

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE CLARENCE CITY COUNCIL HELD AT THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, BLIGH STREET, ROSNY PARK, ON MONDAY 3 AUGUST 2015

HOURLY CALLED: 7.30pm

PRESENT: The meeting commenced at 7.31pm with the Mayor (Ald D C Chipman) in the Chair and with Aldermen:

H Chong
D Doust
D Hulme
R H James
J Peers
D Thurley
J Walker; present.

1. APOLOGIES

N M Campbell (Leave of Absence)
P Cusick (Leave of Absence)
P K McFarlane
S von Bertouch

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Items 1 – 13

IN ATTENDANCE

General Manager
(Mr A Paul)

Corporate Secretary
(Mr A van der Hek)

Corporate Treasurer
(Mr F Barta)

Strategic Planner
(Mr D Ford)

Manager Health and Community Development
(Mr J Toohey)

Principal Engineer
(Mr R Graham)

The Meeting closed at 9.14pm.

COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY 3 AUGUST 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
1.	ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES	4
2.	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	4
3.	MAYOR’S COMMUNICATION.....	4
4.	COUNCIL WORKSHOPS.....	4
5.	DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS OF ALDERMAN OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE	5
6.	TABLING OF PETITIONS	6
7.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	7
7.1	PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	7
7.2	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE.....	7
7.3	ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE	7
7.4	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE.....	8
8.	DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.....	9
9.	MOTIONS ON NOTICE – NIL ITEMS	10
10.	REPORTS FROM OUTSIDE BODIES	11
10.1	REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES.....	11
	• SOUTHERN TASMANIAN COUNCILS AUTHORITY	
	• COPPING REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE JOINT AUTHORITY	
	• SOUTHERN WASTE STRATEGY AUTHORITY	
	• TASMANIAN WATER CORPORATION	
10.2	REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE BODIES ..	12
11.	REPORTS OF OFFICERS	13
11.1	WEEKLY BRIEFING REPORTS	13
11.2	DETERMINATION ON PETITIONS TABLED AT PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS	14

11.3 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

11.3.1	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2015/218 - 30 TREVASSA CRESCENT, TRANMERE - DWELLING REQUIRING DISCRETION UNDER Pd4	16
11.3.2	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2015/225 - 147 ACTON ROAD, ACTON PARK - ARENA AND SHED	18
11.3.3	SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2014/32 - 6 SCHAW STREET, RICHMOND - 6 LOT SUBDIVISION..	19
11.3.4	SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2015/1 - 4 RINGWOOD ROAD, LAUDERDALE - 4 LOT SUBDIVISION AND FILL	21
11.3.5	LAND USE PLANNING AND APPROVALS AMENDMENT (TASMANIAN PLANNING SCHEME) BILL 2015	23
11.3.6	POLICY FOR WAIVING OR REDUCING FEES FOR PLANNING PERMIT APPLICATIONS UNDER CIPS 2015	25

11.4 CUSTOMER SERVICE - NIL ITEMS**11.5 ASSET MANAGEMENT**

11.5.1	MAJOR ROADS PRIORITIES LIST	27
11.5.2	NATONE HILL BUSHLAND AND GEILSTON BAY COASTAL RESERVE ACTIVITY PLAN – 2015-2019	30
11.5.3	TRACKS AND TRAILS ACTION PLAN 2015-2020.....	32

11.6 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

11.6.1	ANNUAL PLAN 2015/2016.....	34
--------	----------------------------	----

11.7 GOVERNANCE

11.7.1	QUARTERLY REPORT TO 30 JUNE 2015	35
11.7.2	COMMUNITY GRANTS REVIEW	36
11.7.3	COUNCIL DELEGATIONS UNDER THE LAND USE PLANNING AND APPROVALS ACT, 1993	38
11.7.4	CANINE DEFENCE LEAGUE – FUNDING VARIATION	40
12.	ALDERMEN’S QUESTION TIME.....	41
12.1	QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	41
12.2	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	41
12.3	ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE	41
12.4	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE.....	41

13.	CLOSED MEETING.....	42
13.1	APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	
13.2	EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTUAL MATTERS	
13.3	CONTRACTUAL MATTER	

1. ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES

Refer to cover page.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

(File No 10/03/01)

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 13 July 2015, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Hulme

“That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 13 July 2015, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. MAYOR'S COMMUNICATION

Nil.

4. COUNCIL WORKSHOPS

In addition to the Aldermen's Meeting Briefing (workshop) conducted on Friday immediately preceding the Council Meeting the following workshops were conducted by Council since its last ordinary Council Meeting:

PURPOSE	DATE
Cycling South Economic Development Plan State-wide Planning Scheme LGAT AGM and General Meeting	20 July
Business East Funding Multi Storey Car Park Canine Defence League – Update Lauderdale Expansion Project Review of the Strategic Plan	27 July

COUNCIL WORKSHOPS /contd...

