

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE CLARENCE CITY COUNCIL HELD AT
THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, BLIGH STREET, ROSNY PARK, ON MONDAY 5
DECEMBER 2016**

HOUR CALLED: 7.30pm

PRESENT: The meeting commenced at 7.32pm with the Mayor (Ald D C Chipman) in the Chair and with Aldermen:

N M Campbell
H Chong
P Cusick
D Doust
D Hulme
R H James
P K McFarlane
J Peers
D Thurley
J Walker; present.

1. APOLOGIES S von Bertouch (Leave of Absence)

ORDER OF BUSINESS Items 1 – 13

IN ATTENDANCE General Manager
(Mr A Paul)
Acting Corporate Secretary
(Mr S Wicks)
Group Manager Asset Management
(Mr J Stevens)
Manager City Planning
(Mr R Lovell)
Co-ordinator Council Support
(Ms J Ellis)

The Meeting closed at 10.05pm.

Prior to the commencement of the meeting, the Mayor made the following declaration:

“I acknowledge the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the traditional custodians of the land on which we meet today, and pay respect to elders, past and present”.

The Mayor also advised the Meeting and members of the public that Council Meetings, not including Closed Meeting, are audio-visually recorded and published to Council’s website.

COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY 5 DECEMBER 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
1.	ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES	5
2.	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	5
3.	MAYOR’S COMMUNICATION.....	5
4.	COUNCIL WORKSHOPS.....	5
5.	DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS OF ALDERMAN OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE	6
6.	TABLING OF PETITIONS	7
7.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	8
7.1	PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	8
7.2	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE.....	8
7.3	ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE	8
7.4	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE.....	8
8.	DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.....	9
9.	MOTIONS ON NOTICE	10
9.1	NOTICE OF MOTION – ALD WALKER MAINTENANCE OF HIGHWAY CORRIDOR BETWEEN HOBART AIRPORT AND THE TASMAN BRIDGE	10
10.	REPORTS FROM OUTSIDE BODIES	11
10.1	REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES.....	11
	• SOUTHERN TASMANIAN COUNCILS AUTHORITY	
	• COPPING REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE JOINT AUTHORITY	
	• SOUTHERN WASTE STRATEGY AUTHORITY	
	• TASMANIAN WATER CORPORATION	
10.2	REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE BODIES ..	12
11.	REPORTS OF OFFICERS	13
11.1	WEEKLY BRIEFING REPORTS	13

11.2	DETERMINATION ON PETITIONS TABLED AT PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS	14
------	--	----

11.3 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

11.3.1	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2016/429 - 22 PIPE CLAY ESPLANADE, CREMORNE - DEMOLITION OF EXISTING CARPORT AND NEW GARAGE	16
11.3.2	SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2016/36 - 1B KADINA ROAD, CAMBRIDGE - 1 LOT SUBDIVISION.....	18
11.3.3	SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2016/19 - 25 SEABROOK STREET, SEVEN MILE BEACH - 1 LOT SUBDIVISION AND LANDFILL.....	20
11.3.4	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2016/254 - 115 AND 131 TEMPY ROAD, GEILSTON BAY - VISITOR ACCOMMODATION AND DWELLING.....	23
11.3.5	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2016/347 - 64 BRIDGE STREET, RICHMOND (WITH ACCESS OVER 66 BRIDGE STREET, RICHMOND) - DEMOLITION, ALTERATIONS TO DWELLING, EXTENSION TO SHOP, NEW FENCE AND CARPORT	26

11.4 CUSTOMER SERVICE - NIL ITEMS

11.5 ASSET MANAGEMENT

11.5.1	CLARENCE PLAINS ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN	30
11.5.2	CAMBRIDGE MASTER PLAN.....	31

11.6 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT - NIL ITEMS

11.7 GOVERNANCE

11.7.1	LEASED FACILITY – NOTIFICATION OF INTENTION TO LEASE PART OF THE KANGAROO BAY SPORTING FACILITY.....	34
11.7.2	COUNCIL DELEGATIONS UNDER THE BUILDING ACT 2016	36
11.7.3	COMMUNITY SAFETY PLAN 2016-2021	38
11.7.4	REVISED SCHEDULE – BUILDING, PLUMBING AND PLANNING FEES	39
11.7.5	MAINTENANCE OF NATURE STRIPS AND VERGES	41
12.	ALDERMEN’S QUESTION TIME.....	42
12.1	QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	42
12.2	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	42
12.3	ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE	42
12.4	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE.....	42

13. CLOSED MEETING.....43

13.1 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

13.2 REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES

13.3 TENDER T1092-16 – BELLERIVE BEACH PARK – ALL ABILITIES PLAYGROUND LANDSCAPE WORKS

13.4 TENDER T1126-16 – GRANVILLE AVENUE - RECONSTRUCTION OF KERB AND GUTTER AND FOOTPATH WORKS

13.5 TENDER T1125-16 – KERB AND GUTTER CONSTRUCTION AND ROAD WIDENING – PERCY STREET, RICHMOND

13.6 ANNUAL REVIEW – GENERAL MANAGER

1. ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES

Refer to cover page.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

(File No 10/03/01)

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 7 November 2016 and the Special Council (Planning Authority) Meeting held on 21 November 2016, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Cusick

“That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 7 November 2016 and the Special Council (Planning Authority) Meeting held on 21 November 2016, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. MAYOR'S COMMUNICATION

The Mayor:

- Reported on the SGS Report Steering Committee Meeting.
- Reported SERDA provided commentary to the 2016 Commonwealth Redistribution Committee for Tasmania regarding electoral boundaries and the Mayor tabled a copy of the submission.
- Advised that a Special Council Meeting will be held on 19 December for Planning and Urgent items.

