls in Richmond originally introduced through the Clarence Planning Scheme 2007; Council's Heritage Advisory Service; the Richmond Townscape Study, which sets streetscape design standards to protect the historic integrity of the heritage precinct.

Mr Greg Ross of Richmond asked the following question:

RICHMOND BRIDGE – VIBRATION SYSTEM

Back in 2010 there was a study on the Conservation Management Plan by the Department of Infrastructure Energy and Resources. A lot of it does state about traffic hazards that were likely to impact at that time. It also refers to a vibration system that I have recently been informed is not currently working but that a new one will be installed to monitor the bridge. When will it be acceptable that a study can be used for monitoring what traffic is doing in the future?

ANSWER

The Mayor advised that the bridge is managed by the State Government, however, Council would be happy to intercede on his behalf and enquire of them of what their plans are.

8. DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

(In accordance with Regulation 38 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 and in accordance with Council Policy, deputation requests are invited to address the Meeting and make statements or deliver reports to Council)

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2019/003190 – 12 ST JOHNS CIRCLE, 9 PROSSERS ROAD AND 41 WELLINGTON STREET, RICHMOND – 43 RESIDENTIAL LOTS, ROAD LOTS AND BALANCE

(REFER ITEM 11.3.3)

Mr James Mancey addressed the Meeting regarding the above Development Application.

Mr Brett Davidson addressed the Meeting regarding the above Development Application.

9. MOTIONS ON NOTICE**9.1 NOTICE OF MOTION - ALD MULDER
VIDEO SURVEILLANCE**

In accordance with Notice given it was:

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Mulder **SECONDED** Ald James

“That Council requests an Officer’s report on acquiring portable video surveillance to deter and detect anti-social behaviour in public places and that the report and costings be considered in the 2020-21 budget deliberations”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**9.2 NOTICE OF MOTION - ALD BLOMELEY
CLARENCE'S FUTURE PLANNING SCHEME – COMMUNITY INFORMATION
SESSIONS**

In accordance with Notice given it was:

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Blomeley **SECONDED** Ald Kennedy

“As the Clarence City Council component of the future Tasmanian Planning Scheme is currently open for submissions, this Council:

- a). will facilitate opportunities for interested community members to avail themselves of the proposed changes via one-on-one information sessions, including after normal business hours, at the Council Offices, during the fortnight beginning Monday, 2 March 2020;
- b). will widely advertise these community information sessions; and
- c). the costs for advertising and any applicable overtime to be sourced from Council's Planning Scheme Budget allocation”.

CARRIED

FOR

Ald Blomeley
Ald Chipman
Ald Chong
Ald Ewington
Ald Kennedy
Ald Mulder
Ald Peers
Ald von Bertouch
Ald Walker
Ald Warren

AGAINST

Ald James (abstained)

10. REPORTS FROM OUTSIDE BODIES

This agenda item is listed to facilitate the receipt of both informal and formal reporting from various outside bodies upon which Council has a representative involvement.

10.1 REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES

Provision is made for reports from Single and Joint Authorities if required.

Council is a participant in the following Single and Joint Authorities. These Authorities are required to provide quarterly reports to participating Councils, and these will be listed under this segment as and when received.

- **COPPING REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE JOINT AUTHORITY**

Representatives: Ald James Walker
(Ald Luke Edmunds, Deputy Representative)

Quarterly Reports

September and December 2020 pending.

Representative Reporting

- **TASWATER CORPORATION**

- **GREATER HOBART COMMITTEE**

The Mayor tabled the Greater Hobart Mayors' Forum action sheet for the Meeting held on 18 February 2020.

10.2 REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE BODIES**TRACKS AND TRAILS ADVISORY COMMITTEE – QUARTERLY REPORT**

(File No ECM 3757693)

Chairperson's Report –Alderman D Ewington

Report to Council for the 3-month period 1 October 2019 to 31 December 2019.

1. PRINCIPAL OBJECTIVES AND GOALS

The Committee's prime objectives are to:

- provide advice and make recommendations, including policy, to assist Council in the development of tracks and trails in the City;
- assist in the development and periodic review of Council's Tracks and Trails Strategy;
- develop and maintain a Tracks and Trails Register which captures all existing and possible future trail and track networks (including multi-user pathways) in Clarence;
- develop and review (on a rolling basis) the Tracks and Trails Action Plan for endorsement by Council that articulates the development initiatives prioritised and proposed to be conducted over a 5 year programme which recognises the access and needs of all users eg: walkers, horse riders, mountain bikers, etc;
- monitor progress and work to address the actions of the plan according to their level of priority; and
- as part of internal referral processes to provide input and advice on the provision and requirements for trail networks and the provision of trail linkages as part of new subdivisions.

In working towards these goals, the Committee undertook a range of activities, which are set out below.

2. CAPITAL WORKS PROJECT**Waverley Flora Park perimeter Track**

A Track was installed between Quarry Road and Winifred Curtis entrance.

Clarence Coastal Trail – Mays Point to Cremorne (Cremorne)

Work has started on the track at the Cremorne end after a delay as a result of a resident wanting a different alignment considered. A new track has been constructed from Forest Hill Road to the beach, replacing the previous steep footpad.

Clarence Coastal Trail – Cleve Court

Council will consider a petition by residents to not proceed with the extension of the foreshore track to Cleve Court.

3. RECURRENT INITIATIVES – MAINTENANCE AND UPGRADES**Tangara Trail**

Post and rail fencing will be expanded and completed at trail entrance points.

Tangara Trail – Black Peppermint Track (Single Hill)

The steep side slope on the new track off Single Hill at Cahill Place has been benched and gravelled.

Blessington Track Realignment

A steep pinch point midway along the track by the TasWater access roadway has been realigned along the contour.

4. DESIGN AND INVESTIGATION WORK IN PROGRESS**Simmons Hill Descent Track (Cycle Tourism Grant)**

Quotes have been sought to construct the track on Hansons property from the skyline fire trail to the lower section of the Stringy Bark Gully Track.

Clarence Coastal Trail – Mays Point to Cremorne

Aboriginal Heritage surveys have been completed and a licence has been received from Crown Lands to construct the track. PWS will survey the new boundary for the Calverts Hill Nature Reserve. A draft plan has been received from the surveyors. We are working through the removal process of the original “Public Reserve” designation (this was not removed at the time of NR declaration) before submitting for reclassification of part of the Nature Reserve.

Barilla Rivulet – Cambridge Oval to Backhouse Lane (Cambridge)

A plan has been sent to Tasmania Fire Service regarding leasing a section of their property for a track along the rivulet and it is currently under consideration. Weed clearing has been done on Council's section of the rivulet behind the old holding ponds so it is now possible to walk along the rivulet to the caravan park.

Cremorne Avenue Track

A Reserve Activity Plan has been developed which includes a footpath alongside Cremorne Avenue. Contact has been made with the residents adjoining Cremorne Avenue and further discussions will need to be held around finalising a track alignment.

5. GOVERNANCE MATTERS.**Committee Meetings**

Two committee meetings were held on 17 October and 12 December and a Special meeting was held on 31 October to discuss the Rosny Hill Development.

6. EXTERNAL LIAISON

None.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Chairperson's Report be received by Council.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Ewington **SECONDED** Ald Kennedy

“That the Chairperson's Report be received by Council”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BICYCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE – QUARTERLY REPORT

(File No ECM 3757613)

Chairperson's Report – Alderman D Ewington

Report to Council for the 3-month period 1 October 2019 to 31 December 2019.

1. PRINCIPAL OBJECTIVES AND GOALS

The Committee's prime objectives are to:

- advise Council on the identification, development and maintenance of cycling routes and infrastructure along roads and other easements throughout the City;
- facilitate and provide guidance for the implementation of Council's adopted Bicycle Strategy;
- be actively involved in providing design advice relating to cycling infrastructure projects undertaken by Council;
- be actively involved in providing advice to Cycling South on matters relating to regional cycling infrastructure; and
- promote information sharing of cycling related matters affecting the City.

In working towards these goals, the Committee arranged and implemented a range of activities, which are set out below.

2. CAPITAL WORKS PROJECTS**Clarence Foreshore Trail – Tasman Bridge to Montagu Bay Park, Montagu Bay**

Construction of the first stage, from the area under the Tasman Bridge, through the ex-SES site and around the Primary School Oval is complete. Design and completion of the next stage is dependent on progress by the Department of Education of new building works near the foreshore reserve area of their property. A decision has been made to re-direct the remaining funds to the next section of path, south of Montagu Bay, towards Rosny Point. Preliminary design is complete and Aboriginal Heritage approval has been obtained. Works to be programmed for construction by Council's works crews.