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council notes the workshops conducted.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Hulme

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS OF ALDERMAN OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE
(File No)

In accordance with Regulation 8 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 and Council’s adopted Code of Conduct, the Mayor requests Aldermen to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have a pecuniary interest (any pecuniary benefits or pecuniary detriment) or conflict of interest in any item on the Agenda.

INTEREST DECLARED: NIL.

6. TABLING OF PETITIONS
(File No 10/03/12)

Nil.

7. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Public question time at ordinary Council meetings will not exceed 15 minutes. An individual may ask questions at the meeting. Questions may be submitted to Council in writing on the Friday 10 days before the meeting or may be raised from the Public Gallery during this segment of the meeting.

The Chairman may request an Alderman or Council officer to answer a question. No debate is permitted on any questions or answers. Questions and answers are to be kept as brief as possible.

7.1 PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

(Seven days before an ordinary Meeting, a member of the public may give written notice to the General Manager of a question to be asked at the meeting). A maximum of two questions may be submitted in writing before the meeting.

Questions on notice and their answers will be included in the minutes.

Nil

7.2 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

The Mayor may address Questions on Notice submitted by members of the public.

Nil

7.3 ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil

7.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

The Mayor invited members of the public present to ask questions without notice.

LAUDERDALE EXPANSION PROJECT

Mr M Figg of Lauderdale sought clarification from Council on the Lauderdale Expansion Project as to how it relates to the Ministerial Directive and further sought an update on the current status of the Lauderdale Expansion Project in advancing the objectives of the Lauderdale Structure Plan and the related Urban Growth Boundary change for the City.

The General Manager provided confirmation that the Council had received a briefing on the scope for a consultants brief to undertake the Lauderdale Structure Plan study and this draft has been forwarded on to the Planning Commission for comment before finalisation. The General Manager advised that he anticipated that it would be possible for expressions of interest from consultants to undertake the study to be invited by the end of August 2015.

Mr Figg put forward a supplementary question seeking clarification of whether the Council was modifying the Structure Plan.

The General Manager advised that the scope would examine relevant issues such as drainage, lot design, headworks and other matters in order to determine any changes that can be supported.

8. DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
(File No 10/03/04)

Nil.

9. MOTIONS ON NOTICE

Nil.

10. REPORTS FROM OUTSIDE BODIES

This agenda item is listed to facilitate the receipt of both informal and formal reporting from various outside bodies upon which Council has a representative involvement.

10.1 REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES

Provision is made for reports from Single and Joint Authorities if required

Council is a participant in the following Single and Joint Authorities. These Authorities are required to provide quarterly reports to participating Councils, and these will be listed under this segment as and when received.

- **SOUTHERN TASMANIAN COUNCILS AUTHORITY**
Representative: Ald Doug Chipman, Mayor or nominee

Quarterly Reports

Not required.

Representative Reporting

- **COPPING REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE JOINT AUTHORITY**
Representatives: Ald Jock Campbell
(Ald Peter Cusick, Deputy Representative)

Quarterly Reports**Representative Reporting**

- **SOUTHERN WASTE STRATEGY AUTHORITY**
Representative: Ald Richard James
(Ald Sharyn von Bertouch, Proxy)

Quarterly Reports**Representative Reporting**

Ald James advised the meeting that the Southern Waste Strategy Authority held an Audit Committee Meeting on 15 July 2015 to review the accounts and also tabled a copy of the Profit and Loss Analysis and Balance Sheet for the period ending 30 June 2015.

/ contd on Page 12

REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES /contd...

- **TASWATER CORPORATION**

TasWater Corporation has distributed its Quarterly Report to 30 June 2015.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the TasWater Quarterly Report ending 30 June 2015 be received.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Hulme

“That the TasWater Quarterly Report ending 30 June 2015 be received”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10.2 REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE BODIES

Lindisfarne Community Activities Centre Management Committee

- Ald Peers tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 16 July 2015.

Community Road Safety Partnership Advisory Group

- Ald Peers tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 28 July 2015.

Committee of Management of Business East

- Ald Hulme tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 2 June 2015.

Richmond Advisory Committee

- Ald Chong tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 16 June 2015.

Bellerive Community Arts Centre Management Committee

- Ald Thurley tabled the Minutes of Meetings held on 10 June and 8 July 2015.

Clarence Access and Facilities Advisory Committee

- Ald Thurley tabled the Minutes of a Meeting held on 16 June 2015.