4. COUNCIL WORKSHOPS

In addition to the Aldermen's Meeting Briefing (workshop) conducted on Friday immediately preceding the Council Meeting the following workshops were conducted by Council since its last ordinary Council Meeting:

PURPOSE	DATE
Cambridge Master Plan Building Act Changes Sister City Relationship – Binzhou City Draft SGS Report	14 November
Rokeby High School MOU Budget Development Sister City Proposal Binzhou Voluntary Mergers	21 November

COUNCIL WORKSHOPS /contd...

Presentation regarding Kangaroo Bay Development
Request for Funding State Government
Metro Services
Crown Land – Gordons Hill road, East Derwent Highway 28 November

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council notes the workshops conducted.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Thurley **SECONDED** Ald Chong

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS OF ALDERMAN OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE

(File No)

In accordance with Regulation 8 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 and Council’s adopted Code of Conduct, the Mayor requests Aldermen to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have a pecuniary interest (any pecuniary benefits or pecuniary detriment) or conflict of interest in any item on the Agenda.

INTEREST DECLARED:

Alderman Doust

Item No. 11.3.2

6. TABLING OF PETITIONS

(File No. 10/03/12)

(Petitions received by Aldermen may be tabled at the next ordinary Meeting of the Council or forwarded to the General Manager within seven (7) days after receiving the petition.

Petitions are not to be tabled if they do not comply with Section 57(2) of the Local Government Act, or are defamatory, or the proposed actions are unlawful.

The General Manager tabled the following petition which complies with the Act requirements:

- Received from 48 signatories requesting Council to accept the recommendation of the Lauderdale Urban Expansion Feasibility Study 2016 at this stage because of the amount of fill required, the 30,000 truck movements, the impact stormwater will have on the area, oversupply of residential lots and noise and dust during construction.

7. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Public question time at ordinary Council meetings will not exceed 15 minutes. An individual may ask questions at the meeting. Questions may be submitted to Council in writing on the Friday 10 days before the meeting or may be raised from the Public Gallery during this segment of the meeting.

The Chairman may request an Alderman or Council officer to answer a question. No debate is permitted on any questions or answers. Questions and answers are to be kept as brief as possible.

7.1 PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

(Seven days before an ordinary Meeting, a member of the public may give written notice to the General Manager of a question to be asked at the meeting). A maximum of two questions may be submitted in writing before the meeting.

Questions on notice and their answers will be included in the minutes.

Nil.

7.2 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

The Mayor may address Questions on Notice submitted by members of the public.

Nil.

7.3 ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

7.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

The Chairperson may invite members of the public present to ask questions without notice.

Questions are to relate to the activities of the Council. Questions without notice will be dependent on available time at the meeting.

Council Policy provides that the Chairperson may refuse to allow a question on notice to be listed or refuse to respond to a question put at a meeting without notice that relates to any item listed on the agenda for the Council meeting (note: this ground for refusal is in order to avoid any procedural fairness concerns arising in respect to any matter to be determined on the Council Meeting Agenda.

When dealing with Questions without Notice that require research and a more detailed response the Chairman may require that the question be put on notice and in writing. Wherever possible, answers will be provided at the next ordinary Council Meeting.

8. DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

(File No 10/03/04)

(In accordance with Regulation 38 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 and in accordance with Council Policy, deputation requests are invited to address the Meeting and make statements or deliver reports to Council)

CAMBRIDGE MASTER PLAN

(REFER ITEM 11.5.2)

Ms Prue Allan addressed the Meeting regarding the above matter.

**SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2016/36 – 1B KADINA ROAD, CAMBRIDGE – 1 LOT
SUBDIVISION**

(REFER ITEM 11.3.2)

Mr Philip Barker addressed the Meeting regarding the above Subdivision Application.

**SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2016/19 – 25 SEABROOK STREET, SEVEN MILE BEACH – 1 LOT
SUBDIVISION AND LANDFILL**

(REFER ITEM 11.3.3)

M/s Anne Boxhall addressed the Meeting regarding the Subdivision Application.

9. MOTIONS ON NOTICE

**9.1 NOTICE OF MOTION – ALD WALKER
MAINTENANCE OF HIGHWAY CORRIDOR BETWEEN HOBART AIRPORT
AND THE TASMAN BRIDGE**

(File No 10-03-05)

In accordance with Notice given it was:

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Walker **SECONDED** Ald James

“That Council liaise with the Department of State Growth to investigate options to improve and maintain the appearance of the highway corridor between Hobart Airport and the Tasman Bridge”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10. REPORTS FROM OUTSIDE BODIES

This agenda item is listed to facilitate the receipt of both informal and formal reporting from various outside bodies upon which Council has a representative involvement.

10.1 REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES

Provision is made for reports from Single and Joint Authorities if required

Council is a participant in the following Single and Joint Authorities. These Authorities are required to provide quarterly reports to participating Councils, and these will be listed under this segment as and when received.

- **SOUTHERN TASMANIAN COUNCILS AUTHORITY**
Representative: Ald Doug Chipman, Mayor or nominee

Quarterly Reports

September Quarterly Report pending.

Representative Reporting

- **COPPING REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE JOINT AUTHORITY**
Representatives: Ald Jock Campbell
(Ald James Walker, Deputy Representative)

Quarterly Reports

The Copping Refuse Disposal Site Joint Authority has distributed the Quarterly summary of its Meetings for the period ending November 2016.

The Copping Refuse Disposal Site Joint Authority has also distributed its Quarterly Report for the period ending 30 September 2016.

In accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 the Report will be tabled in Closed Meeting.