Clarence Foreshore Trail –Simmons Park to Anzac Park, Lindisfarne

Civil works for the section from Simmons Park to Ford Parade are complete. Funds have been allocated in the 2019/2020 capital budget for the next section to the Lindisfarne Yacht Club. Design is largely complete, and stakeholders have commented on parking issues associated with the proposed design. Stakeholder engagement is ongoing. Approval for the works has been obtained from Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania and Crown Land Services have approved a variation to Council's lease.

Clarence Foreshore Trail at Bellerive – Beach Street to High Street

Works were completed by Council's works crews to upgrade this 200m section of narrow, asphalt path to 3m wide concrete, refer to photo below.



3. RECURRENT INITIATIVES

Nil.

4. DESIGN AND INVESTIGATION WORK IN PROGRESS**Tasman Highway – Extension from Tasman Bridge to Montagu Bay Road**

Council has been successful in receiving funding of \$70,000 under the Vulnerable Road User Program for this project, with additional funds to be provided by Council. A road reserve maintenance agreement has been negotiated with the Department of State Growth (DSG), to establish responsibilities between DSG and Council, as the works are located within the State Road Reserve. Design is complete and construction is programmed to commence in late February 2020.

Rosny Hill Road – Highway Overpass to Rosny Barn Carpark

Detailed design has been completed, in liaison with DSG, to adjust lane widths on Rosny Hill Road to provide for widening and replacement of the existing path. Initially it was intended to tender these works for construction over the summer holiday period when traffic volumes on Rosny Hill Road are lower, however, tenders received were well outside Council's allocated budget for the project. Additional funds have been assigned to the project through a Council decision, in deferring the proposed multi-user path upgrade at Howrah Road, and the Rosny Hill Road works have been re-tendered with a more flexible timeframe for construction.

5. 2019/20 CAPITAL BUDGET.

The following cycling infrastructure related projects were allocated funds in the 2019/2020 capital budget:

- Clarence Foreshore Trail at Lindisfarne – Ford Parade to Yacht Club - \$150k;
- Clarence Foreshore Trail at Bellerive – Beach Street to High Street - \$150k;
- additional funds for Rosny Hill path – \$150k;
- Howrah Road multi-user path between the Clarence Foreshore Trail at the service station to the beach access opposite Bingley Street – \$106k. Noting that this project has been deferred, with the funding reallocated to the Rosny Hill path upgrade.

- Howrah Road design for cyclist improvements between the Clarence Foreshore Trail and Clarence Street – \$40k; and
- Rosny Hill Road pedestrian overpass to Kangaroo Bay – design for connection improvements – \$25k.

6. GOVERNANCE MATTERS.

Committee Meeting

The Committee held two meetings during the quarter; on 7 October 2019 and 2 December 2019.

7. EXTERNAL LIAISON

Preliminary discussions have occurred with DSG and Council officers on the proposed Derwent Ferry service between the Hobart CBD and Bellerive. DSG see cyclists as being an important potential user of this service and are interested in how cycling connections could be improved to access a future ferry terminal at Bellerive.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Chairperson's Report be received by Council.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Ewington **SECONDED** Ald Blomeley

“That the Chairperson's Report be received by Council”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND GRANTS COMMITTEE – QUARTERLY REPORT

(File No Ecm 3757703)

Chairperson's Report – Alderman Beth Warren

Report to Council for the 3-month period 1 October 2019 to 31 December 2019.

1. PRINCIPAL OBJECTIVES AND GOALS

The Committee's prime objectives are to:

- advise Council on the strategic planning and management of bushland and coastal reserves and parks throughout the City;
- provide advice on Council's Reserve Activity Plans and Catchment Management Plans in the context of the "Clarence Bushland and Coastal Strategy";
- administer, in conjunction with Council, the Land and Coast Care Grants Program;
- facilitate and provide guidance for the implementation of Council's adopted "Clarence Bushland and Coastal Strategy"; and
- promote information sharing of natural resource related matters affecting the City.

In working towards these goals, the Committee, in conjunction with Council's Natural Assets Officer, implemented a range of activities which are set out below.

2. CAPITAL WORKS PROJECTS**Waverley Flora Park Avenue of Honour**

Plants have been watered in the landscaped areas of the Waverley Flora Park Avenue of Honour, including the entrance. Garden beds about the memorial site have been hand weeded and surrounding areas with weed growth, including thistles and flat weeds have been treated with herbicide.

Sandstone seating has been ordered from Castle Stone in Buckland for installation in the next quarter.

Richmond Recreation Reserve – Install Boom Gates and Rock Barriers

Post and rail fencing have been installed at the entrance to Richmond Recreation Reserve to meet with the previously installed sandstone blocks (see Figure 1).



Figure 1 – Post and Rail Fencing at the Entrance to Richmond Recreation Reserve

Three-metre-wide boom gates have been placed on order and will be installed next quarter to complete the capital project.

3. RECURRENT INITIATIVES

Implement Natural Area Reserve Activity Plans

- **Glebe Hill Bushland Reserve**

All entrances to Glebe Hill Bushland Reserve received maintenance during the quarter by contractors. Landscaped areas were hand weeded, long grass was brush cut and blackberry thickets at several entrances treated.

- **She Oak Point**

An established illegal camp was decommissioned at She Oak Point recently. Unfortunately, a significant amount of vegetation was damaged in the park, assumedly by the illegal campers.

Glass bottles and rubbish was also cleaned up and disposed. Vandalised vegetation was pruned and or removed to make the reserve tidy.

- **Brinktop Bushland Reserve**

The area about the Brinktop Bushland Reserve sign at the start of Brinktop Road was tidied. Previously treated gorse plants that had died were removed using chainsaws and transferred off-site. Long grass, flat weeds and thistle was brush cut to make the area more aesthetically pleasing.

- **Seven Mile Beach Coastal Reserve**

Coastal wattle is being over-successful in many places along the Seven Mile Beach Coastal Reserve. Near walkways and beach access ways coastal wattle often grows over paths and becomes a nuisance. Conservation Volunteer Australia were engaged to trim many of the beach access paths of coastal wattle. The green waste was put aside in piles and later chipped by a large chipper and truck.

- **Geilston Bay Coastal Reserve**

The area of coastal reserve at Geilston Bay between the Geilston Bay Boat Club and Granville Avenue received maintenance. Grass was brush cut, weeds were treated (mostly blackberry near storm water outlets) and branches were pruned away from the gravel path.

- **Risdon Vale Rivulet Reserve Entrance Landscaping**

The entrance to Rison Vale Rivulet at the Kerria Road/Grass Tree Hill Road intersection received a “face lift” with upgraded landscaping occurring. Large dolerite landscaping rock was used to create borders for garden bed areas using an excavator (see Figure 2).



Figure 2 – Newly landscaped entrance at Risdon Vale near Kerria Road

Several larger rocks were placed about an entrance node further along the track where more plants will be planted in Autumn. The track was resurfaced with new gravel and fresh mulch has been added to garden bed areas making the appearance of the area very inviting.

- **Tranmere Coastal Reserve**

Tranmere Coastal Reserve received thorough maintenance during the quarter. Track verges, storm water outlet areas and other grass areas not mowed by depot staff were brush cut. A “weed sweep” was done by contractors with blackberry, boneseed, broom and African boxthorn plants treated.

- **Lagoon Road Bushland Reserve, Otago Bay**

The Lagoon Road Bushland Reserve was tidied by contractors. Vegetation and litter were first collected from the ground and transferred to the tip. Grass was brush cut and weeds either slashed or treated.

- **Otago Bay Coastal Reserve**

Grass was brush cut and weeds were controlled along Otago Bay Coastal Reserve. Litter is an on-going problem, especially near the remains of the ship wreck on Otago Bay Road. Litter was collected and removed from the reserve.

- **Old Lauderdale Tip**

Revegetation areas on top of the Old Lauderdale Tip that were planted over the last few years were watered during the dry weather quarter. Grass between the plantings was brush cut and plant guards and stakes rectified.

- **Roscommon**

Slashing of long grass, using a tractor, has occurred in between rows of plants around the perimeter of Roscommon. Areas that the tractor could not slash were brush cut. Planning is underway to have the plants watered in the coming weeks to maximise survival rates.

Track verges and the swale parallel with Terrina Street were brush cut between the Lauderdale Wetland and the Archery Club.

- **Watering of Various Revegetation Sites**

During the quarter, Clarence received lower than average rain which was detrimental to the future success of recently planted revegetation and landscaped areas. As a result, contractors were engaged to water many of the drier vegetation sites including: Fort Direction Track, Geilston Bay Coastal Reserve, Lauderdale Canal Dunes, Avenue of Honour (Waverley Flora Park), Bedlam Walls Entrance, Canopus Bushland Reserve, Acton Tangara Trail Revegetation Areas and Flagstaff Gully Road.