Tracks and Trails Committee

- Ald James tabled the Minutes of Meetings held on 9 April and 21 May 2015.

11. REPORTS OF OFFICERS**11.1 WEEKLY BRIEFING REPORTS**

(File No 10/02/02)

The Weekly Briefing Reports of 13, 20 and 27 July 2015 have been circulated to Aldermen.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information contained in the Weekly Briefing Reports of 13, 20 and 27 July 2015 be noted.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Thurley **SECONDED** Ald Walker

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.2 DETERMINATION ON PETITIONS TABLED AT PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS

Nil.

11.3 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

In accordance with Regulation 25 (1) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Mayor advises that the Council intends to act as a Planning Authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, to deal with the following items:

**11.3.1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2015/218 - 30 TREVASSA CRESCENT,
TRANMERE - DWELLING REQUIRING DISCRETION UNDER PD4**
(File No D-2015/218)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a Single Dwelling at 30 Trevassa Crescent, Tranmere.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Residential under the Clarence Planning Scheme 2007 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development due to a requested variation to the boundary setback, building height and privacy requirements of PD4.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which has been extended to 5 August 2015 with the written agreement of the applicant.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 5 representations were received raising the following issues:

- building height and setback; and
- notification of application.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That the Development Application for dwelling requiring discretion under PD4 at 30 Trevassa Crescent, Tranmere (CI Ref D-2015/218) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.
1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
 2. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 19 June 2015 (TWDA 2015/00901-CCC).
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council's decision in respect of this matter.

/ Refer to Page 17 for Decision on this Item...

**DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2015/218 - 30 TREVASSA CRESCENT,
TRANMERE - DWELLING REQUIRING DISCRETION UNDER PD4 /contd...**

Decision:	MOVED Ald Walker SECONDED Ald Chong																
	“That the Recommendation be adopted”.																
	CARRIED																
	<table><tr><td>FOR</td><td>AGAINST</td></tr><tr><td>Ald Chipman</td><td>Ald James (abstained)</td></tr><tr><td>Ald Chong</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Ald Doust</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Ald Hulme</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Ald Peers</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Ald Thurley</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Ald Walker</td><td></td></tr></table>	FOR	AGAINST	Ald Chipman	Ald James (abstained)	Ald Chong		Ald Doust		Ald Hulme		Ald Peers		Ald Thurley		Ald Walker	
FOR	AGAINST																
Ald Chipman	Ald James (abstained)																
Ald Chong																	
Ald Doust																	
Ald Hulme																	
Ald Peers																	
Ald Thurley																	
Ald Walker																	

11.3.2 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION D-2015/225 - 147 ACTON ROAD, ACTON PARK - ARENA AND SHED
(File No D-2015/225)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a new arena and shed at 147 Acton Road, Acton Park.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Recreation and subject to the Subject to Inundation Overlay under the Clarence Planning Scheme 2007 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which expires on 14 August 2015.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 2 representations were received raising the following issues:

- colour of shed; and
- glare from shed.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That the Development Application for arena and shed at 147 Acton Road, Acton Park (Cl Ref D-2015/225) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.
1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
 2. GEN AM3 – EXTERNAL COLOURS.
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Peers **SECONDED** Ald Thurley

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**11.3.3 SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2014/32 - 6 SCHAW STREET,
RICHMOND - 6 LOT SUBDIVISION**
(File No SD-2014/32)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a 6 lot subdivision at 6 Schaw Street, Richmond.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Residential and subject to the Richmond Village overlay under the Clarence Planning Scheme 2007 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a discretionary development.

The land is also listed on the Tasmanian Heritage Register. As such, Section 34 of the Historic Cultural Heritage Act, 1995 requires that the LUPAA planning permit include conditions as may be required by the Tasmanian Heritage Council.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which has been extended to expire on 5 August 2015.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 3 representations were received raising the following issues:

- density of development;
- stormwater management;
- sewerage management;
- historic village atmosphere; and
- privacy and amenity.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the application for a 6 lot subdivision at 6 Schaw Street, Richmond (Cl Ref SD-2014/32) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. GEN POS4 – POS CONTRIBUTION [5%] [2 - 6 and 8].
3. EHO 4 – NO BURNING.
4. ENG A1 – NEW CROSSOVER [3.6M] [TSD-R03 and R09].
5. ENG M2 – DESIGNS SD.