Representative Reporting

- **SOUTHERN WASTE STRATEGY AUTHORITY**
Representative: Ald Richard James
(Ald Sharyn von Bertouch, Proxy)

Quarterly Reports

September Quarterly Report pending.

Representative Reporting

10.1 REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES /contd...

- **TASWATER CORPORATION**

The TasWater Corporation has distributed its Quarterly Report to 30 September 2016.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the TasWater Corporation Quarterly Report to Owners' Representatives to 30 September 2016 be received.

Decision:

MOVED Ald Cusick **SECONDED** Ald Chong

“That the TasWater Corporation Quarterly Report to Owners' Representatives to 30 September 2016 be received”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10.2 REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE BODIES

Committee of Management of Business East Inc

- Ald Hulme tabled the Minutes of Meetings held on 9 August 2016 and 8 November 2016.

Bellerive Community Art Centre Inc

- Ald Thurley tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 9 November 2016.

Cycling South

- Ald McFarlane tabled the Minutes of the AGM held on 18 November 2015 and the 2015-2016 Annual Report.

11. REPORTS OF OFFICERS

11.1 WEEKLY BRIEFING REPORTS

(File No 10/02/02)

The Weekly Briefing Reports of 7, 14, 21 and 28 November 2016 have been circulated to Aldermen.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information contained in the Weekly Briefing Reports of 7, 14, 21 and 28 November 2016 be noted.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Cusick

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.2 DETERMINATION ON PETITIONS TABLED AT PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS

Nil.

11.3 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

In accordance with Regulation 25 (1) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Mayor advises that the Council intends to act as a Planning Authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, to deal with the following items:

11.3.1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2016/429 - 22 PIPE CLAY ESPLANADE, CREMORNE - DEMOLITION OF EXISTING CARPORT AND NEW GARAGE
(File No D-2016/429)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for the demolition of the existing carport and a new garage at 22 Pipe Clay Esplanade, Cremorne.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Village and subject to the Waterway and Coastal Protection Code, Coastal Erosion Hazard Code and Inundation Prone Areas Code under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which has been extended to 7 December 2016 with the written agreement of the applicant.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 2 representations were received raising the following issues:

- loss of views; and
- visual impact arising from the wall length abutting adjoining properties.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That the Development Application for the demolition of existing carport and new garage at 22 Pipe Clay Esplanade, Cremorne (CI Ref D-2016/429) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.
1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
 2. GEN M9 – NONHABITABLE PURPOSES.
 3. ENG M5 – EROSION CONTROL.
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

/ Refer to Page 17 for Decision on this Item...

**DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2016/429 - 22 PIPE CLAY ESPLANADE,
CREMORNE - DEMOLITION OF EXISTING CARPORT AND NEW GARAGE
/contd...**

Decision:

MOVED Ald Campbell **SECONDED** Ald Peers

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**11.3.2 SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2016/36 - 1B KADINA ROAD,
CAMBRIDGE - 1 LOT SUBDIVISION**
(File No SD-2016/36)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a 1 lot subdivision at 1B Kadina Road, Cambridge.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Environmental Living and is subject to the Bushfire Prone Areas Code, Road and Rail Assets Code, Stormwater Management Code, Waterway and Coastal Protection Code and Natural Assets Code under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which has been extended to 7 December 2016 with the written agreement of the applicant.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 1 representation was received raising the issue of the proposal represents an opportunity to secure a public walking trail along Barilla Rivulet.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That the application for a 1 lot Subdivision at 1B Kadina Road, Cambridge be refused in accordance with Section 85(d)(iii) of the Local Government (Building and Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1993 (LGBMPA) in that the layout of the subdivision should be altered to include public open space.
- B. That the application for a 1 lot subdivision at 1B Kadina Road, Cambridge (Ref SD-2016/36) be refused for the following reasons:
 - 1. The proposal is contrary to Clause 14.5.3 A2 of the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 with regard to the provision and location of reserves for public open space in that the proposal does not provide reasonable public open space within the boundaries of the property in accordance with Council's Public Open Space Policy (2013).
- C. That the applicant be advised that they should contact the relevant Council officers to discuss Council's public open space requirement, which can broadly be described as follows:

- Provision of approximately 30m wide public open space lot from the centreline of Barilla Rivulet for the full length of the southern boundary of Lots 1 and 2.

NOTE: As required under Section 116 of the Local Government (Building and Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1993 compensation will be provided for any public open space above 5% of the area approved in the final plan.

- D. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Ald Doust left the Meeting at this stage (8.08pm).

Decision:	MOVED Ald McFarlane SECONDED Ald Cusick	
	“That the Recommendation be adopted”.	
		CARRIED
	FOR	AGAINST
	Ald Chipman	Ald Campbell (abstained)
	Ald Chong	Ald Walker (abstained)
	Ald Cusick	
	Ald Hulme	
	Ald James	
	Ald McFarlane	
	Ald Peers	
	Ald Thurley	

**11.3.3 SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2016/19 - 25 SEABROOK STREET,
SEVEN MILE BEACH - 1 LOT SUBDIVISION AND LANDFILL**
(File No SD-2016/19)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a 1 lot subdivision and associated landfill at 25 Seabrook Street, Seven Mile Beach.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Village and subject to the Stormwater Management Code, Waterway and Coastal Protection Code, Inundation Prone Areas Code and On-Site Wastewater Management Code under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which has been extended to 7 December 2016 with the written agreement of the applicant.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 2 representations were received raising the following issues:

- increased potential for flooding of adjoining properties as a result of the fill;
- the methodology applied to the Inundation Risk Management Plan;
- the application should be modified to not include the fill and to indemnify adjoining properties from any damages resulting from the fill works;
- it is unclear as to how a future wastewater system will be impacted by the proposed fill works;
- the stormwater outlet into Acton Creek should include filtering devices to maintain water quality; and
- the impact upon the environmental values associated with Acton Creek.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the application for a 1 lot subdivision and landfill at 25 Seabrook Street, Seven Mile Beach (Cl Ref SD-2016/19) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. GEN POS1 – POS CONTRIBUTION [1].