- **CCC 2018-2019 Land and Coast Care Grants' Program**

Groups that submitted successful grant applications have received subsequent funding and are now underway with their projects.

Natural Area Volunteer Support

- **Volunteer Working Bees**

During the quarter there were approximately 7 volunteer working bees on Council managed land. A total of 39 volunteers gave up their own time to help improve the natural values of various sites around Clarence. Calculating volunteer time at \$40 an hour, a total of \$4,680 in-kind work was achieved by the volunteers.

- **Acton Landcare** undertook weeding along nearby sections of the Tangara Trail removing sweet pittosporum seedlings, sollya and rubbish.
- **South Arm/Opossum Bay Coastcare** removed extensive African boxthorn plants along the Bezan's Road Track to the South Arm Beach. Council provided several large skip bins for the green waste to be taken off-site.

Events

Planning is underway for a **World Wetlands Day Event** to be held on 2 of February 2020 at Lauderdale Primary School.

Limekiln Point Landcare Group held a very successful Sculpture Trail in November 2019, with seven Primary Schools from the Clarence City Council area designing and creating artworks for the trail from recycled materials. Council provided \$50 gift vouchers for the schools participating as a token of thanks for the hard work of students and teachers during the project.

Swift Chatter

The Winter edition of Swift Chatter was published and sent to groups, as well as to participants of the Tasmanian Landcare Conference recently held at Blundstone Arena.

South Arm/Opossum Bay Coastcare African Boxthorn Removal

Council supported the South Arm and Opossum Bay Coastcare group with the removal of a large amount of boxthorn from Council land at Bezant's Road. Two large skips were required to have the green waste taken off-site.

Drainage Swales

- **Kangaroo Bay Rivulet**

The Kangaroo Bay Rivulet received maintenance from the Rosny Barn to Gordon's Hill Road. The log jam was cleared of vegetation and rubbish, grass was brush cut, weeds were treated, and minor pruning was achieved.

- **Rosny and Montagu Bay Swale and Bio-retention Basin Maintenance**

The network of swale and basin storm water systems along the coastal reserve at Rosny/Montagu Bay received maintenance works. Long grass was brush cut, outlying weeds were sprayed, basins were hand weeded and rubbish collected and removed.

- **Clarence Plains Rivulet**

Extensive maintenance of the Clarence Plains Rivulet from Goodwins Road to South Arm Highway was achieved. Rubbish accumulated in the rivulet was collected and disposed. The rivulet track verges were brush cut and then sprayed to prevent immediate re-growth. Long grass about the rivulet was brush cut and fallen branches and brush removed. A variety of weeds were treated including Scotch thistle, fennel, boneseed, blue periwinkle, African boxthorn, hawthorn and blackberry.

- **Risdon Vale Rivulet**

Rubbish accumulating in the Risdon Vale Rivulet has been an on-going problem and requires regular clean ups. Rubbish was collected and removed prior to brush cutting the grass along the whole length of the rivulet. Small populations of cumbungi in the rivulet were poisoned and not much appears to be re-establishing due to consistent follow up control over the last five years.

- **Acton Creek**

Sections of Acton Creek adjacent to the Seven Mile Beach Retirement Village were recently handed over to Council to own and manage. These sections of the creek were in desperate need of maintenance and left to Council in a very poor state.

Very long grass and extensive weed growth from site disturbance was brush cut but was difficult due to the amount of building waste and debris left by the developers on the ground. Minor weed management was done, but more resources are needed into the future to remove large African boxthorn plants and other weeds and get the site to a more manageable state.

- **Roches Beach Road Swale**

The swale that runs parallel with Roches Beach Road was brush cut and treated for weeds, mostly blackberry. The adjoining swale upstream of Roches Beach within the Tangara Trail also received maintenance work.

Several fallen and dangerous trees were cut down and removed from the swale drainage line.

Priority Weed Management

- **Needle Grass Eradication Program**

Council's Needle Grass Eradication Program continued in late October through to the end of December. During this period Chilean needle grass and Texas needle grass was treated on council-managed land. Treatment included spot-spraying individual plants at flowering (October – November), bagging seed heads and chipping plants (in December) and then post seed production to prevent seed drop. The usual management zones and all known sites for both species across Otago Bay, Lindisfarne, Rose Bay, Montagu Bay, Bellerive, Mornington, Warrane, Howrah, Rokeby, Acton Park and Sandford were revisited at least twice during these sweeps.

New infestations of Chilean needle grass have been identified about Cambridge Road, Warrane, McIntyre Street (see **Figure 3**), Mornington and Sanderson's Road, East Risdon.



Figure 3 - Newly discovered medium infestation of Chilean needle grass in nature strip along length of McIntyre Street Mornington Industrial Estate

These new sites will require targeted winter application of fluproponate. Known sites containing needle grass within Clarence that require management continue to grow each year, but areas that have been under regular management for three seasons now are showing significant reduction improvements. Contractors engaged to undertake the control program have fine-tuned their treatment methods with the best results achieved so far in this current season. They will report on this success at an end of season meeting in-the-near-future and reported information will be used for planning for 2020-21 Needle Grass Eradication Program.

Two projects developed by committed local Glebe Hill and Rosny and Montagu Bay Landcare groups were funded through DPIPW's Weed Action Fund Round 1 Grants. These projects involved consultants extensively surveying Glebe Hill Bushland Reserve for Texas needle grass – of which no further infestations were recorded other than those already under management; and Rosny Hill and foreshore reserve for Chilean needle grass – results unknown at this stage but no doubt further infestations were likely recorded.

- **St John's Wort**

St John's wort (Priority 1 weed under Clarence Weed Strategy 2016-2030) control was undertaken at all known roadside populations within the municipality including Sugarloaf Road, Risdon Vale; and Forest Hill Road, Dorans Road and Gellibrand Drive, Sandford. Importantly this work was completed prior to the rural roadside verge mowing contractors working through those areas. Most sites demonstrated infestation levels which were significantly reduced to mostly absent from previous years indicating a high success in preventing plants from seeding. No new sites have been identified in the 2019-20 season which can be attributed to successful control and management of verge mowing contractor hygiene.

- **Mediterranean Daisy**

Mediterranean daisy (see Figure 4) infestations have been identified as a Priority 1 Weed under the Clarence Weed Strategy 2016-2030.



Figure 4 - Mediterranean daisy (*Urospermum dalechampii*) growing at Pindos Point Tranmere displacing native coastal herbaceous species

Control works were undertaken across the three main infestation areas where it occurs within Clarence municipality – Rosny foreshore, Tranmere foreshore and Mortimer Bay Coastal Reserve, Sandford.

This high priority weed is of concern in the Mortimer Bay area where it appears to be spreading at a great rate despite control being undertaken over the past two seasons. Contractors along with Weeds Planning Officer are working to establish the best approach for management which can then be shared with and applied on adjoining land. It appears that recent serrated tussock control has opened new areas for Mediterranean daisy to invade and with the very dry spring conditions nothing has been able to establish in its place for competition. Different herbicide applications and treatment methods will be trialled in 2020-21 which will be in partnership with the City of Hobart and their trial management methods for the Queens Domain.

Adjacent landowners were advised of their infestations of Mediterranean daisy and responsibility under the Weed Management Act 1999 and neighbours via initial weed notification communications. The most appropriate response initially is for more regular mowing at appropriate times to minimise plants going to seed. A co-ordinated herbicide treatment program for Mediterranean daisy across private land will be planned for 2020-21 and will be targeted for in future Weed Action Fund applications.

Dorans Road, Sandford roadside infestation was the only area not treated this season due to lack of funding but will look at targeting that area in the 2020-21 priority weed program.

- **Serrated Tussock**

Serrated tussock was “mopped up” at various sites after mostly successful winter fluproponate treatment especially around the Acton Court area; and at a few sites that have not historically been an issue or managed by Council such as the foreshore reserve between Mays Beach, Lauderdale and Calvert’s Hill Nature Reserve, Sandford. This reserve is having a coastal track formalised and the declared weed management taken over by Council as part of the lease agreement with Parks and Wildlife Services – Property Services Division.

- **Old Lauderdale Tip**

Weed control was performed about the Old Lauderdale Tip. Woody weeds, such as canary broom, English broom, African boxthorn, boneseed, tree mallow and Spanish heath were treated.