6. ENG M5 – EROSION CONTROL.
 7. ENG M8 – EASEMENTS.
 8. ENG M9 – FILLING OF LAND.
 9. ENG S1 – INFRASTRUCTURE REPAIR.
 10. ENG S4 – STORMWATER CONNECTION.
 11. All lots accessing from a sealed road or sealed pavement within a road reservation must be constructed and sealed from the road or sealed surface to the lot boundary in asphalt or concrete. This is inclusive of Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.
 12. The intersection of Morgan and Jacombe Street must be improved for Lots 1 and 2 to gain access from this junction. A detailed design must be prepared by a suitably qualified person identifying the junction improvements required to meet current road safety guidelines. This design is to be approved by Council’s Group Manager Asset Management prior to issue of Title, or commencement of construction work with all required work being at the developer’s cost.
 13. A suitably designed and constructed gravel turning head, for service vehicles, is to be provided in Jacombe Street by the developer as part of the construction of the lot accesses to Lots 1 and 2. Lot accesses to Lots 1 and 2 may be of gravel construction.
 14. The sealed plan must identify all areas of lots that cannot be serviced by sewer and have suitable exclusions for the building of habitable structures. Lots requiring annotation include Lots 1, 2, 3 and 6.
 15. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 26 September 2014 (TWDA 2014/00906-CCC).
 16. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by the Tasmania Heritage Council notice dated 22 July 2015 (4802).
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Decision:**MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Walker

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.3.4 SUBDIVISION APPLICATION SD-2015/1 - 4 RINGWOOD ROAD, LAUDERDALE - 4 LOT SUBDIVISION AND FILL
(File No SD-2015/1)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a 4 lot subdivision and fill at 4 Ringwood Road, Lauderdale.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Residential and Rural Residential and subject to the Inundation Overlay under the Clarence Planning Scheme 2007 (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory 42 day period which has been extended to 5 August 2015 with the written agreement of the applicant.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements and 1 representation was received raising the following issues:

- drainage; and
- future development of Lot 1.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the application for a 4 lot subdivision and fill at 4 Ringwood Road, Lauderdale (Cl Ref SD-2015/1) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. GEN AP2 - STAGING [• Stage 1 – Lots 1, 2 and 3; • Stage 2 – Lots 4 and 5.]
3. The boundaries of Lots 2, 3, 4 and 5 must not exceed the extent of the Residential zone.
4. The proposed land fill must be undertaken in accordance with the endorsed filling plan and must not exceed a height of 3.35m AHD. The applicant is to provide a written certification, from a registered land surveyor, that the land fill level does not exceed this height.
5. GEN POS4 – POS CONTRIBUTION [5%] [Lots 2, 3, 4 and 5].

6. ENG A1 – NEW CROSSOVER [TSD R-03 and TSD R-04] Replace “3.0m wide” with “3.6m wide”.
 7. ENG A3 – COMBINED ACCESSES [TSD R-03 and TSD R-04].
 8. ENG M2 – DESIGNS SD - Delete “road design (including line marking) and road stormwater drainage”.
 9. ENG M5 – EROSION CONTROL.
 10. ENG M7 – WEED MANAGEMENT PLAN.
 11. ENG M8 – EASEMENTS.
 12. ENG M9 – FILLING OF LAND.
 13. ENG S1 – INFRASTRUCTURE.
 14. ENG S2 – SERVICES.
 15. ENG S4 – STORMWATER CONNECTION.
 16. ENG S10 – UNDERGROUND SERVICES.
 17. ENG S12 – HEADWORKS SUBDIVISION [\$11,000] [4 additional lots].
 18. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 23 June 2015 (TWDA 2015/00071-CCC).
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Decision:	MOVED Ald Walker SECONDED Ald Thurley “That the Recommendation be adopted”. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
------------------	--

11.3.5 LAND USE PLANNING AND APPROVALS AMENDMENT (TASMANIAN PLANNING SCHEME) BILL 2015
(File No 20-10-22)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the Position Paper on the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme) Bill 2015.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The Paper outlines proposed legislative amendments to the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act, 1993 (LUPAA) to facilitate the development and implementation of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme (TPS), or Single State-wide Planning Scheme as it has been referred. The TPS is a key component of the Government's planning reform agenda.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The proposed changes will be implemented through amendments to LUPAA.

CONSULTATION

The Paper has been prepared by the State for consultation with Local Government stakeholders and the community and accompanies the draft Exposure Land Use and Approvals (Tasmanian Planning Scheme) Amendment Bill 2015 (the Bill).

Feedback has been requested through the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT) to meet the Government's deadline of 10 August 2015.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The development of the TPS facilitated through the Bill will have financial implications for Clarence in terms of both its development/assessment and its implementation.