3. GEN F2 – COVENANTS [All habitable buildings on Lot 1 must have a finished floor level of no less than 3.2m AHD to minimise the risk of inundation of the dwelling].
 4. ENG A3 – COMBINED ACCESSES.
 5. ENG M2 – DESIGN SD - Delete road design and road stormwater drainage.
 6. ENG S4 – STORMWATER CONNECTION - Add “The stormwater outlet into Acton Creek must be designed to minimise erosion and provide energy dissipaters”].
 7. ENG M5 – EROSION CONTROL.
 8. ENG M9 – FILLING OF LAND.
 9. The landfill must comply with the requirements and recommendations made within the Inundation Risk Management Plan prepared by JMG and dated October 2016 and must be completed to the satisfaction of Council’s Group Manager Asset Management prior to the sealing of the Final Plan of Survey.
 10. ENG S1 – INFRASTRUCTURE REPAIR.
 11. The subdivision must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 10 May 2016 (TWDA 2016/00573-CCC).
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Ald Doust returned to the Meeting at this stage (8.23pm).

Decision:	<p>MOVED Ald James SECONDED Ald Cusick</p> <p>“A. That the application for a 1 lot subdivision and landfill at 25 Seabrook Street, Seven Mile Beach (CI Ref SD-2016/19) be refused for the following reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal is contrary to Clause E11.8.1 P1 (b) (ii) in that it cannot adequately provide for a building envelope able to accommodate the development that can satisfy the Waterway and Coastal Protection Code.
------------------	---

/ Decision contd on Page 22...

SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2016/19 - 25 SEABROOK STREET, SEVEN MILE BEACH - 1 LOT SUBDIVISION AND LANDFILL /Decision contd...

2. The proposal is contrary to Clause E15.8.1 P1 (d) of the inundation Prone Areas in that it will create a lot that will adversely affect flood flow due to the proposed concentration of piped stormwater from the property to Acton Creek, which will impact on the surrounding properties.

B. That the reason for Council’s decision in respect of this matter be recorded as follows.

- The proposal will adversely affect neighbouring properties due to the impact of fill and the concentration of stormwater to Acton Creek on the risk and level of future flooding”.

The **MOTION** was **put** and **LOST**

FOR

Ald Cusick
Ald Doust
Ald James

AGAINST

Ald Campbell
Ald Chipman
Ald Chong
Ald Hulme
Ald McFarlane
Ald Peers
Ald Thurley
Ald Walker

MOVED Ald Hulme **SECONDED** Ald McFarlane

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED

FOR

Ald Campbell
Ald Chipman
Ald Chong
Ald Doust
Ald Hulme
Ald McFarlane
Ald Peers
Ald Thurley
Ald Walker

AGAINST

Ald Cusick
Ald James

**11.3.4 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2016/254 - 115 AND 131 TEMPY ROAD,
GEILSTON BAY - VISITOR ACCOMMODATION AND DWELLING**
(File No D-2016/254)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for Visitor Accommodation and new Single Dwelling at 115 and 131 Tempy Road, Geilston Bay.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Environmental Living and is subject to the Parking and Access, Stormwater Management, Signs, On-Site Wastewater Management, and Natural Assets Codes under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which has been extended to 7 December 2016 with the written agreement of the applicant.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 3 representations were received raising the following issues:

- impact on residential amenity;
- impact on right-of-way;
- services to neighbouring properties;
- bushfire;
- future expansion; and
- future use of buildings.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Development Application for Visitor Accommodation and Dwelling at 115 and 131 Tempy Road, Geilston Bay (CI Ref D-2016/254) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. GEN AP3 – AMENDED PLAN [- the elevations of the garage identifying the maximum height of the building above natural ground level:
 - the entry shelter with a minimum setback of 10m from the western side boundary; and

- road widening plan with an additional 5.5m wide by 6m long passing bay located at the entrance of the right-of-way extending from Tempy Road].

3. GEN M10 – SEATING PLAN.

4. In accordance with the recommendations of the Ecological Assessment undertaken by Environmental Consulting Options Tasmania, a weed management plan identifying methods to control weeds, must be submitted to and approved by Council's Group Manager Asset Management prior to commencement of works. The plan must detail methods to minimise the risk of introducing weeds and/or disease to the site through application of strict machinery hygiene protocols in accordance with "Keeping It Clean: A Tasmanian Field Hygiene Manual to Prevent the Spread of Freshwater Pests and Pathogens (Allan & Gartenstein 2010)".

A building certificate of completion will not be granted until *Cotoneaster glaucophyllus* var. *serotinus* (largeleaf cotoneaster) and the remaining large individuals of *Chrysanthemoides monilifera* subsp. *monilifera* (boneseed) have been removed.

5. GEN S2 – SIGN LOCATION add "(131 Tempy Road)" to last sentence.

6. GEN S7 – SIGN MAINTENANCE.

7. ENG A6 – GRAVELLED CONSTRUCTION.

8. Prior to the commencement of the use, the developer must obtain a right-of-way over right-of-way "B" shown on Sealed Plan 154700 in favour of 115 Tempy Road.