- **Mortimer Bay Coastal Reserve**

Weed Management for Spanish heath at Mortimer Bay Coastal Reserve is a long-term project due to the longevity and number of seeds produced by mature Spanish heath plants. Follow up Spanish heath control was done during the quarter and good results are starting to be observed within the reserve with the numbers of plants reducing from year-to-year.

- **Otago Bay Weed Control**

Contractors have undertaken general weed control at Otago Bay Lagoon Reserve, Otago Bay Coastal Reserve, Lagoon Road, Direction Drive and Saunderson's Road. Boneseed, African boxthorn, blackberry, canary broom and English broom were the main weeds treated.

Wetland/Storm Water Retention Basins

- **Otago Bay Lagoon Reserve**

Maintenance work including brush cutting, weed control and cumbungi removal was done at Otago Bay Reserve Lagoon.

- **Cambridge Park Wetland**

Cambridge Park Wetland was mowed, brush cut and weeded by contractors during the quarter.

Climate Change Initiatives

- **Clarence Aquatic Centre**

A 25kW solar system was installed at the Clarence Aquatic Centre (See Figure 5).



Figure 5 – Clarence Aquatic Centre 25 kW Solar System Installation

This new installation is in addition to the 75kW system that already exists, providing 100kW of solar power in total.

- **Council Building Energy Audits**

Energy audits were carried out on seven council owned buildings including:

- Clarence Aquatic Centre;
- Mornington Depot;
- Wentworth Sports Facility;
- Clarence District Cricket Club;
- Rosny Child Care Centre;
- Clarence Childcare Services – Alma St Bellerive; and
- Rosny Historic Farm.

- **Electric Vehicle Charging Station**

Quotes have been received and are being assessed by Council for the installation of an electric vehicle charging station. The station will be positioned out the front of the Clarence City Council Chambers carpark and will allow an electric vehicle to be charged within an hour or two.

Schools Landcare Support Program

- **Howrah Primary School Landcare Group Development**

Howrah Primary School has expressed an interest in becoming a part of Council's School Landcare Program. Adjacent to the boundary of the school ground is a section of the coastal reserve dune system, managed by Council where the group could facilitate environmental projects. The school is particularly interested in being involved with planting in the dunes to stabilise the sand dunes and provide improved habitat for fauna, such as the Eastern Barred Bandicoot. There is also an interest in designing and installing interpretation signage relating to coastal dune vegetation and fauna. Funds provided for the School Landcare Program are very minimal and a proposal to increase funding for the program will be done to allow more schools to get involved.

- **Cambridge Primary School Landcare Site (Barilla Rivulet Area)**

Maintenance of the Cambridge Primary School Landcare Site has been done in the quarter. Weeds have been sprayed and hand pulled, with additional woodchip mulch added to make the site look neat and tidy.

Prison Program Project

- **Second Bellerive Bluff Retaining Wall and Rock Paving**

The Prison Crew have installed a very impressive dry mudstone retaining wall at the Second Bellerive Bluff (see Figure 6).



Figure 6 – Dry mudstone retaining wall at Second Bellerive Bluff

Rock was collected by hand from a quarry at Richmond and used to build the wall and an adjacent section of rock paving at the base of the wall at one end. Local track users and residents were extremely impressed with the rock work done stopping regularly to compliment the crew on their work. Additional mulch was added to the garden bed post rock works.

- **Waverley Flora Park Entrance Landscaping**

Several entrances to Waverley Flora Park were landscaped by the Prison Crew including entrances at Nankoor Crescent, Mercedes Place and Alford Street.

The entrance at Mercedes Place was improved with a convict sandstone retaining wall built in front of the reserve sign (see Figure 7).



Figure 7 – Convict Sandstone Retaining Wall at Mercedes Place Entrance to Waverley Flora Park

Perimeter vegetation was pruned to allow better visual line-of-sight to the sign and newly installed sandstone wall.

The entrance to Waverley Flora Park at the dead end of Nankoor Crescent had a low-profile convict sandstone retaining wall installed by the crew (See Figure 8).



Figure 8 – Convict Sandstone Retaining Wall at Nankoor Crescent Entrance to Waverley Flora Park

4. DESIGN AND INVESTIGATION WORK IN PROGRESS

Nil.

5. GOVERNANCE MATTERS.

Committee Meeting

The next committee meeting is scheduled for early February at the Clarence City Council Chambers; 2020-21 Council Budget Considerations and other matters will be on the agenda. A date and time for the next meeting is to be advised

6. EXTERNAL LIAISON

Nil.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Chairperson's Report be received by Council.

Decision:

MOVED Ald Warren **SECONDED** Ald Peers

“That the Chairperson's Report be received by Council”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

OTHER COMMITTEES

LINDISFARNE COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES CENTRE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

- Ald Blomeley tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 20 February 2020. Ald Blomeley conveyed the committee's appreciation to Council's Group Manager Engineering Services, Mr Ross Graham, for his attendance at the meeting.

YOUTH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- Ald Chong tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 4 February 2020.

RICHMOND ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- Ald Chong tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 12 February 2020.

COMMUNITY HEALTH AND WELLBEING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- Ald Chong tabled the Minutes of Meeting held on 4 December 2019.

11. REPORTS OF OFFICERS**11.1 WEEKLY BRIEFING REPORTS**

The Weekly Briefing Reports of 3, 10 and 17 February 2020 have been circulated to Aldermen.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information contained in the Weekly Briefing Reports of 3, 10 and 17 February 2020 be noted.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Blomeley **SECONDED** Ald Ewington

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.2 DETERMINATION ON PETITIONS TABLED AT PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS

11.2.1 PETITION – SUBDIVISION APPLICATION 2019/003190 – 12 ST JOHNS CIRCLE, 9 PROSSERS ROAD AND 41 WELLINGTON STREET

(File No E101-15)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to consider the petition tabled at Council's Meeting on 13 January 2020, relating to Subdivision Application 2019/003190.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The matter is related to the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Section 60 of the Local Government Act, 1993 requires Council to formally consider petitions within 42 days of receipt.

CONSULTATION

The petition was made during the consultation period set aside for the above subdivision application, which was advertised between 11 December 2019 and 2 January 2020.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Not applicable.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council notes the intent of the petition and considers the matters raised by the petition as part of the Planning Authority determination of Subdivision Application 2019/003190.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Peers **SECONDED** Ald Warren

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.3 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

In accordance with Regulation 25 (1) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Mayor advises that the Council intends to act as a Planning Authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, to deal with the following items:

**11.3.1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/006436 – 25 VASIL
COURT, OAKDOWNS - OUTBUILDING****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for an outbuilding at 25 Vasili Court, Oakdowns.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned General Residential and subject to the Waterway and Coastal Protection Code, Parking and Access Code and Stormwater Management Code under the *Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015* (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Note: References to provisions of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act)* are references to the former provisions of the Act as defined in Schedule 6 – Savings and transitional provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The former provisions apply to an interim planning scheme that was in force prior to the commencement day of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The commencement day was 17 December 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42-day period which expires on 26 February 2020.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and three representations were received raising the following issues:

- loss of property values;
- overshadowing;
- use of the outbuilding;
- visual impact; and
- inconsistency with character of the area.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Development Application for an Outbuilding at 25 Vasili Court, Oakdowns (C1 Ref PDPLANPMTD-2020/006436) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.

- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

<p>Decision: MOVED Ald Peers SECONDED Ald Ewington</p> <p>“That the Recommendation be adopted”.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY</p>
--

11.3.2 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2020/006688 – 2 ALMA STREET, BELLERIVE - DEMOLITION OF EXISTING DWELLING AND EXISTING TOILET BLOCK**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for Demolition of Existing Dwelling and Existing Toilet Block at 2 Alma Street, Bellerive.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Community Purpose and General Residential and subject to the Parking and Access, Stormwater Management and Historic Heritage under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Note: References to provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act) are references to the former provisions of the Act as defined in Schedule 6 – Savings and transitional provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The former provisions apply to an interim planning scheme that was in force prior to the commencement day of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The commencement day was 17 December 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which expires on 4 March 2020.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and two representations were received raising the following issues:

- impact on residential amenity;
- the requirements of Community Purpose zone; and
- the residential character of the area.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Development Application for Demolition of Existing Dwelling and Existing Toilet Block at 2 Alma Street, Bellerive (C1 Ref PDPLANPMTD-2020/006688) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. All services must be sealed off prior to any demolition works.

3. After the demolition of the existing dwelling, all debris must be removed from the site and the land area landscaped with lawn.

ADVICE

A planning permit will be required for the future development of the General Residential zoned portion of the site for school purposes. Therefore, Council encourages the landowner or developer to discuss the requirements with relevant officers at an early stage.

- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Decision:	MOVED Ald Walker SECONDED Ald Ewington	
	“That the Recommendation be adopted”.	
	CARRIED	
	FOR	AGAINST
	Ald Blomeley	Ald von Bertouch
	Ald Chipman	
	Ald Chong	
	Ald Ewington	
	Ald James	
	Ald Kennedy	
	Ald Mulder	
	Ald Peers	
	Ald Walker	
	Ald Warren	

11.3.3 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANMTD-2019/003190 – 12 ST JOHNS CIRCLE, 9 PROSSERS ROAD AND 41 WELLINGTON STREET, RICHMOND - 43 RESIDENTIAL LOTS, ROAD LOTS AND BALANCE**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for subdivision of 43 Residential Lots, Road Lots and Balance at 12 St Johns Circle, 9 Prossers Road and 41 Wellington Street, Richmond.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Residential and subject to the Road & Railways Assets, Waterway & Coastal Protection, Historic Heritage, Parking & Access, and Stormwater Codes under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Note: References to provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act) are references to the former provisions of the Act as defined in Schedule 6 – Savings and transitional provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The former provisions apply to an interim planning scheme that was in force prior to the commencement day of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The commencement day was 17 December 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42-day period which has been extended to expire on 26 February 2020 with the written agreement of the applicant.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 54 representations and a petition with 102 signatures were received raising the following issues:

- stormwater;
- public transport;
- infrastructure capacity;
- pedestrian and public safety;
- capacity of local school;
- road network;
- Public Open Space;
- land size/title description;
- vegetation;
- boundary fencing;
- dwelling setbacks;
- multiple dwellings;

- amenity impacts;
- Richmond Bridge;
- watercourse;
- overshadowing and privacy;
- minimum lot sizes;
- separation from main village;
- inconsistent with character of the area;
- impact on tourism;
- heritage;
- failure to comply with Scheme requirements;
- lack of public consultation;
- reduction of land value; and
- loss of dwelling.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Development Application for 43 Residential Lots, Road Lots and Balance at 12 St Johns Circle, 9 Prossers Road and 41 Wellington Street, Richmond (Cl Ref PDPLANPMTD-2019/003190) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. GEN AP3 – AMENDED PLAN [staging as prescribed by Condition 3 of this permit].
3. GEN AP2 – STAGING [Stage 1: Lots 20-23 and balance, Stage 2: Lots 12-19 and 24-26, Stage 3: Lots 7-11, 28-33 (inclusive of the footway lot), Stage 4: Lots 1 and 37-43, Stage 5: Lots 2-6 and 34-36].
4. The balance lot (Stage 1) must be provided with a minimum 3.6m wide sealed access from the road carriageway and along the full length of the access handle in accordance with Standard Drawing TSD-R09 (Urban) (copy available from Council). This access must be inspected by Council prior to sealing or pouring new concrete. Following construction, the crossover must be maintained or repaired by the owner at the owner's expense in accordance with any directions given by Council to the owner.
5. GEN POS1 – POS CONTRIBUTION [5%] [1-43].
6. ENG A1 – NEW CROSSOVER.
7. ENG M2 –DESIGNS SD.
8. ENG M5 – EROSION CONTROL.
9. ENG M7 – WEED MANAGEMENT PLAN.

10. ENG R1 – ROAD NAMES.
 11. ENG R2 – URBAN ROAD.
 12. ENG R5 – ROAD EXTENSION.
 13. ENG R6 – VEHICLE BARRIERS.
 14. ENG S1 – INFRASTRUCTURE REPAIR.
 15. ENG S10 – UNDERGROUND SERVICES.
 16. ENG S4 – STORMWATER CONNECTION.
 17. ENG 3A – STORMWATER PRINCIPLES FOR SUBDIVISION.
 18. As the proposed development has identified the need for additional footpaths and a pedestrian crossing point for Wellington Street, and included those works within the submission, all cost associated with the design and construction of the additional pedestrian facilities are to be borne by the subdivider and constructed with Stage 1.
 19. LAND 5 – SUBDIVISION LANDSCAPING.
 20. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 27 August 2019 (TWDA 2019/01240-CCC).
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Decision:	MOVED Ald Ewington SECONDED Ald Blomeley
	<p>“A. That the Development Application for 43 Residential Lots, Road Lots and Balance at 12 St Johns Circle, 9 Prossers Road and 41 Wellington Street, Richmond (C1 Ref PDPLANPMTD-2019/003190) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS. 2. GEN AP3 – AMENDED PLAN [staging as prescribed by Condition 3 of this permit]. 3. GEN AP2 – STAGING [Stage 1: Lots 20-23 and balance, Stage 2: Lots 12-19 and 24-26, Stage 3: Lots 7-11, 27-33 (inclusive of the footway lot), Stage 4: Lots 1 and 37-43, Stage 5: Lots 2-6 and 34-36].

/ Decision contd on Page 49...

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2019/003190 – 12 ST JOHNS CIRCLE, 9 PROSSERS ROAD AND 41 WELLINGTON STREET, RICHMOND - 43 RESIDENTIAL LOTS, ROAD LOTS AND BALANCE /Decision contd...

4. The balance lot (Stage 1) must be provided with a minimum 3.6m wide sealed access from the road carriageway and along the full length of the access handle in accordance with Standard Drawing TSD-R09 (Urban) (copy available from Council). This access must be inspected by Council prior to sealing or pouring new concrete. Following construction, the crossover must be maintained or repaired by the owner at the owner's expense in accordance with any directions given by Council to the owner.
5. GEN POS1 – POS CONTRIBUTION [5%] [1-43].
6. ENG A1 – NEW CROSSOVER.
7. ENG M2 –DESIGNS SD.
8. ENG M5 – EROSION CONTROL.
9. ENG M7 – WEED MANAGEMENT PLAN.
10. ENG R1 – ROAD NAMES.
11. ENG R2 – URBAN ROAD.
12. ENG R5 – ROAD EXTENSION.
13. ENG R6 – VEHICLE BARRIERS.
14. ENG S1 – INFRASTRUCTURE REPAIR.
15. ENG S10 – UNDERGROUND SERVICES.
16. ENG S4 – STORMWATER CONNECTION.
17. ENG 3A – STORMWATER PRINCIPLES FOR SUBDIVISION.

/ Decision contd on Page 50...

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2019/003190 – 12 ST JOHNS CIRCLE, 9 PROSSERS ROAD AND 41 WELLINGTON STREET, RICHMOND - 43 RESIDENTIAL LOTS, ROAD LOTS AND BALANCE /Decision contd...

18. As the proposed development has identified the need for additional footpaths and a pedestrian crossing point for Wellington Street, and included those works within the submission, all cost associated with the design and construction of the additional pedestrian facilities are to be borne by the subdivider and constructed with Stage 1.

19. LAND 5 – SUBDIVISION LANDSCAPING.

20. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 27 August 2019 (TWDA 2019/01240-CCC).

B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter”.

The **MOTION** was **put** and **LOST**

FOR

Ald Blomeley
Ald Chipman
Ald Ewington
Ald Peers
Ald von Bertouch

AGAINST

Ald Chong
Ald James
Ald Kennedy
Ald Mulder
Ald Warren
Ald Walker

FORESHADOWED MOTION

MOVED Ald Mulder **SECONDED** Ald James

“That the Development Application for 43 Residential Lots, Road Lots and Balance at 12 St Johns Circle, 9 Prossers Road and 41 Wellington Street, Richmond (C1 Ref PDPLANPMTD-2019/003190) be refused for the following reasons:

A. **Road Network – Code 6E(1)(a)**

The proposed Wellington Street connection is unnecessary and fails Austroads Guidelines for sight distances for vehicles in a 50kph zone.

/ Decision contd on Page 51...

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2019/003190 – 12 ST JOHNS CIRCLE, 9 PROSSERS ROAD AND 41 WELLINGTON STREET, RICHMOND - 43 RESIDENTIAL LOTS, ROAD LOTS AND BALANCE /Decision contd...**i) Sight Lines**

At 82m the proposed Wellington Street connection fails the Austroads Guidelines of 108m to the south for vehicles stopped at the Prosser Street junction.

At 85m the St Johns Circle/Wellington Street junction fails the Austroad Guidelines sight distance of 108m. Although outside the current proposal, traffic on this increasingly busy road is already affected by inadequate sight lines.

ii) Excessive Connections

Five connections on 450m of Wellington Street is an excessive number of connections to this increasingly busy road.

iii) Alternative Ingress and Egress

- There is enough road frontage with St Johns Circle for both ingress and egress.
- 9 Prossers Road lot has adequate road frontage to allow for one-way traffic ingress. 'Brougham Street' has adequate road frontage for traffic egress and either could be used for emergency access.