The TPS, like the Clarence Interim Planning Scheme 2015 (CIPS), is based on the State's Planning Directive Number 1 (PD1) and on this basis is anticipated to have similar resourcing implications to the current CIPS.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council provides this report and associated recommendations on the Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Tasmanian Planning Scheme) Bill 2015 to the Government and to LGAT for incorporation in the consolidated Local Government response to the Government.
- B. That Council advises that:
 - i. a planning reform that results in a "fairer, faster, cheaper and simpler" planning system is supported.
 - ii. a review of the State's Planning Directive Number 1 – Template for Planning Schemes is warranted.

- iii. the proposed reduced timeframe to assess and determine permitted applications to 21 days is not supported.
- iv. there is concern that the structure of the TPS will reduce the capacity for local considerations

C. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Peers
“That the Recommendation be adopted”.
CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.3.6 POLICY FOR WAIVING OR REDUCING FEES FOR PLANNING PERMIT APPLICATIONS UNDER CIPS 2015

(File No 20-01-00)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider a policy for the temporary basis for waiving fees for applications considered exempt under the previous planning scheme that now require a permit.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The changes sought are specifically to deal with reasonable customer service expectations and will not impact on any pre-existing policies or strategies of Council.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The proposal to waive fees is regarded as a grant and benefit under Section 77 of the Local Government Act, 1993. Grants and benefits must reported in Council's Annual Report.

CONSULTATION

Not applicable.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

It is envisaged that there will be minimal resource/financial implications associated with waiving or reducing these fees, however, it will be a short term impact which will be balanced against the need for fairness.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council adopts the policy attached to the Associated Report "Waiving of Fees for Planning Permits (CIPS 2015)" in respect to waiving fees for certain planning permit applications.
- B. That Council notes:
- the waivers are a grant or benefit under Section 77 of the Local Government Act, 1993; and
 - the reporting requirement proposed on the application of the waivers.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Thurley

"That the Recommendation be adopted".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Council now concludes its deliberations as a Planning Authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act, 1993.

11.4 CUSTOMER SERVICE

Nil Items.

11.5 ASSET MANAGEMENT**11.5.1 MAJOR ROADS PRIORITIES LIST**

(File No 28/01/00)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To seek Council's endorsement of a priority based list of potential state road projects as a basis for Council to urge State and Australian Governments to consider for infrastructure funding.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan 2010-2015 is applicable.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

Nil.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There is no direct impact on Council's budget in recommending the priority based projects to the State Government.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council identifies the following priority outstanding traditional Road Transport issues for the City, which are (in order of priority):
- Rosny Park access - Tasman Highway access ramps;
 - West bound Rosny Hill Road Highway to Tasman Highway slip lane;
 - East Derwent Highway – Geilston Bay Road/Clinton Road intersection signalisation;
 - Tasman Highway – Holyman Avenue roundabout upgrade;
 - Cambridge By-pass - Richmond Road deviation to Acton Interchange on Tasman Highway;
 - Rokeby Main Road - Hawthorne Place to the Police Academy Roundabout;
 - Cambridge Road/Richmond Road intersection upgrade;
 - East Derwent Highway 4 lane extension from Grass Tree Hill Road roundabout to East side of Bowen Bridge;
 - South Arm Road upgrade from the Police Academy Roundabout to Acton Road at Lauderdale; and
 - Flagstaff Gully Link Road connection to East Derwent Highway.
- B. That Council identifies the following priority outstanding Active Transport issues for the City, which are (in order of priority):
- Cambridge Road multi-user pathway from the Mornington Roundabout to the Cambridge Township;

- Richmond Road - wider sealed shoulders; and
- East Derwent Highway from Geilston Bay to the Bowen Bridge – wider sealed shoulders.

C. That Council authorises the Mayor to write to the Minister for Infrastructure urging the State Government to commit to taking the state road projects identified in “A” and “B” above to the stage where they would qualify as “shovel ready” for both State and Australian Government infrastructure funding.

Decision:	<p>MOVED Ald Thurley SECONDED Ald Hulme</p> <p>“A. That Council identifies the following priority outstanding traditional Road Transport issues for the City, which are (in order priority):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rosny Park access – Tasman Highway access ramps; • West bound Rosny Hill Road Highway to Tasman Highway slip lane; • East Derwent Highway – Expansion to 4 lanes from Lindhill Avenue to Clinton Road including signalisation of the Geilston Bay Road/Clinton Road intersection; • Tasman Highway – Holyman Avenue roundabout upgrade; • Cambridge By-pass – Richmond Road deviation to Acton interchange on Tasman Highway; • Rokeby Main Road – Hawthorne Place to the Police Academy roundabout; • Cambridge Road/Richmond Road intersection upgrade; • East Derwent Highway 4 lane extension from Grass Tree Hill road roundabout to East side of Bowen Bridge; • South Arm Road upgrade from the Policy Academy roundabout to Acton Road at Lauderdale; and • Flagstaff Gully Link Road connection to East Derwent Highway. <p>B. That Council identifies the following priority outstanding Active Transport issues for the City, which are (in order of priority):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • South Arm Highway shared multi-purpose pathway from Oakdowns to Lauderdale; • Cambridge Road multi-user pathway from the Mornington roundabout to the Cambridge Township;
------------------	---

MAJOR ROADS PRIORITIES LIST /Decision contd...