9. Prior to the commencement of the use, a 5.5m wide gravelled passing bay is required to be constructed at the entrance of the right-of-way extending from Tempy Road and in any other location identified as part of the detailed design to the satisfaction of Council's Group Manager Asset Management. The passing bay must be a minimum length of 6m.

10. ENG S5 – STORMWATER PRINCIPLES.

11. ENG M1 – DESIGNS DA.

12. ENG M5 – EROSION CONTROL.

13. Prior to the commencement of the use, all works both within the development site and on access roads must be undertaken in accordance with the recommendations of the TIA submitted with the application to the satisfaction of Council’s Group Manager Asset Management.

ADVICE – The Ecological Assessment undertaken by Environmental Consulting Options Tasmania advises that the developer would need to obtain a permit under the Tasmanian Threatened Species Protection Act 1995 for disturbance to *Rytidosperma indutum* (tall wallabygrass) through application to the Policy Conservation and Advice Branch (PCAB, DPIPWE).

ADVICE – The application for a Special Plumbing Permit will need to be accompanied by the information specified in Appendix “A” of Council’s request for additional information letter dated 4 July 2016.

ADVICE – Plans submitted with the building application must demonstrate that the development complies with the Disability (Access to Premises — Buildings) Standards 2010.

ADVICE – The property is located within an area identified as bushfire-prone. The use is considered to be Vulnerable under Section 11A of the Building Regulations 2014. Accordingly, a bushfire report taking into account the vulnerable use and matters prescribed under AS/NZ 3959 must be submitted with the application of a building permit. The report must provide details of the proposed access arrangements, water provision for fire-fighting and hazard management areas.

- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Decision:	<p>MOVED Ald Hulme SECONDED Ald Thurley</p> <p>“That the officer’s recommendation be adopted subject to Condition 8 being modified to say ‘Prior to the commencement of works, the developer must obtain a right-of-way over right-of-way ‘B’ shown on sealed Plan 154700 in favour of 115 Tempy Road”.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY</p>
------------------	--

11.3.5 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2016/347 - 64 BRIDGE STREET, RICHMOND (WITH ACCESS OVER 66 BRIDGE STREET, RICHMOND) - DEMOLITION, ALTERATIONS TO DWELLING, EXTENSION TO SHOP, NEW FENCE AND CARPORT

(File No. D-2016/347)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for demolition, alterations to dwelling, extension to shop, new fence and carport at 64 Bridge Street, Richmond (with access over 66 Bridge Street, Richmond).

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned General Residential and subject to the Parking and Access Code, Stormwater Management Code and Historic Heritage Code under the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which has been extended to 7 December 2016 with the written agreement of the applicant.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 5 representations were received raising the following issues:

- the house extension will detract from the views from the Richmond Bridge;
- the house extension is too high and too prominent due to its 2 storey form and will dominate the historic cottage;
- the shop extension will detract from the streetscape qualities of Bridge Street;
- the dwelling extension may facilitate an expansion to the tourist accommodation business;
- the vegetation removal will diminish the landscape setting of the cottage and more significantly the wider streetscape and Richmond Bridge;
- the tourist accommodation business is named similarly to other nearby businesses;
- no historic reference has been undertaken in response to the dwelling and shop additions;
- the proposal will set a precedent for riverbank property extensions which will affect the ambience of the Coal River;
- the residential zoning does not allow for commercial activities;
- no justification for the demolition of existing aspects including the carport and tree removal;
- no inclusion of Heritage Council advice in the application;

- lack of documentation relating to the impacts of the extension on Richmond Bridge;
- the suggestion by the Applicant’s Heritage Architect to de-list the heritage listed cottage is not supported;
- the proposal is inconsistent with the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation (EPBC) Act 1999; and
- the site notices were not displayed on the property for the duration of the public exhibition.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That the Development Application for the demolition, alterations to dwelling, extension to shop, new fence and carport at 64 Bridge Street, Richmond (with access over 66 Bridge Street, Richmond) - (CI Ref D-2016/347) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.
1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
 2. The shop extension fronting Bridge Street must only be used as a “Home occupation” as defined under Clause 5.2 of the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 and must not be used for any other use without further approval from Council.
 3. GEN AP3 – AMENDED PLANS [The inclusion of a solid floor to ceiling wall between the existing shop and the home occupation extension].
 4. GEN AM3 – EXTERNAL COLOURS.
 5. GEN S1 – SIGN CONSENT.
 6. A sign must be provided at the frontage of the site to direct vehicles to the 2 customer car parking spaces. Plans of the sign must be submitted to and approved by Council’s Manager City Planning prior to the commencement of the use. When approved, the plans will form part of the permit.
 7. The use and development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 13 October 2016 (TWDA 2016/01187-CCC).
 8. The use and development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by the Tasmanian Heritage Council’s Notice of Heritage Decision for 64 Bridge Street, Richmond, dated 9 November 2016 (THC Works Reference 5101).

11.4 CUSTOMER SERVICE

Nil Items.

11.5 ASSET MANAGEMENT**11.5.1 CLARENCE PLAINS ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN**

(File No)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To seek Council endorsement to release the draft Clarence Plains Environmental Management Plan for public consultation in order to obtain feedback from the broader community.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan 2016-2026 and Community Participation Policy are relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

Preliminary consultation was conducted through the Clarence Plains Environmental Management Plan Steering Committee, 2 community forums, 3 field days, survey forms, briefings of local businesses and 3 Landcare groups in the region. The next stage of the draft Clarence Plains Environmental Management Plan is to undertake broader community consultation.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct financial implications from undertaking a community consultation process for the draft Clarence Plains Environmental Management Plan. Council will consider, as part of future Annual Plans, on-going funding for the future implementation of the Clarence Plains Environmental Management Plan

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council authorise the General Manager to undertake community consultation for the draft Clarence Plains Environmental Management Plan.
- B. That the results of the community consultation be reported back to Council.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald McFarlane **SECONDED** Ald Chong

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.5.2 CAMBRIDGE MASTER PLAN

(File No 20-09-34)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To adopt the draft Cambridge Master Plan as the final Cambridge Master Plan following public consultation with the community and key stakeholders.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan 2016-2026 is relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

Consultation was held with relevant State Government Agencies as part of the development of the draft Cambridge Master Plan.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are funds available in the current Annual Plan for undertaking the cycleway component of the Cambridge Master Plan along the eastern section of Cambridge Road from Richmond Road to the Kennedy Drive roundabout.