B. Residential Zone

The proposal is contrary to Performance Criteria P2 of Clause 10.6.1 in that all lots **must** be considered capable of accommodating residential use with the building areas including rear boundary setbacks. However, Lot 36 rear boundary setback is not achieved and does not comply with Performance Criteria Clause 10.6.1P2.

The proposal is contrary to Performance Criteria P1 Clause 10.6.2(a) as Council has adopted no relevant network plan for arrangement and construction of roads within the proposed subdivision.

The proposal is contrary to Performance Criteria P1 Clause 10.6.2(j) as the development will impact on adjacent existing grid patterns of streets and increase connectivity between St Johns Circle and Wellington Street through Council's road network.

/ Decision contd on Page 52...

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2019/003190 – 12 ST JOHNS CIRCLE, 9 PROSSERS ROAD AND 41 WELLINGTON STREET, RICHMOND - 43 RESIDENTIAL LOTS, ROAD LOTS AND BALANCE /Decision contd...**C. Stormwater Management**

The proposal does not comply with Clause E7.7.1 P2 as the Water Quality using the MUSIC model indicate the results for total Nitrogen do not meet the required treatment target.

Capacity for stormwater drainage from the existing infrastructure at DA boundary to Wellington Street is frequently compromised with debris limiting flow in an area that has historically been subject to flooding”.

The **FORESHADOWED MOTION** was **put** and **LOST**

FOR

Ald James
Ald Kennedy
Ald Mulder
Ald Walker
Ald Warren

AGAINST

Ald Blomeley
Ald Chipman
Ald Chong
Ald Ewington
Ald Peers
Ald von Bertouch

The Mayor advised that if Council cannot make a decision it results in a deemed approval without conditions to the Tribunal and that given this, would accept a recommittal of the officer’s recommendation.

The General Manager provided clarification of the requirements under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act which require Council to make a decision otherwise Section 59 comes into play and Council will meet the costs of every applicant to an appeal which will be significant.

The Mayor further advised that recommitting the officer’s recommendation is a precedent that has been set on a number of occasions in the past when Council’s deliberation has been deadlocked.

/ Decision contd on Page 53...

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2019/003190 – 12 ST JOHNS CIRCLE, 9 PROSSERS ROAD AND 41 WELLINGTON STREET, RICHMOND - 43 RESIDENTIAL LOTS, ROAD LOTS AND BALANCE /Decision contd...

MOVED Ald Mulder SECONDED Ald Blomeley	
“That the officer’s recommendation, noting the change to Condition 3, be adopted”.	
CARRIED	
FOR	AGAINST
Ald Blomeley	Ald James
Ald Chipman	Ald Kennedy
Ald Chong	Ald Walker
Ald Ewington	Ald Mulder (abstained)
Ald Peers	Ald Warren (abstained)
Ald von Bertouch	

**11.3.4 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2019/005682 – 15
HOWRAH ROAD, HOWRAH - VISITOR ACCOMMODATION (10
ACCOMMODATION UNITS)****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for visitor accommodation (10 accommodation units) at 15 Howrah Road, Howrah.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned General Residential and Open Space and subject to the Road and Railway Assets, Waterway and Coastal Protection, Inundation Prone Areas, Coastal Erosion Hazard, Signs, Parking and Access and Stormwater Management Codes under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Note: References to provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act) are references to the former provisions of the Act as defined in Schedule 6 – Savings and transitional provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The former provisions apply to an interim planning scheme that was in force prior to the commencement day of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme Act) 2015. The commencement day was 17 December 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which expires with the written consent of the applicant on 26 February 2020.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and five representations were received raising the following issues:

- traffic impacts;
- on-site parking;
- visual impact;
- privacy;
- use;
- adjacent walkway;
- quality of assessments;
- retaining walls;
- inaccuracy of plans;
- environmental impact;
- vulnerability of site;
- stormwater drainage;
- loss of right-of-way;

- loss of, and responsibility for open space;
- lack of residential accommodation; and
- difficulty viewing advertised plans.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Development Application for Visitor Accommodation (10 accommodation units) at 15 Howrah Road, Howrah (CI Ref PDPLANPMTD-2019/005682) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice:

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. The development is approved as short-stay visitor accommodation and must not be used for residential purposes.
3. ENG M1 – DESIGNS DA.
4. ENG A5 – SEALED CAR PARKING.
5. All design and construction works are to be completed in accordance with the Coastal Vulnerability Report, prepared by GES for 15 Howrah Road and dated November 2019, submitted with the planning application. This requires special consideration to be given to foundations of structures and retaining wall design by suitability qualified engineer with coastal experience.
6. ENG S11 – SEALING OF SERVICES.
7. ENG S1 – INFRASTRUCTURE REPAIR.
8. ENG M5 – EROSION CONTROL.
9. GEN AM7 – OUTDOOR LIGHTING.
10. A landscape plan must be submitted to and approved by Council's Manager City Planning prior to the commencement of the use/prior to the issue of a certificate of likely compliance (CLC) for building works, (whichever occurs first). The plan must be to a standard scale, provide the designer's contact details and be legible when reproduced at A3.

The landscape plan must clearly document the following:

- a north point;
- existing property information such as building footprints, boundary lines, outdoor structures, garden beds and fences;
- existing contours, relevant finished floor levels and any proposed rearrangement to ground levels;
- existing trees identified as to be retained or removed;
- areas of proposed landscape hard work treatments such as driveways, paths, buildings, car parking, retaining walls, edging and fencing;

- areas of proposed landscape soft work treatments including garden beds and lawns;
- proposed planting design with locations of individual plants at intended spacing and clearly identified species (use of symbols with a legend or direct labelling of plants preferred);
- a table listing selected species botanical names, mature height, mature width, pot size and total quantities;
- details of proposed irrigation system (if required);
- details of proposed drainage system (if required); and
- estimate of cost for the installation of landscape works.

All landscaping works must be completed and verified as being completed by Council prior to the commencement of the use.

All landscape works must be maintained:

- in perpetuity by the existing and future owners/occupiers of the property;
- in a healthy state; and
- in accordance with the approved landscape plan.

If any of the vegetation comprising the landscaping dies or is removed, it is to be replaced with vegetation of the same species and, to the greatest extent practicable, the same maturity, as the vegetation which died, or which was removed.

Installed landscape works (soft and hard) will be inspected for adherence to the approved landscape plan and for quality of workmanship. In order for a landscape bond to be released the works must be deemed satisfactory by Council's Landscape Design Officer. Trade standard will be the minimum quality benchmark that all landscape works will be assessed against.

11. GEN S7 – SIGN MAINTENANCE.

12. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 12 February 2020 (TWDA 2020/00172-CCC).

ADVICE

Advice should be sought from a Building Surveyor as to the proposed building classification of the buildings as part of the Building Permit application process. The communal laundry and four car parking spaces under Unit 1 has potential to impact upon the building classification and hence potential fire separation requirements for that classification. The works may also require a performance solution and your Building Surveyor will be able to provide you the appropriate advice.

- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

<p>Decision: MOVED Ald Mulder SECONDED Ald Ewington</p> <p>“That the Recommendation be adopted”.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY</p>

Council now concludes its deliberations as a Planning Authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act, 1993.

11.4 CUSTOMER SERVICE

Nil Items.

11.5 ASSET MANAGEMENT

Nil Items.

11.6 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Nil Items.

11.7 GOVERNANCE**11.7.1 QUARTERLY REPORT TO 31 DECEMBER 2019****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

To consider the General Manager's Quarterly Report covering the period 1 October 2019 to 31 December 2019.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The Report uses as its base the Annual Plan adopted by Council and is consistent with Council's previously adopted Strategic Plan 2016-2026.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

There is no specific legislative requirement associated with regular internal reporting.

CONSULTATION

Not applicable.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The Quarterly Report provides details of Council's financial performance for the period.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Quarterly Report to 31 December 2019 be received.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Peers **SECONDED** Ald Chong

"That the Recommendation be adopted".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.7.2 ADOPTION OF COUNCIL POLICY – MEMORIALS POLICY

(ECM: 3731619)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To consider the formal adoption of a Memorials Policy.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council currently has no policy for the placement of memorials on Council land. A policy has been developed for Council's consideration.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

No Legislative requirements to be addressed.

CONSULTATION

No specific public consultation has been undertaken on this matter.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications as a result of adopting this policy. Successful applicants are responsible for the design, manufacture and installation of approved memorials.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopts the Memorials Policy.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Blomeley **SECONDED** Ald von Bertouch

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED

FOR

Ald Blomeley
Ald Chipman
Ald Chong
Ald Ewington
Ald James
Ald Kennedy
Ald Mulder
Ald von Bertouch
Ald Warren

AGAINST

Ald Peers
Ald Walker (abstained)

11.7.3 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT POLICY**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

To adopt the proposed Community Engagement Policy 2020.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The Clarence City Council Strategic Plan 2016 – 2026 is relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The Local Government Act 1993 is relevant.