- Richmond Road – wider sealed shoulders; and
- East Derwent Highway from Geilston Bay to the Bowen Bridge – wider sealed shoulder.

C. That Council authorises the Mayor to write to the Minister for Infrastructure urging the State Government to commit to taking the state road projects identified in ‘A’ and ‘B’ above to the stage where they would qualify as ‘shovel ready’ for both State and Australian Government infrastructure funding”.

CARRIED

FOR

Ald Chipman
Ald Chong
Ald Doust
Ald Hulme
Ald Peers
Ald Thurley
Ald Walker

AGAINST

Ald James

**11.5.2 NATONE HILL BUSHLAND AND GEILSTON BAY COASTAL RESERVE
ACTIVITY PLAN – 2015-2019**

(File No)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To consider the adoption of the Natone Hill Bushland and Geilston Bay Coastal Reserve Activity Plan 2015-2019 following community consultation.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan 2010-2015 and Community Participation Policy are relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

Consultation with the community was undertaken in accordance with Council's Community Participation Policy.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The adoption of the Natone Hill Bushland and Geilston Bay Coastal Reserve Activity Plan 2015-2019 has no direct financial impact. The implementation of the Natone Hill Bushland and Geilston Bay Coastal Reserve Activity Plan 2015-2019 is planned to be staged over a number of financial years, subject to Council approval of future Annual Plans.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council incorporates the following additional items in the Natone Hill Bushland and Geilston Bay Coastal Reserve Activity Plan 2015-2019:
- weed management activities in Natone Hill Reserve to be undertaken in consultation with the Natone Hill Landcare Group;
 - the Plan be updated to emphasise that the primary focus for work and funding should be to ensure that future weed control activities are maintained and that regeneration occurs;
 - that the Natone Hill Landcare Group's flora and photographic resources are utilised when analysing native flora and vegetation community trends; and
 - the interpretation signs to be developed in consultation with the Limekiln Point Landcare Group.
- B. That Council adopts the Natone Hill Bushland and Geilston Bay Coastal Reserve Activity Plan 2015-2019 including the modification detailed in "A" above.

/ Refer to Page 31 for Decision on this Item...

**NATONE HILL BUSHLAND AND GEILSTON BAY COASTAL RESERVE
ACTIVITY PLAN – 2015-2019 /contd...**

Decision:

MOVED Ald Walker **SECONDED** Ald Chong

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.5.3 TRACKS AND TRAILS ACTION PLAN 2015-2020

(File No 07-06-09)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To consider the adoption of the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020 following community consultation.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's adopted Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2008, Council's adopted Tracks and Trails Strategy 2012, Council's Strategic Plan 2010-2015 and Community Participation Policy are relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

Consultation with the community was undertaken in accordance with Council's Community Participation Policy.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The adoption of the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020 has no direct financial impact. The implementation of the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020 is planned to be staged over a number of financial years, subject to Council approval of future Annual Plans.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council incorporates the following additional items in the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020:
- in recognition of the Maria Point area as a long term project as part of the Clarence Foreshore Trail; that the tracks shown on the property at 765 Rifle Range Road, Sandford be removed from the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020;
 - that the reference to 17a Fredrick Henry Parade, Cremorne as a car park be removed from the list of car parks on Page 20 of the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020;
 - that future maps and plans indicate walkers can park at the existing beach car park at 16 Fredrick Henry Parade, Cremorne; and
 - that further investigation be undertaken to assess the viability of an access from the northern end of the Cremorne beach to the Crown Land Coastal Reserve at Cremorne.
- B. That Council adopts the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020 including the modifications detailed in "A" above.

C. That all respondents be advised of Council's decision.

Decision:**MOVED** Ald James **SECONDED** Ald Hulme

“A. That Council incorporates the following additional items in the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020.