Funds could be allocated for the implementation of the Cambridge Master Plan as part of future Annual Plans depending on the adoption of a Cambridge Master Plan.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopt the draft Cambridge Master Plan as the final Cambridge Master Plan with the following actions to be undertaken.

- A. The General Manager write to the Department of Education advising that the Departmental Master Plan in its present form is unacceptable to Council and for the Department to more thoroughly consider other options that do not impact on the Cambridge Memorial Oval.
- B. The General Manager write to the Department of State Growth advocating for the bringing forward of the Cambridge Road By-pass.
- C. Council officers finalise the lease with Football Federation Tasmania.

/ Refer to Page 32 for Decision on this Item...

CAMBRIDGE MASTER PLAN /contd...

Decision:	MOVED Ald Chong SECONDED Ald Hulme																		
	“That the Recommendation be adopted”.																		
	CARRIED																		
	<table><tr><td>FOR</td><td>AGAINST</td></tr><tr><td>Ald Campbell</td><td>Ald Cusick</td></tr><tr><td>Ald Chipman</td><td>Ald James</td></tr><tr><td>Ald Chong</td><td>Ald Walker</td></tr><tr><td>Ald Doust</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Ald Hulme</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Ald McFarlane</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Ald Peers</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Ald Thurley</td><td></td></tr></table>	FOR	AGAINST	Ald Campbell	Ald Cusick	Ald Chipman	Ald James	Ald Chong	Ald Walker	Ald Doust		Ald Hulme		Ald McFarlane		Ald Peers		Ald Thurley	
FOR	AGAINST																		
Ald Campbell	Ald Cusick																		
Ald Chipman	Ald James																		
Ald Chong	Ald Walker																		
Ald Doust																			
Ald Hulme																			
Ald McFarlane																			
Ald Peers																			
Ald Thurley																			

Ald James left the Meeting at this stage (9.30pm).

11.6 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Nil Items.

11.7 GOVERNANCE**11.7.1 LEASED FACILITY – NOTIFICATION OF INTENTION TO LEASE PART OF THE KANGAROO BAY SPORTING FACILITY**

(File No K021-20)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To consider representations to Council's proposed leasing of part of the building known as the Kangaroo Bay Sporting Facility situated at 20 Kangaroo Bay Drive, Bellerive.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan 2016-2026, Council's adopted Kangaroo Bay Urban Design Strategy and Concept Plan, the Kangaroo Bay Foreshore Recreation Master Plan and the Kangaroo Bay Memorandum of Understanding between the Crown and Council are relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The Local Government Act, 1993 is applicable.

The Lands Titles Act, 1980 is applicable for all lease agreements for periods in excess of 3 years.

CONSULTATION

Consultation has occurred between Council officers and representatives of the Clarence District Cricket Club (CDCC).

The proposal has been publicly advertised in accordance with the requirement for the disposal of public land provisions of the Local Government Act 1993.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct financial implications associated with the notification to lease process.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council notes the receipt of 1 representation in respect to its public advertising process and the matters raised therein.
- B. That in accordance with Section 178 of the Local Government Act 1993, Council, having considered the matters raised in the representor's submission forms the view:
 - that the matters raised in the submission were dealt with by the recommencement of the public notification process; and
 - that the submission raises no further matters or public interest issues which materially affect or warrant any changes to Council's intention to lease the facility for a period in excess of 5 years;

That Council resolves to proceed with the disposal of public land, namely the first floor of the Council property at 20 Kangaroo Bay Drive, Bellerive by way of lease to the Clarence District Cricket Club Inc for a term of 10 years with an option for a further 10 years for the facility at 20 Kangaroo Bay Drive, Bellerive known as the Kangaroo Bay Sporting Facility in accordance with Council's Adopted Leased Facilities Pricing and Term of Lease Policy.

- C. That the Clarence District Cricket Club Inc be offered use and operation of the kiosk facility on an annual licence basis in accordance with Council's Adopted Leased Facilities Pricing and Term of Lease Policy.
- D. That the representor be informed of Council's decision and their rights of appeal in writing in accordance with Section 178 (6) of the Local Government Act 1993.
- E. That Council's agreement to lease the premises to the Clarence District Cricket Club Inc commence at the conclusion of the appeal period or determination of any appeal arising from the land disposal process.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald McFarlane **SECONDED** Ald Chong

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.7.2 COUNCIL DELEGATIONS UNDER THE BUILDING ACT 2016

(File No 10/08/00)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To consider the update of Council's delegations based on the introduction of the new Building Act 2016 which commences on 1 January 2017.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The changes sought are specifically to deal with the introduction of the new Building Act 2016 which replaces the Building Act, 2000. The delegations proposed will not impact on any pre-existing policies or strategies of Council.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The Building Act 2016 provides that a council is empowered to delegate to any person any of its powers and functions under this Act, other than its power of delegation.