CONSULTATION

Community consultation was undertaken from October to November in 2019. Consultation with Aldermen has also been undertaken.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no additional financial implications arising from this policy. Funds are currently allocated within Council's budget for consultation activities. Where additional funds are required for consultation related to specific major projects, these costs will be accounted for within the relevant project budget.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopts the Community Engagement Policy 2020.

Ald Blomeley left the Meeting at this stage (9.00pm).

Decision: **MOVED** Ald James **SECONDED** Ald Kennedy

Ald Walker left the Meeting at this stage (9.01pm).

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

The **MOTION** was **put** and **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

11.7.4 CITY HEART PROJECT**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

To initiate engagement with the community and undertake a consultation process to develop a community supported vision for the City Heart project.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The Clarence City Council Strategic Plan 2016 – 2026.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

There are no legislative requirements.

CONSULTATION

This will be the first community consultation on this project. Consultation with Alderman has been undertaken.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are financial implications to the extent that the recommendation is requesting a reallocation of funds within the current Estimates.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

- i. authorises the General Manager to initiate the City Heart project through a community engagement and concept development process; and
- ii. reallocates \$200,000 allocated for the redesign of the Council Chambers to the City Heart Project.

/ Refer to Page 65 for Decision on this Item...

CITY HEART PROJECT /contd...

Decision:	MOVED Ald Mulder SECONDED Ald Ewington	
	“That the Recommendation be adopted”.	
	Ald Blomeley returned to the Meeting at this stage (9.04pm).	
	Ald Walker returned to the Meeting at this stage (9.08pm).	
	CARRIED	
	FOR	AGAINST
	Ald Blomeley	Ald James
	Ald Chipman	
	Ald Chong	
	Ald Ewington	
	Ald Kennedy	
	Ald Mulder	
	Ald Peers	
	Ald von Bertouch	
	Ald Walker	
	Ald Warren	

12. ALDERMEN'S QUESTION TIME

An Alderman may ask a question with or without notice at Council Meetings. No debate is permitted on any questions or answers.

12.1 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

(Seven days before an ordinary Meeting, an Alderman may give written notice to the General Manager of a question in respect of which the Alderman seeks an answer at the meeting).

Ald Blomeley has given notice of the following questions:

FUNDING – SPORTING FACILITIES

1. Does Council have a consistent rate charged to each sport to use Council facilities?
2. Can the General Manager inform Council on the following:
 - Council Capital expenditure towards each sport played in the municipality over the past five years.
 - An estimate of recurrent annual Council expenditure towards each sport (including in-kind).
 - An estimate of Council expenditure per player by sport.

12.2 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

FUNDING – SPORTING FACILITIES

1. Council does not charge for the use of sports facilities based on a sport by sport approach. Council sets its charges based on the nature of the sport facility and the cost associated with its maintenance and operation. Council then adjusts its fees to address certain circumstances. For example, the charge for junior hire is half of the cost for senior hire. This approach is consistent with other Councils in greater Hobart.
2.
 - Council doesn't invest in sport on a code by code basis. Council undertakes capital investment in accordance with its Asset Management Plans and in consideration of requests made by clubs and associations.
 - Council allocates recurrent expenditure through the Budget to maintain Council's grounds and facilities. In the past 5 financial years, Council's recurrent expenditure for sport via its Active Recreation Program has varied from \$1.5 million to \$1.7 million pa, to maintain in excess of 20 sporting facilities. Council does not allocate funding on a sport by sport basis.

/ contd on Page 67...

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE /contd...

- Council does not apply a participant-based methodology to guide its expenditure for sport.

With this noted, as part of Council's preparation prior to the release of the Recreation Needs Analysis, an analysis for a selection of sports was prepared showing participation over a three-year period. This information can be circulated to Aldermen for information. However, it is important to note the inherent limitations of this data. These limitations include the accuracy of the membership data provided to Council by clubs and associations, and the difficulty associated with allocating expenditure to an oval/facility and/or sport because Council allocates cost by facility rather than sporting code.

12.3 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING
Ald Mulder

- My question relates to the properties that Council strategically acquired some years ago in Cambridge Road that form part of the development of Hunter, the mooted 100 apartments on the Kangaroo Bay Boulevard. My question is have these properties been on-sold to Hunter Developments?

ANSWER

No, the land has not been sold.

- So, being still owned by Council does then that put Council in the position where owner's consent would be required for any development on those titles?

ANSWER

If the land remains in Council ownership then yes, in the usual way. if they are sold as part of any arrangement to do with that site and its development then no.

By way of further information, the Preferred Developer Agreement between Council and Hunter Developments requires Council to provide landowner consent upon lodgement of a development application.

- So the assumption is that such a sale would have to occur before a development application was submitted?

ANSWER

Yes otherwise it would require consent.

By way of further information and by way of expansion on the answer provided, Council has entered into a Preferred Developer Agreement with Hunter Developments. That agreement includes provision to sell the land to Hunter Developments. Negotiation of the sale of land is to commence within four weeks of lodgement of a development application and is conditional upon a development permit being issued by Council. This means that a development application will trigger the commencement of land sale negotiations rather than the sale needing to occur before a development application is lodged.

Ald Kennedy

1. Following on from a question asked by Ald von Bertouch at the last meeting regarding bushfire management. Are we comfortable with the level of hazard reduction that is currently happening as we move into what is usually the hottest month? There has been a lot of correspondence from various people within the City on this topic of late. I know we have got a workshop coming up in March but I would just like to know that we are comfortable.

ANSWER

In terms of hazard reduction our major activities are carried out in the cooler months. We do not carry out hazard reduction during summer for obvious reasons. So at this point in time we are at a state of preparedness that we achieved earlier in the year. It is probably fair to say that there is always more that we can do but that is a question of resourcing, weather and a range of other factors at this point in time.

2. With those people who are requesting for growth to be trimmed and in some areas where there is a lot of bush building up on Council land around their properties, is that the response that we give to them?

ANSWER

We would take that as a works request and then investigate the appropriate way to deal with it and whether it can be dealt with safely at that time so it will be dealt with on a case by case basis not left to wait unless there is no other choice.

Ald Ewington

In regard to cutting down of trees and clearing of vegetation and as a follow up on a question I asked a while ago, have we identified any areas at the moment that we are working on. We have an example in an email sent to me by a resident who corresponded with Council on 10 January but has not heard back about what could potentially be done about a large tree that is only 5m from his house that the fire service were concerned could potentially burst into flames and then set his house on fire.

ANSWER

Requests for removal of trees are assessed in accordance with Council's Management of Trees on Council Land Policy. Requests are assessed by a qualified arborist and advice provided. All decisions made in accordance with this Policy are advised to the applicant and to all Aldermen. There is an opportunity for decisions to be reviewed by notice of motion within three Council meetings of a decision being made.

Ald Walker

1. In relation to an event published on the Council Facebook Page. On 2 March Happy at Work a free forum for employees, workplace managers and HR staff for well-being at work. Is this a free forum an Orwellian term for ratepayer funded event and if so how much money are we putting into something that doesn't appear to be a core Council business?

ANSWER

In addition to staff time there will be approximately \$900 spent on promotion, primarily advertising and catering. Aldermen were advised that there would expenditure associated with health promotion projects during the budget process.

Conducting this forum is consistent with the objectives and strategies in Council's Strategic Plan and in particular its Community Health and Wellbeing Plan.

This response has been further elaborated on in a memo to Ald Walker.

2. Presently there is only one dedicated facility for dog exercise in Clarence being South Street. This reserve gets utilised during bigger events at Blundstone Arena. My question is in relation to the reserve management. My understanding is that areas of it get quarantined to be regenerated and this reduces access for dog owners and then you have the actual game days when a big section of the whole area gets used for cars. Is there any ability on those days when there is going to be the site used for motor vehicles to actually use that area as well potentially to let people exercise their dogs on the basis that it is not going to harm the regeneration if it is an extra day or two here or there. There is also the aspect that the car park does not necessarily always fill up well into the game starting so whether we can look at being more rational with that space for the dog owners until the actual car park is close to full.

ANSWER

Arrangements are for the South Street car park to become available for vehicles 15 minutes before Blundstone Arena opens. The volunteer rowing club which manages the car park has advised they first open half of the car park for vehicle parking allowing the remaining half to be used for dogs. When the first half is becoming full they politely instruct owners and their dogs to exit the second area before allowing vehicles to enter.