- in recognition of the Maria Point area as a long term project as part of the Clarence Foreshore Trail; that the tracks shown on the property at 765 Rifle Range Road, Sandford be removed from the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020;
- the table on Page 13 of the Action Plan be amended for row 4 dealing with Land Ownership, Priority 3 to state that the issue and scale and scope of negotiation with private landowners will always be subject to prior Council approval;
- the map on Page 31 of the Action Plan that refers to Prospect House as a Tourism Business be removed, as Prospect House is now privately owned;
- that the reference to 17a Fredrick Henry Parade, Cremorne as a car park be removed from the list of car parks on Page 20 of the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020;
- that future maps and plans indicate walkers can park at the existing beach car park at 16 Fredrick Henry Parade, Cremorne; and
- that further investigation be undertaken to assess the viability of an access from the northern end of the Cremorne beach to the Crown Land Coastal Reserve at Cremorne.

B. That Council adopts the Tracks and Trails Action Plan 2015-2020 including the modifications detailed in ‘A’ above.

C. That all respondents be advised of Council's decision”.

CARRIED**FOR**

Ald Chipman
Ald Chong
Ald Doust
Ald Hulme
Ald James
Ald Peers

AGAINST

Ald Thurley
Ald Walker

11.6 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT**11.6.1 ANNUAL PLAN 2015/2016**

(File No 10-02-04)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To consider the Annual Plan for the 2015/2016 financial year.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Consistent with Council’s adopted Strategic Plan and adopted Estimates.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Council is required to adopt an Annual Plan for each financial year.

CONSULTATION

No issues to be addressed.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No direct financial implications, however, the draft Annual Plan reflects the Estimates adopted by Council for 2015/2016.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Annual Plan for 2015/2016 attached as Attachment 1 to the Associated Report be adopted.

Decision:

MOVED Ald Peers **SECONDED** Ald Chong

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED

FOR

Ald Chipman
Ald Chong
Ald Doust
Ald Hulme
Ald Peers
Ald Thurley
Ald Walker

AGAINST

Ald James

11.7 GOVERNANCE**11.7.1 QUARTERLY REPORT TO 30 JUNE 2015**

(File No 10/02/05)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To consider the General Manager's Quarterly Report covering the period 1 April 2015 to 30 June 2015.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The Report uses as its base the Annual Plan adopted by Council and is consistent with Council's previously adopted Strategic Plan 2010-2015.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

There is no specific legislative requirement associated with regular internal reporting.

CONSULTATION

Not applicable.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The Quarterly Report provides details of Council's financial performance for the period.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Quarterly Report to 30 June 2015 be received.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Thurley

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.7.2 COMMUNITY GRANTS REVIEW

(File No 09-17-06A)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is for Council to adopt changes to the Policy and Guidelines for the Community Grants program and the revised constitution for the Partnership Grant Assessment Panel.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

- Strategic Plan 2010-2015; and
- Community Grants Policy

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

Consultation has occurred with the Partnership Grant Assessment Panel and the community Grants Assessment Group.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council adopts the following change to the eligibility criteria for Quick Response Grants:
 1. school class excursions, camps and trips are ineligible activities.
- B. That Council adopts the following changes to the eligibility criteria for Community Support Grants:
 1. increase the voluntary in-kind support calculation rate from \$20 to \$30 per hour;
 2. organisations/groups that are in receipt of a current Community Support Grant will be ineligible to apply for another Community Support Grant until the project/activity has been completed and the grant acquitted.
- C. That Council adopts the following changes to the eligibility criteria for Partnership Grants:
 1. opening up the Partnership Grant to allow groups based outside of Clarence to apply as long as the project brings benefit to the Clarence community;
 2. amend the Partnership Grant to an annual grant with a closing date of 1 October each year;

3. allow 1 application per organisation/group per financial year in line with other grant categories;
 4. groups that are in receipt of a current Partnership Grant will be ineligible to apply for another Partnership Grant until the project/activity has been completed and the grant acquitted; and
 5. update the categories to match current Council Plan.
- D. That Council adopts a revised Constitution for the Partnership Grant Assessment Panel that indicates the following changes:
1. the term for the Mayor and Alderman to change from a 2 year term to a 4 year term in line with Local Government elections, which can be reviewed every 2 years;
 2. new meeting arrangements if an annual round is adopted; and
 3. allowing up to 3 external members to assess grant applications to broaden the field of expertise.
- E. That Council adopts the following changes to simplify the application form and the information provided about the Grants Program by:
1. deleting the Program Guidelines booklet and transferring the pertinent information as an attachment to each application for each grant category; and
 2. improve the layout of the application form and develop an on-line data entry option.

Ald Chong left the Meeting at this stage (8.42pm).