CONSULTATION

Consultation has occurred at an administrative level.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Not applicable.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That the following Schedule of Delegations in respect to the Building Act 2016 and the Building Regulations 2016 be approved.
- B. That the following Schedule of functions and powers, in respect to the Building Act 2016 and the Building Regulations 2016, be delegated to the General Manager together with the power to on-delegate.

SECTION REF	SECTION	DETAILS OF DELEGATION	DELEGATION
Building Act 2016 and Building Regulations 2016	General	In accordance with the procedures and requirements set out in the Act, administer and undertake Council's responsibilities under the Act including the keeping and management of records; recovery of costs borne by Council and the permit authority and receipting of monies payable under the Act including facilitating stormwater connections and disposal and other alterations to the stormwater disposal system; the determination of lands that have a reasonable probability of flooding for the purposes of identifying hazardous areas as defined under the Act.	General Manager

Building Act 2016 and Building Regulations 2016	Sections 265-271	To undertake necessary administrative actions consistent with Council’s obligations of enforcement for non-compliance with the provisions of the Act, including the undertaking of required work that is the subject of an emergency, building or plumbing order; the serving of demolition orders, taking possession of a building site; undertaking demolition, recovering costs incurred and the disposal of demolished building materials.	General Manager
Building Act 2016 and Building Regulations 2016	Section 41	To undertake Council’s functions and responsibilities under the Building Act specifically, duties to inform owners of their responsibility under the Act; and to be aware of building, plumbing and demolition work being undertaken and the use and occupancy of buildings and to ensure proceedings are instigated against persons failing to comply with the Act and the enforcement of orders issued under the Act.	General Manager, and Manager City Planning

Decision:	<p style="text-align: center;">MOVED Ald Campbell SECONDED Ald Chong</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“That the Recommendation be adopted”.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY</p>
------------------	--

11.7.3 COMMUNITY SAFETY PLAN 2016-2021

(File No 09-17-07)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To adopt the “Community Safety Plan 2016-2021”.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council’s Strategic Plan 2016-2026, Community Health and Wellbeing Plan 2013-2018, Positive Ageing Plan 2012-2016, Access Plan 2014-2018, Youth Plan and Emergency Management Plan.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

The Draft Plan has been on public exhibition for comment for a period of 4 weeks. Extensive internal and external consultation for the development of the plan included community surveys held at Neighborhood Centres, listening posts in Lindisfarne, Eastlands, Shoreline and Lauderdale and external reference group.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Identified actions will be considered on an annual basis as part of the budget process.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopts the Community Safety Plan 2016-2021.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Thurley

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Ald James returned to the Meeting at this stage (9.33pm).

11.7.4 REVISED SCHEDULE – BUILDING, PLUMBING AND PLANNING FEES

(File No 20-13-01)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To consider new fees provisions within Council's Fee Schedule to recognise new building notification types and to adjust existing permit types in accordance with the introduction of the Building Act 2016 on 1 January 2017.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's existing Fee Schedule is adopted annually. The proposed new fees and adjustments to existing fees would sit within currently adopted Schedule.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Council is required to adopt a formal Fee Schedule on an annual basis; however, this may be revised at any point by Council through its formal decisions.

CONSULTATION

Appropriate in-house consultation and discussions has occurred in respect to this matter. The need to revise the fees schedule has been discussed at a recent Aldermen's Workshop.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The introduction of the Building Act 2016 in general terms and in particular the new classifications for Notifiable Work, will likely impact on the revenue generated by the permit approval and peripheral Council processes. The extent of this impact is difficult to quantify at this point.

It is hoped that the introduction of these new fees and charges and the adjustment to existing ones will respond to the anticipated changes in practitioner requirements that will impact on Council's resourcing as well as addressing some potential revenue loss.

These fees and charges can then be re-assessed as part of the 2017/2018 budgetary process.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council notes the implications and impacts on Council's operations associated with the new approval processes to be introduced in the Building Act 2016.
- B. That Council amend its adopted Fees and Charges Schedule (effective from 1 January 2017) by the replacement of current fees relating to Building and Plumbing Fees with the inclusions of the following new Fees.

Building NEW FEES	FEE
Register of Notifiable Building Works Class 1A under \$20,000	\$100
Register of Notifiable Building Works Class 1A over \$20,000	\$200
Register of Notifiable Building Works Class 2 - 9	\$500
Plumbing NEW FEES	
CLC Plumbing Class 10A Stormwater Only, plus Registration Fee	\$220
CLC Plumbing Class 10A with Fixtures and Fittings plus Registration Fee	\$360
CLC Plumbing Class 1A Stormwater Only, plus Registration Fee	\$320
CLC Plumbing Class 1A Sanitary Only plus Registration Fee	\$400
CLC Plumbing Class 1A with Fixtures and Fittings, Stormwater, plus Registration Fee	\$500
CLC Plumbing Class 2 – 9 Stormwater Only, plus Registration Fee	\$340
CLC Plumbing Class 2 – 9 Sanitary Only, plus Registration Fee	\$450
CLC Plumbing Class 2 – 9 with Fixtures and Fittings, Stormwater, Plus Registration Fee	\$600
Inspection Fee Schedule Based on Number of Inspections Required	Each Inspection \$87
Building Permit Class 1A under \$20,000	Remain as is
Building Permit Class 1A over \$20,000	Remain as is
Building Permit Class 2 – 9	Remain as is
Plumbing Permit Class 1A or 10A and Certificate of Completion	\$60
Plumbing Permit 2 – 9 Only and Certificate of Completion	\$200

C. That Council introduce the following fee of \$200 for the processing of the proposed “Pre-Development/Building Works Certification – Building Act 2016”.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Cusick **SECONDED** Ald Chong
 “That the Recommendation be adopted”.
CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.7.5 MAINTENANCE OF NATURE STRIPS AND VERGES

(File No)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To update the existing policy on mowing of nature strips and verges given the change in the Planning Scheme and recent Council decisions.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan 2016-2026 is relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Council has legislative responsibility for maintenance of road reservations under the Local Government (Highways) Act. Council's current policy is based on this Act.