Ald Peers

1. A ratepayer telephoned me about Nelumie Street. The street sweeper is not going through there and because of that the drains are getting blocked. I think Ald James had the same request about a year ago. Could something be done?

ANSWER

Nelumie Street is difficult for our street sweeper to enter and clean the gutters due to the number of vehicles parked in the area. Our crew attended to clean the gutters and stormwater pits on 12 February 2020.

2. Walking around Cleve Court and its very narrow footpaths I noticed that there are quite a few trees that hang over the footpath. Could that be looked at?

ANSWER

Some trees on Council land need minor attention and a works order will be issued for them to be trimmed when a crew becomes available.

Ald Blomeley

1. I note with concern that, in an article published in the Mercury Newspaper on the 26th of January, the Tasmanian Government appears to be planning to duplicate existing wharf infrastructure at Bellerive and Sullivans Cove.

Clearly, this would be at considerable cost and cause delay to a Bellerive to Sullivans Cove peak-hour passenger ferry crossing.

Given that this infrastructure already exists - on behalf of Clarence ratepayers and Tasmanian taxpayers - we all ought to be deeply concerned by this situation.

Would Council consider writing to the Premier to express concern over the reported comments - attributed to a 'Government spokesperson': 'that planning had started for landside infrastructure at Bellerive and Sullivans Cove required to realise the commencement of a passenger ferry service'?

ANSWER

The Hobart City Deal Implementation Plan at Page 11 includes details of the proposed Derwent Ferry Service. This project states that market testing was to be undertaken in 2019 and that the business case will be developed in 2020-21. Council officers are not aware of any other arrangements or commitments in respect to this project.

2. Pedestrian Safety issues in Lindisfarne Village.

Following Council's unanimous decision last year, I understand that traffic consultants have undertaken concept design work for recommended options and have prepared a final report, which has been presented to Council staff.

Can you please provide an indication as to whether Council's engineering team have had the opportunity to review this report and when Aldermen may expect to receive a briefing on the recommended actions?

ANSWER

The consultant's report was received on 31 January. Our engineers are aiming to undertake a review of the report this week and depending on the outcome of this will be presenting the findings to the Aldermen at a February or early March Workshop.

Ald Edmunds

1. Could Aldermen be provided with the new Audio Visual Protocols?

ANSWER

The checklists have been emailed to Aldermen.

2. With the so-called Sports Rort that is taking place in Canberra I know we had a successful application in Clarence for Risdon Vale, were there any other applications made?

ANSWER

The issue with those grants is that we may not know what grants were applied for as they may have gone directly from clubs through to the Federal Government. Upon investigation of this matter we can confirm Council received \$200,000 from the federally funded community sport infrastructure program for the Risdon Vale change room and public toilet facility. Prior to this Council allocated \$1 million to the project.

Ald James

1. Is the General Manager or Mr Graham able to provide a timeframe for the construction of the foreshore trail or pedestrian walkway/cycleway from Bellerive Beach Park which has basically been set out along the dunes in that direction?

ANSWER

We are expecting to tender for those works in March 2020.

2. In respect to the delay in certification by the Aboriginal Land Group for the survey on the fence at ANZAC Park, is the General Manager able to provide any up to date information in regard to when the fence may be erected?

ANSWER

We are still awaiting the response from the Aboriginal Heritage Council on the assessment of the area. Following that a Development Application will need to be submitted and in the meantime we are looking at what appropriate signage should be installed as an interim measure.

Ald Warren

1. Could the General Manager give an undertaking that next time we have a meeting where there is expected to be significant public interest that we have a technical expert on-site on standby for the meeting because we have had a number of technical issues at a number of these meetings and I really felt for our staff trying to sort out the problem without the necessary know how so perhaps as part of your protocol to have a technical person on standby for such meetings?

ANSWER

We are in discussions with the technical support people regarding an on-going service arrangement. I do not know what on-site support will cost so I need to obtain those details and bring them back to Council and seek Council's advice as to what expense and level of service they would be happy to accept.

2. Were you aware that there was a near drowning experience at Lauderdale beach this week and that the ambulance was unable to access the beach via the boat ramp?

ANSWER

No. However, I am aware that the ambulance service will not normally drive onto the beach due to the risk of becoming bogged. That is, unless the ambulance is a properly equipped four-wheel drive.

12.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

An Alderman may ask a Question without Notice of the Chairman or another Alderman or the General Manager. Note: the Chairman may refuse to accept a Question without Notice if it does not relate to the activities of the Council. A person who is asked a Question without Notice may decline to answer the question.

Questions without notice and their answers will be recorded in the following Agenda.

The Chairman may refuse to accept a question if it does not relate to Council's activities.

The Chairman may require a question without notice to be put in writing. The Chairman, an Alderman or the General Manager may decline to answer a question without notice.

13. CLOSED MEETING

Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meetings Procedures) Regulations 2015 provides that Council may consider certain sensitive matters in Closed Meeting.

The following matters were listed in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda in accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

- 13.1 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE
- 13.2 TENDER T1302-19 CONCRETE – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY 2020-2021
- 13.3 TENDER T1343-19 – ROSNY HILL ROAD PATHWAY UPGRADE
- 13.4 ANNUAL FOOTPATH RECONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2020-2021
- 13.5 TENDER T1321-19 OCEANA DRIVE AND CARELLA STREET – ROAD RECONSTRUCTION WORKS

In accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 the reports in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda were dealt with on the grounds that the detail covered in the reports relates to:

- contracts and tenders for the supply of goods and services;
- applications by Aldermen for a Leave of Absence.

The content of reports and details of the Council decisions in respect to items listed in “Closed Meeting” are to be kept “confidential” and are not to be communicated, reproduced or published unless authorised by the Council.

Decision:**PROCEDURAL MOTION**

MOVED Ald Peers **SECONDED** Ald Ewington

“That the Meeting be closed to the public to consider Regulation 15 matters, and that members of the public be required to leave the meeting room”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

CLOSED MEETING /contd...

The following Closed Meeting Motion has been authorised by Council for publication in the public Minutes.

13.2 TENDER T1302-19 CONCRETE – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY 2020-2021

(File No T1302-19:Ecm 3757729)

Decision:

MOVED Ald Ewington **SECONDED** Ald Peers

- “A. That the Tender received from Hanson Construction Materials Pty Ltd be accepted for Concrete Supply and Delivery 2020-2021.
- B. That in accordance with Regulation 34(3) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council authorises for release of the Council’s decision (only) in respect to this item to the general public and for communication to relevant parties.
- C. That Council publishes its decision only in regard to this matter in the open Minutes of this Meeting”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13.3 TENDER T1343-19 – ROSNY HILL ROAD PATHWAY UPGRADE

(File No Q1326-19 - Ecm 3758430)

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Ewington **SECONDED** Ald von Bertouch

- “A. That the Tender response from BlackCap Construction Pty Ltd for \$515,983.94, excluding GST, be accepted for the Pathway Upgrade and associated works in Rosny Hill Road, Rosny.
- B. That, in accordance with Regulation 34(3) of the Local Government (Meetings Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council authorises for release the Council’s decision (only) in respect to this item to the general public and for communication to relevant parties.
- C. That Council publishes its decision only in regard to this matter in the open Minutes of this Meeting”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13.4 ANNUAL FOOTPATH RECONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2020-2021

(File No T1330/19 Ecm 3758259)

Decision:**MOVED** Ald Kennedy **SECONDED** Ald Ewington

- “A. That the Schedule of Rates Tender from Earth Innovations Pty Ltd for the Annual Footpath Reconstruction Program 2020-2021 be accepted.
- B. That in accordance with Regulation 34(3) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council authorises for release of the Council’s decision (only) in respect to this item to the general public and for communication to relevant parties.
- C. That Council publishes its decision only in regard to this matter in the open Minutes of this Meeting”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13.5 TENDER T1321-19 OCEANA DRIVE AND CARELLA STREET-ROAD RECONSTRUCTION WORKS

(File No T1321-19 - Ecm 3758506)

Decision:**MOVED** Ald Mulder **SECONDED** Ald Warren

- “A. That the Tender response from MMS Tas Civil Pty Ltd for \$348,148.00, excluding GST, be accepted for the Road Reconstruction and associated works in the section of Oceana Drive and Carella Street, Howrah.
- B. That, in accordance with Regulation 34(3) of the Local Government (Meetings Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council authorises for release the Council’s decision (only) in respect to this item to the general public and for communication to relevant parties.
- C. That Council publishes its decision only in regard to this matter in the open Minutes of this Meeting”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Meeting closed at 9.40pm.