<p>Decision: MOVED Ald Hulme SECONDED Ald Thurley</p> <p> “That the Recommendation be adopted”.</p> <p> Ald Chong returned to the Meeting at this stage (8.44pm).</p> <p> The MOTION was put and CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY</p>

11.7.3 COUNCIL DELEGATIONS UNDER THE LAND USE PLANNING AND APPROVALS ACT, 1993

(File No 10-08-00)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to consider an amendment to Council's delegations under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (LUPAA).

Two new delegations are sought:

1. Delegation under Sections 30IA and 37 of LUPAA relating to the urgent amendment of an interim planning scheme and amendments that do not require public notification. These provisions are provided for specifically to ensure that errors, anomalies and inconsistencies can be rectified efficiently in order to establish, or re-establish, the effective operation of the planning scheme.
2. Delegation under Section 57 relating to discretionary applications triggered under Section 34 of the Historic Cultural Heritage Act, 1995.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

The changes sought are specifically to ensure the effective operation of the Interim Planning Scheme and efficient determination of planning applications that require discretion under the Historic Cultural Heritage Act, 1995.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Delegations must be direct from Council to the officer, as they cannot be on-delegated by the General Manager.

CONSULTATION

Not applicable.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Not applicable.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. That Council resolves to approve the following Delegation in respect to the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act, 1993.

ACT REF	DETAILS OF DELEGATION	DELEGATION
Land Use Planning and Approvals Act, 1993	To request, and prepare as necessary, the correction of errors to the interim planning scheme under Sections 30IA and 37.	General Manager; Manager City Planning

ACT REF	DETAILS OF DELEGATION	DELEGATION
Land Use Planning and Approvals Act, 1993	Determination of applications under Section 57 invoking a discretion by virtue of Section 34 of the Historic Cultural Heritage Act, 1995.	General Manager; Manager City Planning; Senior Statutory Planner; Strategic Planner; Planning Officers

B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council's decision in respect of this matter.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Peers

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11.7.4 CANINE DEFENCE LEAGUE – FUNDING VARIATION

(File No 10-03-03)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PURPOSE**

To consider varying the agreement with the Tasmanian Canine Defence League in respect to allocating additional funding to provide dog pound services.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council, together with 3 other Hobart based Councils, has had a long standing agreement with the Tasmanian Canine Defence League to provide municipal pound facilities on its behalf on a fee for service basis.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Under the Local Government Act, 1993 Councils are obliged to establish and operate pound facilities to manage the impounding of stray animals. A pound is necessary for Councils to discharge their obligations under the Dog Control Act, 2000.

CONSULTATION

Extensive consultation has occurred between the Tasmanian Canine Defence League and the Councils to whom they provide services in respect to their financial position and pound operations.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The additional funding of \$3,023 will not require a variation to Council's Estimates and Annual Plan.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council approves a financial variation agreement between the Tasmanian Canine Defence League and Council by increasing the annual funding base to \$97,875 effective from 1 July 2015.

Decision: **MOVED** Ald Thurley **SECONDED** Ald Peers

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

12. ALDERMEN'S QUESTION TIME

An Alderman may ask a question with or without notice at Council Meetings. No debate is permitted on any questions or answers.

12.1 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

(Seven days before an ordinary Meeting, an Alderman may give written notice to the General Manager of a question in respect of which the Alderman seeks an answer at the meeting).

Nil

12.2 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Nil

12.3 ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil

12.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

An Alderman may ask a Question without Notice of the Chairman or another Alderman or the General Manager. Note: the Chairman may refuse to accept a Question without Notice if it does not relate to the activities of the Council. A person who is asked a Question without Notice may decline to answer the question.

Questions without notice and their answers will not be recorded in the minutes.

The Chairman may refuse to accept a question if it does not relate to Council's activities.

The Chairman may require a question without notice to be put in writing. The Chairman, an Alderman or the General Manager may decline to answer a question without notice.

13. CLOSED MEETING

Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meetings Procedures) Regulations 2015 provides that Council may consider certain sensitive matters in Closed Meeting.

The following matters were listed in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda in accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

- 13.1 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE
- 13.2 EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTUAL MATTERS
- 13.3 CONTRACTUAL MATTER

In accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 the reports in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda were dealt with on the grounds that the detail covered in the reports relates to:

- personnel matters, including complaints against an employee of the council and industrial relations matters;
- information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the council on the condition it is kept confidential; and
- applications by Aldermen for a Leave of Absence.

The content of reports and details of the Council decisions in respect to items listed in “Closed Meeting” are to be kept “confidential” and are not to be communicated, reproduced or published unless authorised by the Council.

Decision:

PROCEDURAL MOTION
MOVED Ald Chong **SECONDED** Ald Walker

“That the Meeting be closed to the public to consider Regulation 15 matters, and that members of the public be required to leave the meeting room”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Meeting closed at 9.14pm.