CONSULTATION

No consultation has occurred with the community.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications arising from changing the wording of the Policy to reflect the change of Planning Scheme and the operational decisions Council has already made and incorporated into the Annual Plan.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the following policy for maintenance of rural road verges and urban nature strips be adopted.

- A. Mowing of nature strips will not be undertaken by Council.
- B. Assistance will only be given to elderly or incapacitated owners/residents on request and such assistance will be limited to mowing nature strips twice per annum in the General Residential Zone under the Interim Planning Scheme or its State wide successor and only in areas where kerb and gutter exists.
- C. The mowing of road verges along arterial rural roads, as defined in Council's Road Asset Management Plan, will be the full width of the road reserve and will be undertaken annually, or as required to reduce sight hazards or public nuisance.

Decision:

MOVED Ald Thurley **SECONDED** Ald McFarlane

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

12. ALDERMEN'S QUESTION TIME

An Alderman may ask a question with or without notice at Council Meetings. No debate is permitted on any questions or answers.

12.1 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

(Seven days before an ordinary Meeting, an Alderman may give written notice to the General Manager of a question in respect of which the Alderman seeks an answer at the meeting).

Nil.

12.2 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Nil.

12.3 ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

12.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

An Alderman may ask a Question without Notice of the Chairman or another Alderman or the General Manager. Note: the Chairman may refuse to accept a Question without Notice if it does not relate to the activities of the Council. A person who is asked a Question without Notice may decline to answer the question.

Questions without notice and their answers will not be recorded in the minutes.

The Chairman may refuse to accept a question if it does not relate to Council's activities.

The Chairman may require a question without notice to be put in writing. The Chairman, an Alderman or the General Manager may decline to answer a question without notice.

13. CLOSED MEETING

Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meetings Procedures) Regulations 2015 provides that Council may consider certain sensitive matters in Closed Meeting.

The following matters were listed in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda in accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

- 13.1 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE
- 13.2 JOINT AUTHORITY MATTER
- 13.3 TENDER T1092-16 – BELLERIVE BEACH PARK – ALL ABILITIES PLAYGROUND LANDSCAPE WORKS
- 13.4 TENDER T1126-16 – GRANVILLE AVENUE – RECONSTRUCTION OF KERB AND GUTTER AND FOOTPATH WORKS
- 13.5 TENDER T1125-16 – KERB AND GUTTER CONSTRUCTION AND ROAD WIDENING – PERCY STREET, RICHMOND
- 13.6 ANNUAL REVIEW – GENERAL MANAGER

In accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 the reports in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda were dealt with on the grounds that the detail covered in the reports relates to:

- contracts and tenders for the supply of goods and services;
- information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the council on the condition it is kept confidential;
- applications by Aldermen for a Leave of Absence.

The content of reports and details of the Council decisions in respect to items listed in “Closed Meeting” are to be kept “confidential” and are not to be communicated, reproduced or published unless authorised by the Council.

Decision:

PROCEDURAL MOTION
MOVED Ald Cusick **SECONDED** Ald Chong

“That the Meeting be closed to the public to consider Regulation 15 matters, and that members of the public be required to leave the meeting room”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

CLOSED MEETING /contd...

The following Closed Meeting Motions have been authorised by Council for publication in the public Minutes.

13.3 TENDER T1092-16 – BELLERIVE BEACH PARK – ALL ABILITIES PLAYGROUND LANDSCAPE WORKS

(File No T1092-16)

Decision:

MOVED Ald Walker **SECONDED** Ald Chong

- “A. That the Tender received from Parkway Enterprises (Tas) Pty Ltd for the amount of \$580,225.00, excluding GST, be accepted for construction of the All Abilities Playground Landscaping Works at Bellerive Beach Park.
- B. That in accordance with Regulation 34(3) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council authorises for release of the Council’s decision (only) in respect to this item to the general public and for communication to relevant parties.
- C. That Council release to the public their decision only in regard to this matter”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13.4 TENDER T1126-16 – GRANVILLE AVENUE - RECONSTRUCTION OF KERB AND GUTTER AND FOOTPATH WORKS

(File No T1126-16)

Decision:**MOVED** Ald Peers **SECONDED** Ald Cusick

- “A. That the tender from Batchelor Construction Group Pty Ltd for \$475,077.90, excluding GST, be accepted for the Granville Avenue kerb and gutter and footpath reconstruction works.
- B. That, in accordance with Regulation 34(3) of the Local Government (Meetings Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council authorises for release the Council’s decision (only) in respect to this item to the general public and for communication to relevant parties.
- C. That Council release to the public their decision only in regard to this matter”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13.5 TENDER T1125-16 – KERB AND GUTTER CONSTRUCTION AND ROAD WIDENING - PERCY STREET, RICHMOND

(File No T1125-16)

Decision:**MOVED** Ald Peers **SECONDED** Ald Chong

- “A. That the tender from Batchelor Construction Group Pty Ltd for \$303,311.60 excluding GST, be accepted for kerb and gutter construction and road widening works in Percy Street, Richmond.
- B. That, in accordance with Regulation 34(3) of the Local Government (Meetings Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council authorises for release the Council’s decision (only) in respect to this item to the general public and for communication to relevant parties.
- C. That Council release to the public their decision only in regard to this matter”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Meeting closed at 10.05pm.